

Notices

Federal Register

Vol. 84, No. 68

Tuesday, April 9, 2019

This section of the FEDERAL REGISTER contains documents other than rules or proposed rules that are applicable to the public. Notices of hearings and investigations, committee meetings, agency decisions and rulings, delegations of authority, filing of petitions and applications and agency statements of organization and functions are examples of documents appearing in this section.

DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

Submission for OMB Review; Comment Request

April 4, 2019.

The Department of Agriculture has submitted the following information collection requirement(s) to OMB for review and clearance under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, Public Law 104–13. Comments are requested regarding (1) whether the collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility; (2) the accuracy of the agency's estimate of burden including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used; (3) ways to enhance the quality, utility and clarity of the information to be collected; and (4) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

Comments regarding this information collection received by May 9, 2019 will be considered. Written comments should be addressed to: Desk Officer for Agriculture, Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Office of Management and Budget (OMB), New Executive Office Building, 725 17th Street NW, Washington, DC 20502. Commenters are encouraged to submit their comments to OMB via email to: OIRA_Submission@OMB.EOP.GOV or fax (202) 395–5806 and to Departmental Clearance Office, USDA, OCIO, Mail Stop 7602, Washington, DC 20250–7602. Copies of the submission(s) may be obtained by calling (202) 720–8958.

An agency may not conduct or sponsor a collection of information unless the collection of information displays a currently valid OMB control number and the agency informs

potential persons who are to respond to the collection of information that such persons are not required to respond to the collection of information unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number.

Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service

Title: National Management Information System (Wildlife Service).

OMB Control Number: 0579–0335.

Summary of Collection: The Secretary of Agriculture is authorized under 7 U.S.C. 8351–8354 to take actions considered necessary for the control of nuisance mammals and birds, and mammal and bird species that are reservoirs for zoonotic diseases. These populations, if left unmanaged, may cause tremendous economic damage to crops, livestock herds, and private property within the United States. The Wildlife Services (WS) program of the United States Department of Agriculture Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service is responsible for assisting the public with managing damage caused by wildlife. WS provides advice or enters into agreements for its services. Through its technical assistance approach, WS offers advice through telephone or onsite consultations, training sessions, demonstration projects, and other means. Mitigation activities are then performed by the requester. Through its direct control approach, goods, services, and expertise are provided with appropriated and cooperative funds.

Need and Use of the Information: WS collects only information needed to determine appropriate courses of action for providing effective wildlife damage management services. Information is used by the agency to identify and differentiate between cooperators (*i.e.*, property owners, land managers, or resource owners) who request assistance, and to identify land areas on which management activities would be conducted. Information is also collected to identify the relationship between resources or property, WS' protection of such resources or property, the damage caused by wildlife, and the management methods or activities required to mitigate the damage. Records are maintained of cooperative service agreements and Work Initiation Documents documenting permissions to access cooperator property, wildlife damage occurrences on cooperator property and allowable methods to

address wildlife damage, and occurrences which may have affected non-target species or humans during, or related to, WS project actions. Finally, information is used to help WS evaluate, modify, and improve its programs.

Description of Respondents: State and local jurisdictions, Tribes, public and private agencies, organizations, institutions, and individuals.

Number of Respondents: 98,926.

Frequency of Responses: Reporting: On occasion.

Total Burden Hours: 5,618.

Ruth Brown,

Departmental Information Collection Clearance Officer.

[FR Doc. 2019–06946 Filed 4–8–19; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3410–34–P

DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

Food Safety and Inspection Service

[Docket No. FSIS–2019–0009]

Availability of FSIS Food Product Dating Fact Sheet

AGENCY: Food Safety and Inspection Service, USDA.

ACTION: Notice; response to comments.

SUMMARY: FSIS is announcing the availability of an updated fact sheet on food product dating. The fact sheet is aimed at reducing food waste through encouraging food manufacturers and retailers that apply product dating to use a “Best if Used By” date label. FSIS recommends the use of a “Best if Used By” date label because research shows that this phrase is easily understood by consumers as an indicator of quality rather than safety. FSIS is also responding to comments received on the previous version of the fact sheet that it announced in the December 2016 *Constituent Update*.

