

future issuances of securities and assumptions of liability, is February 13, 2017.

The Commission encourages electronic submission of protests and interventions in lieu of paper, using the FERC Online links at <http://www.ferc.gov>. To facilitate electronic service, persons with Internet access who will eFile a document and/or be listed as a contact for an intervenor must create and validate an eRegistration account using the eRegistration link. Select the eFiling link to log on and submit the intervention or protests.

Persons unable to file electronically should submit an original and 5 copies of the intervention or protest to the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street NE., Washington, DC 20426.

The filings in the above-referenced proceeding are accessible in the Commission's eLibrary system by clicking on the appropriate link in the above list. They are also available for electronic review in the Commission's Public Reference Room in Washington, DC. There is an eSubscription link on the Web site that enables subscribers to receive email notification when a document is added to a subscribed docket(s). For assistance with any FERC Online service, please email [FERCOnlineSupport@ferc.gov](mailto:FERCOnlineSupport@ferc.gov), or call (866) 208-3676 (toll free). For TTY, call (202) 502-8659.

Dated: January 24, 2017.

**Nathaniel J. Davis, Sr.,**

*Deputy Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 2017-01943 Filed 1-27-17; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 6717-01-P**

## FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION

### Sunshine Act Meeting

**AGENCY:** Federal Election Commission.

**DATE AND TIME:** Tuesday, January 24, 2017 at 10:00 a.m. and its continuation at the conclusion of the open meeting on January 25, 2017.

**PLACE:** 999 E Street NW., Washington, DC.

**STATUS:** This meeting was closed to the public.

**FEDERAL REGISTER NOTICE OF PREVIOUS ANNOUNCEMENT:** 82 FR 6550.

**ITEMS ALSO DISCUSSED:** Matters relating to internal personnel decisions, or internal rules and practices. Information the premature disclosure of which would be likely to have a considerable adverse effect on the implementation of a proposed Commission action.

\* \* \* \* \*

**PERSON TO CONTACT FOR INFORMATION:** Judith Ingram, Press Officer, Telephone: (202) 694-1220.

**Dayna C. Brown,**

*Acting Secretary and Clerk of the Commission.*

[FR Doc. 2017-02008 Filed 1-26-17; 11:15 am]

**BILLING CODE 6715-01-P**

## FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION

### Sunshine Act Meetings

**AGENCY:** Federal Election Commission

**DATE AND TIME:** Wednesday, January 25, 2017 at 11:00 a.m.

**PLACE:** 999 E Street NW., Washington, DC (Ninth Floor).

**STATUS:** This meeting was open to the public.

**FEDERAL REGISTER NOTICE OF PREVIOUS ANNOUNCEMENT:** 82 FR 7831.

**THE FOLLOWING ITEM WAS ALSO**

**DISCUSSED:** Approval of Payment for Travel Expenses.

**PERSON TO CONTACT FOR INFORMATION:** Judith Ingram, Press Officer, Telephone: (202) 694-1220.

**Dayna C. Brown,**

*Acting Secretary and Clerk of the Commission.*

[FR Doc. 2017-02009 Filed 1-26-17; 11:15 am]

**BILLING CODE 6715-01-P**

## FEDERAL FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS EXAMINATION COUNCIL

[Docket No. AS17-02]

### Appraisal Subcommittee; Notice of Meeting

**AGENCY:** Appraisal Subcommittee of the Federal Financial Institutions Examination Council.

**ACTION:** Notice of meeting.

*Description:* In accordance with Section 1104 (b) of Title XI of the Financial Institutions Reform, Recovery, and Enforcement Act of 1989, as amended, notice is hereby given that the Appraisal Subcommittee (ASC) will meet in open session for its regular meeting:

*Location:* Federal Reserve Board—International Square location 1850 K Street NW., Washington, DC 20006.

*Date:* February 10, 2017.

*Time:* 10:00 a.m.

*Status:* Open.

