

acquire additional land needed to comply with the OLPP final rule and some of this burden will be borne by small entities. *Id.* at 142. Also, certain existing certified organic slaughter facilities could surrender their organic certification as a result of the OLPP final rule and certain businesses currently providing livestock transport services for certified organic producers or slaughter facilities may be unwilling to meet and/or document compliance with the livestock transit requirements. *Id.* at 149.

Withdrawing the OLPP final rule avoids these economic impacts without introducing any incremental burdens or erecting barriers that would restrict the ability of small entities to compete in the market. This conclusion is supported by the historic growth of the organic industry without the regulatory amendments.

This rule relieves producers of the costs of complying with the OLPP final rule. The effects of withdrawal will be beneficial and not defined as significant for the specific purposes of the Regulatory Flexibility Act. Some small entities may experience time and money savings as a result of not having to change practices to comply with the OLPP final rule. Affected small entities would include organic egg and organic broiler producers. This rule will provide measurable, savings for small entities. However, for the definitional purposes of the RFA, these savings are not considered a “significant” economic impact on a substantial number of small entities.

Under these circumstances, the Administrator of AMS has determined that this action will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities and certifies as such.

#### VIII. Executive Order 12988

Executive Order 12988 instructs each executive agency to adhere to certain requirements in the development of new and revised regulations in order to avoid unduly burdening the court system.

Pursuant to section 6519(f) of OFPA, this final rule would not alter the authority of the Secretary under the Federal Meat Inspection Act (21 U.S.C. 601–624), the Poultry Products Inspection Act (21 U.S.C. 451–471), or the Egg Products Inspection Act (21 U.S.C. 1031–1056), concerning meat, poultry, and egg products, respectively, nor any of the authorities of the Secretary of Health and Human Services under the Federal Food, Drug and Cosmetic Act (21 U.S.C. 301–399), nor the authority of the Administrator of the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency

under the Federal Insecticide, Fungicide, and Rodenticide Act (7 U.S.C. 136–136(y)).

#### IX. Paperwork Reduction Act

No additional collection or recordkeeping requirements are imposed on the public by withdrawing the OLPP final rule. Accordingly, OMB clearance is not required by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3501), Chapter 35. Withdrawing the OLPP final rule will avoid an estimated \$1.95–\$3.9 million in costs for increased paperwork burden associated with that final rule.

#### X. Executive Order 13175

This rule has been reviewed in accordance with the requirements of Executive Order 13175, “Consultation and Coordination with Indian Tribal Governments.” Executive Order 13175 requires Federal agencies to consult and coordinate with tribes on a government-to-government basis on policies that have tribal implications, including regulations, legislative comments or proposed legislation, and other policy statements or actions that have substantial direct effects on one or more Indian tribes, on the relationship between the Federal Government and Indian tribes, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities between the Federal Government and Indian tribes.

AMS has assessed the impact of this rule on Indian tribes and determined that this rule would not, to our knowledge, have tribal implications that require tribal consultation under Executive Order 13175. If a Tribe requests consultation, AMS will work with the Office of Tribal Relations to ensure meaningful consultation is provided where changes, additions and modifications identified herein are not expressly mandated by Congress.

#### XI. Civil Rights Impact Analysis

AMS has reviewed this final rule in accordance with the Department Regulation 4300–4, Civil Rights Impact Analysis, to address any major civil rights impacts the rule might have on minorities, women, and persons with disabilities. AMS has determined that withdrawing the OLPP final rule has no potential for affecting producers in protected groups differently than the general population of producers.

#### XII. Conclusion

In compliance with OFPA and consistent with the regulatory policies of Executive Orders 12866 and 13563, AMS is withdrawing the OLPP final rule.

Dated: March 8, 2018.

**Bruce Summers,**

*Acting Administrator, Agricultural Marketing Service.*

[FR Doc. 2018–05029 Filed 3–12–18; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3410–02–P

## NATIONAL CREDIT UNION ADMINISTRATION

### 12 CFR Part 741

RIN 3133–AE77

### Requirements for Insurance; National Credit Union Share Insurance Fund Equity Distributions; Correction

**AGENCY:** National Credit Union Administration (NCUA).

**ACTION:** Final rule; correction.

**SUMMARY:** On February 23, 2018, the NCUA Board (Board) issued a final rule adopting amendments to its share insurance requirements rule to provide stakeholders with greater transparency regarding the calculation of each eligible financial institution’s pro rata share of a declared equity distribution from the National Credit Union Share Insurance Fund (NCUSIF). A clerical error appeared which confuses what CFR unit is being amended. This document corrects that error.

**DATES:** This correction is effective March 26, 2018.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Benjamin M. Litchfield, Staff Attorney, Office of General Counsel, at (703) 518–6540; or Steve Farrar, Supervisory Financial Analyst, Office of Examination and Insurance, at (703) 518–6360. You may also contact them at the National Credit Union Administration, 1775 Duke Street, Alexandria, Virginia 22314–3428.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** On February 23, 2018, at 83 FR 7954, the Board issued a final rule adopting amendments to 12 CFR part 741. In amendments to appendices A, B, and C to part 741, incorrect headings appeared above amendatory instructions 4 and 5 on page 7964 identifying the wrong CFR part. Instruction 5 omitted the part number.

Therefore, FR Rule Doc. No. 2018–03622, published on February 23, 2018, beginning on page 7954, is corrected as follows:

■ 1. On page 7964, in the center column, the heading above amendatory instruction 4 is corrected to read as follows:

**Appendix A to Part 741 [Removed]**

■ 2. On page 7964, in the center column, the heading above amendatory instruction 5 and amendatory instruction 5 are corrected to read as follows:

**Appendices B and C to Part 741 [Redesignated as Appendices A and B to Part 741]**

■ 5. Redesignate appendix B and appendix C to part 741 as appendix A and appendix B to part 741, respectively.