ADDRESSES: A downloadable version of the updated fact sheet is available to view and print at <https://www.fsis.usda.gov/wps/wcm/connect/19013cb7-8a4d-474c-8bd7-bda76b9defb3/Food-Product-Dating.pdf?MOD=AJPERES>.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Jeff Canavan, Deputy Director, Labeling and Program Delivery Staff, Office of Policy and Program Development, Food Safety and Inspection Service, U.S. Department of Agriculture, Stop Code 3784, Patriots

Plaza 3, 8–161A, 1400 Independence Avenue SW, Washington, DC 20250–3700; Telephone (301) 504–0879; Fax (202) 245–4792.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

In the United States, approximately 30 percent of the food supply is wasted.¹ Wasted food is the single largest category of material placed in municipal landfills² and much of it likely could have helped feed families in need. Additionally, water, energy, and labor used to produce wasted food could have been used for other purposes.

The Food Safety and Inspection Service (FSIS) administers a regulatory program under the Federal Meat Inspection Act (FMIA) (21 U.S.C. 601 *et seq.*), the Poultry Products Inspection Act (PPIA) (21 U.S.C. 451 *et seq.*), and the Egg Products Inspection Act (EPIA) (21 U.S.C. 1031 *et seq.*) to protect the health and welfare of consumers. The Agency is responsible for ensuring that the nation's commercial supply of meat, poultry, and egg products is safe, wholesome, not adulterated, and correctly labeled and packaged. Consequently, FSIS is uniquely positioned to address the problem of wasted meat, poultry, and processed egg products by working with Federal, State, tribal and local governments, faith-based institutions, industry, and consumers to raise awareness of food waste issues, simplify requirements for donation,³ and share best practices.

On December 14, 2016, FSIS announced in the *Constituent Update*⁴ the availability of and requested comment on a fact sheet aimed at reducing food waste through encouraging food manufacturers and retailers that apply product dating to use a “Best if Used By” date label. FSIS recommended the use of a “Best if Used By” date label because a national survey showed that this phrase is easily understood by consumers as an

indicator of food quality rather than food safety.⁵

As FSIS explained in the fact sheet, except for infant formula,⁶ product dating is not required by Federal regulation. Food manufacturers frequently use a variety of phrases such as “Sell By” and “Use By” on product labels to describe quality dates on a voluntary basis. The use of different phrases to describe quality dates has likely caused consumer confusion and has led to the disposal of food, just because it is past the date printed on the package, food that is otherwise wholesome and safe.

After carefully reviewing all comments received, FSIS made minor changes to the fact sheet. These changes include updating a hyperlink to FSIS's fact sheet on shelf-stable products and adding “Freeze By” to the list of commonly used phrases used on labels to describe quality dates. Additionally, a footnote was added to clarify that while FSIS does not require date labeling for food quality or food safety, FSIS does require a “pack date” for poultry products and thermally processed, commercially sterile products to help the Agency identify product lots and facilitate trace-back activities in the event of an outbreak of foodborne illness.⁷ The updated fact sheet is available on the Agency's website at <https://www.fsis.usda.gov/wps/wcm/connect/19013cb7-8a4d-474c-8bd7-bda76b9defb3/Food-Product-Dating.pdf?MOD=AJPERES>.

Summary of Comments and Responses

FSIS received 46 comments on the 2016 version of the fact sheet from individual consumers, registered dietitians, employees of food assistance centers, an employee of a food processing company, the chairman of a county legislature, a food inspector, a State environmental protection agency, a trade association representing the meat industry, and a policy group associated with a law school. A summary of issues raised by commenters and the Agency's responses follows.

Comments: Most commenters liked the fact sheet and agreed that food manufacturers and retailers should use “Best if Used By” date labels to reduce

unnecessary food waste caused by consumer label confusion. These commenters argued that because consumers understand that “Best if Used By” is an indicator of food quality and not food safety, they are less likely to waste food. According to these commenters, consumers know that they can safely eat food after the passage of the “Best if Used By” date. A policy group that supported the fact sheet also noted that ReFED, a non-profit organization committed to reducing U.S. food waste, published a report that stated that “standardizing date labels nationally was the most cost-effective solution to reduce food waste, with the potential to divert 398,000 tons of food waste per year and provide \$1.8 billion per year in economic value.”