*Reports:*

Chairman

Executive Director

Delegated State Compliance Reviews

Financial Report

*Action and Discussion Items:*

November 9, 2016 Open Session

Minutes

FY16 Foundation Grant Reprogramming Request

*How To Attend and Observe an ASC Meeting:* If you plan to attend the ASC Meeting in person, we ask that you send an email to [meetings@asc.gov](mailto:meetings@asc.gov). You may register until close of business four business days before the meeting date. You will be contacted by the Federal Reserve Law Enforcement Unit on security requirements. You will also be asked to provide a valid government-issued ID before being admitted to the Meeting. The meeting space is intended to accommodate public attendees. However, if the space will not accommodate all requests, the ASC may refuse attendance on that reasonable basis. The use of any video or audio tape recording device, photographing device, or any other electronic or mechanical device designed for similar purposes is prohibited at ASC meetings.

Dated: January 25, 2017.

**James R. Park,**

*Executive Director.*

[FR Doc. 2017-01980 Filed 1-27-17; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE P**

## FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION

[File No. 141 0194]

### Cooperativa de Médicos Oftalmólogos de Puerto Rico (OfitaCoop); Analysis to Aid Public Comment

**AGENCY:** Federal Trade Commission.

**ACTION:** Proposed consent agreement.

**SUMMARY:** The consent agreement in this matter settles alleged violations of federal law prohibiting unfair methods of competition. The attached Analysis to Aid Public Comment describes both the allegations in the complaint and the terms of the consent order—embodied in the consent agreement—that would settle these allegations.

**DATES:** Comments must be received on or before February 21, 2017.

**ADDRESSES:** Interested parties may file a comment at <https://ftcpbpublic.commentworks.com/ftc/oftacoopconsent> online or on paper, by following the instructions in the Request for Comment part of the

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION** section below. Write "In the Matter of Cooperativa de Medicos Oftalmologos de Puerto Rico, File No. 1410194—Consent Agreement" on your comment and file your comment online at <https://ftcpbpublic.commentworks.com/ftc/oftacoopconsent>

[ftcpublic.commentworks.com/ftc/oftacoopconsent](http://ftcpublic.commentworks.com/ftc/oftacoopconsent) by following the instructions on the web-based form. If you prefer to file your comment on paper, write "In the Matter of Cooperativa de Medicos Oftalmologos de Puerto Rico, File No. 1410194—Consent Agreement" on your comment and on the envelope, and mail your comment to the following address: Federal Trade Commission, Office of the Secretary, 600 Pennsylvania Avenue NW., Suite CC–5610 (Annex D), Washington, DC 20580, or deliver your comment to the following address: Federal Trade Commission, Office of the Secretary, Constitution Center, 400 7th Street SW., 5th Floor, Suite 5610 (Annex D), Washington, DC 20024.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Synda Mark (202–326–2353), Bureau of Competition, 600 Pennsylvania Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20580.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** Pursuant to Section 6(f) of the Federal Trade Commission Act, 15 U.S.C. 46(f), and FTC Rule 2.34, 16 CFR 2.34, notice is hereby given that the above-captioned consent agreement containing consent order to cease and desist, having been filed with and accepted, subject to final approval, by the Commission, has been placed on the public record for a period of thirty (30) days. The following Analysis to Aid Public Comment describes the terms of the consent agreement, and the allegations in the complaint. An electronic copy of the full text of the consent agreement package can be obtained from the FTC Home Page (for January 19, 2017), on the World Wide Web, at <http://www.ftc.gov/os/actions.shtm>.

You can file a comment online or on paper. For the Commission to consider your comment, we must receive it on or before February 21, 2017. Write "In the Matter of Cooperativa de Medicos Oftalmologos de Puerto Rico, File No. 1410194—Consent Agreement" on your comment. Your comment—including your name and your state—will be placed on the public record of this proceeding, including, to the extent practicable, on the public Commission Web site, at <http://www.ftc.gov/os/publiccomments.shtm>. As a matter of discretion, the Commission tries to remove individuals' home contact information from comments before placing them on the Commission Web site.