By the National Credit Union Administration Board on March 7, 2018.

**Gerard Poliquin,**

*Secretary of the Board.*

[FR Doc. 2018-05056 Filed 3-12-18; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7535-01-P

**DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION****Federal Aviation Administration****14 CFR Part 73**

[Docket No. FAA-2018-0103; Airspace Docket No. 18-ASO-1]

**Amendment of Restricted Areas R-2907C, R-2910B, R-2910C, and R-2910E; Pinecastle, FL**

**AGENCY:** Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), DOT.

**ACTION:** Final rule; technical amendment.

**SUMMARY:** This action updates the controlling agency information for restricted areas R-2907C, R-2910B, R-2910C, and R-2910E; Pinecastle, FL. This is an administrative change to reflect the current organizations tasked with controlling agency responsibilities for the restricted areas. It does not affect the boundaries, designated altitudes, time of designation or activities conducted within the restricted areas.

**DATES:** *Effective date:* 0901 UTC, May 24, 2018.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Paul Gallant, Airspace Policy Group, Office of Airspace Services, Federal Aviation Administration, 800 Independence Avenue SW, Washington, DC 20591; telephone: (202) 267-8783.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:****Authority for This Rulemaking**

The FAA's authority to issue rules regarding aviation safety is found in Title 49 of the United States Code. Subtitle I, Section 106 describes the authority of the FAA Administrator. Subtitle VII, Aviation Programs,

describes in more detail the scope of the agency's authority. This rulemaking is promulgated under the authority described in Subtitle VII, Part A, Subpart I, Section 40103. Under that section, the FAA is charged with prescribing regulations to assign the use of the airspace necessary to ensure the safety of aircraft and the efficient use of airspace. This regulation is within the scope of that authority as it updates the controlling agency for restricted areas R-2907C, R-2910B, R-2910C and R-2910E; Pinecastle, FL, to reflect the current responsible organizations.

**The Rule**

This rule amends title 14 Code of Federal Regulations (14 CFR) part 73 by updating the controlling agency name for restricted areas R-2907C, R-2910B, R-2910C, and R-2910E; Pinecastle, FL. The controlling agency for R-2907C and R-2910E is changed from "FAA, Jacksonville ARTCC," to "FAA, Jacksonville TRACON." The controlling agency for R-2910B and R-2910C is changed from "FAA, Jacksonville ARTCC," to "FAA, Central Florida TRACON." This action is necessary in order to assign controlling agency responsibilities to the air traffic control facilities having jurisdiction over the affected airspace.

This is an administrative change that does not affect the boundaries, designated altitudes, or activities conducted within the restricted areas; therefore, notice and public procedure under 5 U.S.C. 553(b) are unnecessary.

**Regulatory Notices and Analyses**

The FAA has determined that this action only involves an established body of technical regulations for which frequent and routine amendments are necessary to keep them operationally current. It, therefore: (1) Is not a "significant regulatory action" under Executive Order 12866; (2) is not a "significant rule" under DOT Regulatory Policies and Procedures (44 FR 11034; February 26, 1979); and (3) does not warrant preparation of a regulatory evaluation as the anticipated impact is so minimal. Since this is a routine matter that only affects air traffic procedures and air navigation, it is certified that this rule, when promulgated, does not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities under the criteria of the Regulatory Flexibility Act.

**Environmental Review**

The FAA has determined that this action of updating the agency information for restricted areas R-2907C, R-2910B, R-2910C and R-

2910E; Pinecastle, FL, qualifies for categorical exclusion under the National Environmental Policy Act, and in accordance with FAA Order 1050.1F, Environmental Impacts: Policies and Procedures, paragraph 5-6.5.d, "Modification of the technical description of special use airspace (SUA) that does not alter the dimensions, altitudes, or times of designation of the airspace (such as changes in designation of the controlling or using agency, or correction of typographical errors)." This airspace action is an administrative change to the description of restricted areas R-2907C, R-2910B, R-2910C and R-2910E; Pinecastle, FL, to update the controlling agency names. It does not alter the dimensions, altitudes, time of designation, or use of the airspace. Therefore, this airspace action is not expected to result in any significant environmental impacts. In accordance with FAA Order 1050.1F, paragraph 5-2 regarding Extraordinary Circumstances, this action has been reviewed for factors and circumstances in which a normally categorically excluded action may have a significant environmental impact requiring further analysis, and it is determined that no extraordinary circumstances exist that warrant preparation of an environmental assessment.

**List of Subjects in 14 CFR Part 73**

Airspace, Prohibited areas, Restricted areas.

**Adoption of the Amendment**

In consideration of the foregoing, the Federal Aviation Administration amends 14 CFR part 73 as follows:

**PART 73—SPECIAL USE AIRSPACE**

■ 1. The authority citation for part 73 continues to read as follows:

**Authority:** 49 U.S.C. 106(f), 106(g); 40103, 40113, 40120; E.O. 10854, 24 FR 9565, 3 CFR, 1959-1963 Comp., p. 389.

**§ 73.29 [Amended]**

■ 2. Section 73.29 is amended as follows:

\* \* \* \* \*

**R-2907C Pinecastle, FL [Amended]**

By removing the words "Controlling agency. FAA, Jacksonville ARTCC," and adding in their place the words "Controlling agency. FAA, Jacksonville TRACON."

**R-2910B Pinecastle, FL [Amended]**

By removing "Controlling agency. FAA, Jacksonville ARTCC," and adding in its place "Controlling agency. FAA, Central Florida TRACON."