Several commenters argued that FSIS should go a step further and require food product dating labels. The same policy group mentioned above noted that two of the largest trade groups representing the grocery and manufacturing industries launched a voluntary initiative to standardize date labels on food packages, and that these trade groups also recommended the use of “Best if Used By” to indicate food quality. The policy group argued that because “Best if Used By” is the label preferred by industry to indicate quality, FSIS should just require “Best if Used By” dates on all meat, poultry, and egg products. Some consumers and a registered dietitian argued that rather than require “Best if Used By” labels, FSIS should require safety-based consume-by date labels.

Response: At this time, FSIS does not believe it is necessary to conduct rulemaking to require “Best if Used By” or safety-based consume-by date labels. As noted above, members of industry are already taking steps to standardize food product dating labels on their own. Additionally, the magnitude in number, diversity, and complexity of products that exist in the marketplace make it difficult to require safety-based consume-by date labels. Food safety after the date of food production largely depends on the environmental, storage, and distribution conditions of the food. Moreover, if companies decided to use conservative safety-based consume-by dates, these labels may cause an increase in the amount of food that is wasted.

Comments: An employee of a food processing company and a food inspector argued that the phrase “Best if Used By” is too wordy for a food product label. The same commenters suggested that FSIS recommend the shorter phrases, “Sell By,” “Use By,” and “Best By.” However, a few

¹ See <https://www.ers.usda.gov/amber-waves/2013/june/ers-food-loss-data-help-inform-the-food-waste-discussion/>.

² See <https://www.epa.gov/facts-and-figures-about-materials-waste-and-recycling/national-overview-facts-and-figures-materials>.

³ In January 2016, FSIS issued Directive 7020.1, which makes it easier for companies to donate wholesome products that may be misbranded or economically adulterated. The Directive is available at <https://www.fsis.usda.gov/wps/wcm/connect/25e1becc-4201-4cc0-a707-c9ed38a2f01c/7020.1.pdf?MOD=AJPERES>.

⁴ https://www.fsis.usda.gov/wps/wcm/connect/589fdc30-1f3e-4901-b594-65fc3b46bcb4/ConstituentUpdate121616.pdf?MOD=AJPERES&CONVERT_TO=url&CACHEID=589fdc30-1f3e-4901-b594-65fc3b46bcb4.

⁵ See Emily Broad Leib, Christina Rice, Roni Neff, Marie Spiker, Ali Schklair & Sally Greenberg, *Consumer Perceptions of Date Labels: National Survey*, Harvard Food Law and Policy Clinic, National Consumer Institute and Johns Hopkins Center for a Livable Future (May 2016), http://www.chlpi.org/wp-content/uploads/2013/12/Consumer-Perceptions-on-Date-Labels_May-2016.pdf.

⁶ See 21 CFR 107.20(c).

⁷ See 9 CFR 381.126 and 9 CFR 431.2(e).

consumers argued that “Best if Used By” is too short and vague. These commenters suggested that FSIS use “Best Quality if Used By.” A trade association representing the meat industry also argued that “Best if Used By” is too ambiguous for meat and poultry products. According to the trade association, many meat and poultry products are currently labeled with “Use or Freeze By” labels to provide consumers with clear direction and offer an alternative to disposal. The trade association was concerned that consumers would not understand that products with “Best if Used By” labels may be frozen to extend their usability.

Response: FSIS recognizes that because food product labels are small it is important to convey information clearly and concisely. However, FSIS disagrees that “Best if Used By” is too short or too vague. The national survey mentioned above found that 70 percent of adults surveyed understood that “Best if Used By” was an indicator of food quality. Based on the survey results, FSIS believes that the phrase “Best if Used By” is clear and effective. And, while FSIS still recommends that companies use “Best if Used By,” the Agency has added “Freeze By” to the list of commonly used labeling phrases in the fact sheet to provide more information to consumers on what the label means.

Comment: A trade association representing the meat industry stated that shelf-life in ready-to-eat (RTE) products is often based on controlling *Listeria monocytogenes* (Lm), not organoleptic concerns. According to the commenter, the meat and poultry industry often applies a “Use By” date to ensure refrigerated RTE product safety. Therefore, the commenter argued, consumers should not be told that it is safe to consume refrigerated RTE meat and poultry products after the “Use By” date. The commenter argued that a distinction should be made between a “Best if Used By” date, where the product may be consumed after the date if there are no signs of spoilage, and a “Use By” date, where product should not be consumed after the date even if there are no signs of spoilage.