Because your comment will be made public, you are solely responsible for making sure that your comment does not include any sensitive personal information, like anyone's Social Security number, date of birth, driver's

license number or other state identification number or foreign country equivalent, passport number, financial account number, or credit or debit card number. You are also solely responsible for making sure that your comment does not include any sensitive health information, like medical records or other individually identifiable health information. In addition, do not include any "[t]rade secret or any commercial or financial information which . . . is privileged or confidential," as discussed in Section 6(f) of the FTC Act, 15 U.S.C. 46(f), and FTC Rule 4.10(a)(2), 16 CFR 4.10(a)(2). In particular, do not include competitively sensitive information such as costs, sales statistics, inventories, formulas, patterns, devices, manufacturing processes, or customer names.

If you want the Commission to give your comment confidential treatment, you must file it in paper form, with a request for confidential treatment, and you have to follow the procedure explained in FTC Rule 4.9(c), 16 CFR 4.9(c).<sup>1</sup> Your comment will be kept confidential only if the FTC General Counsel, in his or her sole discretion, grants your request in accordance with the law and the public interest.

Postal mail addressed to the Commission is subject to delay due to heightened security screening. As a result, we encourage you to submit your comments online. To make sure that the Commission considers your online comment, you must file it at <https://ftcpublic.commentworks.com/ftc/oftacoopconsent> by following the instructions on the web-based form. If this Notice appears at <http://www.regulations.gov/#/home>, you also may file a comment through that Web site.

If you file your comment on paper, write "In the Matter of Cooperativa de Medicos Oftalmologos de Puerto Rico, File No. 1410194—Consent Agreement" on your comment and on the envelope, and mail your comment to the following address: Federal Trade Commission, Office of the Secretary, 600 Pennsylvania Avenue NW., Suite CC–5610 (Annex D), Washington, DC 20580, or deliver your comment to the following address: Federal Trade Commission, Office of the Secretary, Constitution Center, 400 7th Street SW., 5th Floor, Suite 5610 (Annex D), Washington, DC 20024. If possible, submit your paper comment to the

Commission by courier or overnight service.

Visit the Commission Web site at <http://www.ftc.gov> to read this Notice and the news release describing it. The FTC Act and other laws that the Commission administers permit the collection of public comments to consider and use in this proceeding as appropriate. The Commission will consider all timely and responsive public comments that it receives on or before February 21, 2017. You can find more information, including routine uses permitted by the Privacy Act, in the Commission's privacy policy, at <http://www.ftc.gov/ftc/privacy.htm>.

## Analysis of Agreement Containing Consent Order To Aid Public Comment

### I. Overview

The Federal Trade Commission (Commission), has accepted, subject to final approval, an agreement containing a proposed consent order with the Cooperativa de Médicos Oftalmólogos de Puerto Rico (Respondent or OftaCoop). The agreement settles charges that OftaCoop violated Section 5 of the Federal Trade Commission Act, as amended, 15 U.S.C. 45, by orchestrating a concerted refusal to deal by ophthalmologists in Puerto Rico to preclude a third-party payor and its network administrator from implementing a cost-savings program to manage ophthalmology services and reduce reimbursement rates.

The proposed consent order has been placed on the public record for 30 days to solicit comments from interested persons. Comments received during this period will become part of the public record. After 30 days, the Commission will again review the proposed consent order along with the comments received, and decide whether it should withdraw from the consent agreement, modify it, or make final the proposed consent order.

The purpose of this analysis is to facilitate public comment on the proposed consent order. The analysis is not intended to constitute an official interpretation of the proposed consent order or to modify its terms in any way. Further, the proposed consent order has been entered into for settlement purposes only and does not constitute an admission by Respondent that it violated the law or that the facts alleged in the Complaint (other than jurisdictional facts) are true.

### II. The Complaint

OftaCoop is a healthcare cooperative with about 100 ophthalmologists organized under the laws of the

<sup>1</sup> In particular, the written request for confidential treatment that accompanies the comment must include the factual and legal basis for the request, and must identify the specific portions of the comment to be withheld from the public record. See FTC Rule 4.9(c), 16 CFR 4.9(c).