Response: FSIS disagrees with the comment. While some establishments may use date labeling to manage safety of refrigerated RTE meat and poultry products—not all establishments do. Only establishments producing products with an antimicrobial agent or process must establish the shelf-life of the product in order to document, either in their HACCP plan, Sanitation Standard Operating Procedures, or prerequisite program, that their

antimicrobial agent or process is effective in suppressing or limiting growth of Lm (see 9 CFR 430.4(b)(1) and (2)). In addition, for those products, FSIS recommends but does not require “Use By” dating on labels.⁸

Comment: One consumer was confused by the statement that except for infant formula, product dating is not required by Federal regulations. The consumer questioned how this statement could be true if FSIS requires certain products to be labeled with a “pack date.”

Response: As mentioned above, FSIS added a footnote to the fact sheet to clarify that while FSIS does not require date labeling for food quality or food safety, FSIS does require a “pack date” for poultry products and thermally processed, commercially sterile products to help the Agency identify product lots and facilitate trace-back activities in the event of an outbreak of foodborne illness.⁹

Comments: Several commenters stated that FSIS could do more to ensure that safe, wholesome food is not wasted by providing consumer education about the meaning of date labels.

Response: Now that the fact sheet is finalized, FSIS intends to include more information about food product dating in the Agency’s presentations and webinars on labeling and labeling features.

Additional Public Notification

Public awareness of all segments of rulemaking and policy development is important. Consequently, FSIS will announce this **Federal Register** publication on-line through the FSIS web page located at: <http://www.fsis.usda.gov/federal-register>.

FSIS will also announce and provide a link to it through the FSIS *Constituent Update*, which is used to provide information regarding FSIS policies, procedures, regulations, **Federal Register** notices, FSIS public meetings, and other types of information that could affect or would be of interest to our constituents and stakeholders. The *Constituent Update* is available on the FSIS web page. Through the web page, FSIS is able to provide information to a much broader, more diverse audience. In addition, FSIS offers an email subscription service which provides automatic and customized access to selected food safety news and information. This service is available at:

⁸ See Controlling *Listeria monocytogenes* in Post-lethality Exposed Ready-to-Eat Meat and Poultry Products at <https://www.fsis.usda.gov/wps/wcm/connect/d3373299-50e6-47d6-a577-e74a1e549fde/Controlling-Lm-RTE-Guideline.pdf?MOD=AJPERES>.

⁹ See 9 CFR 381.126 and 9 CFR 431.2(e).

<http://www.fsis.usda.gov/subscribe>. Options range from recalls to export information, regulations, directives, and notices. Customers can add or delete subscriptions themselves and have the option to password protect their accounts.

USDA Nondiscrimination Statement

No agency, officer, or employee of the USDA shall, on the grounds of race, color, national origin, religion, sex, gender identity, sexual orientation, disability, age, marital status, family/parental status, income derived from a public assistance program, or political beliefs, exclude from participation in, deny the benefits of, or subject to discrimination, any person in the United States under any program or activity conducted by the USDA.

How To File a Complaint of Discrimination

To file a complaint of discrimination, complete the USDA Program Discrimination Complaint Form, which may be accessed online at: http://www.ocio.usda.gov/sites/default/files/docs/2012/Complain_combined_6_8_12.pdf, or write a letter signed by you or your authorized representative.

Send your completed complaint form or letter to USDA by mail, fax, or email:

Mail: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Director, Office of Adjudication, 1400 Independence Avenue SW, Washington, DC 20250–9410.

Fax: (202) 690–7442.

Email: program.intake@usda.gov.

Persons with disabilities who require alternative means for communication (Braille, large print, audiotope, etc.) should contact USDA’s TARGET Center at (202) 720–2600 (voice and TDD).

Carmen M. Rottenberg,

Administrator.

[FR Doc. 2019–06988 Filed 4–8–19; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3410-DM-P

COMMISSION ON CIVIL RIGHTS

Notice of Public Meeting of the Connecticut Advisory Committee

AGENCY: Commission on Civil Rights.

ACTION: Announcement of meeting.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given, pursuant to the provisions of the rules and regulations of the U.S. Commission on Civil Rights (Commission), and the Federal Advisory Committee Act (FACA), that a meeting of the Connecticut Advisory Committee to the Commission will convene by conference call at 3:15 p.m. (EDT) on Tuesday, April 16, 2019. The purpose of the