Commonwealth of Puerto Rico. The proposed complaint charges that OftaCoop facilitated an agreement among competing ophthalmologists to refuse to deal with MCS Advantage, Inc. (MCS), a payor, and Eye Management of Puerto Rico (Eye Management), MCS's network administrator. The allegations of the proposed complaint are summarized below.

MCS provides healthcare coverage to enrollees of its Medicare Advantage plans pursuant to a contract with Medicare. Medicare pays MCS a premium; in exchange, MCS arranges and pays for healthcare services for its enrollees. To participate in the Medicare Advantage program, MCS must offer a provider network with a sufficient number of physicians to comply with the program's network adequacy requirement designed to ensure enrollees have adequate access to healthcare services. MCS sought to lower its costs after Medicare reduced the premiums it was paying to MCS.

In April 2014, MCS asked Eye Management to create and manage a network of ophthalmologists in Puerto Rico to help lower costs and better manage ophthalmology services provided to its Medicare Advantage enrollees. Eye Management would administer ophthalmology services and benefits provided to MCS enrollees, including credentialing, utilization review, claims processing, and other management services. Under the arrangement, Eye Management would enter into contracts directly with each ophthalmologist to replace MCS's existing contracts with each ophthalmologist. In early June 2014, Eye Management sent a proposed contract to every ophthalmologist contracted with MCS at the time. These contracts offered payments at rates that were about 10% lower, on average, than the rates under the existing contracts between MCS and each ophthalmologist.

OftaCoop convened a meeting on June 14, 2014 with OftaCoop members and non-member ophthalmologists to discuss their dissatisfaction with Eye Management. The attendees agreed not to sign a new contract with Eye Management in order to prevent Eye Management from creating a network on behalf of MCS. After the meeting, OftaCoop's former Secretary of the Board of Directors, with help from OftaCoop's president, sent an email to OftaCoop member and non-member ophthalmologists with the subject line "DO NOT SIGN THE MCS/EYE MANAGEMENT AGREEMENT." The email was signed "Board of Directors OFTACOOP" and sent from OftaCoop's official email account. The email urged

the ophthalmologists not to sign the contract with Eye Management so they could collectively negotiate with payors through OftaCoop.

Eye Management's medical director was one of the recipients of the email. In response to the email, Eye Management's counsel sent OftaCoop a cease-and-desist letter on June 19, 2014, asking OftaCoop to stop interfering with negotiations between Eye Management and individual ophthalmologists. The letter also notified OftaCoop that any agreement among competing ophthalmologists to jointly refuse to contract with Eye Management was illegal under the antitrust laws.

OftaCoop next met on June 22, 2014. The stated purpose of that meeting, according to the June 14, 2014 email, was "to turn this around and for us to trample over MCS." At the meeting, OftaCoop's president told the attendees they should make their own decision about payor contracting. Notwithstanding Eye Management's cease-and-desist letter, the former Secretary of the Board told the meeting attendees that they had to be united against Eye Management.

The collective refusal to deal among the ophthalmologists prevented Eye Management from creating a lower-cost network. Few ophthalmologists joined the Eye Management network. In early August 2014, Eye Management informed MCS of its inability to form a viable network of ophthalmologists. MCS directed Eye Management to suspend further efforts to develop a network.

MCS next tried to lower costs through its direct contracts with the ophthalmologists. In early August 2014, MCS offered to continue contracting directly with the ophthalmologists at rates about 10% below rates under its existing contracts with the ophthalmologists. Just as they had rejected Eye Management's proposed contracts, many ophthalmologists refused to accept MCS's offer and cancelled, or threatened to cancel, their existing contracts with MCS. The contract cancellations jeopardized MCS's ability to meet network adequacy requirements for its Medicare Advantage enrollees. It also threatened to imperil patient care: MCS received hundreds of phone calls from its enrollees complaining that ophthalmologists were not offering appointments or cancelling previously scheduled surgeries. MCS had no choice but to abandon its plan to lower rates and instead continued paying ophthalmologists the higher rates to retain its network.

Finally, the complaint alleges that OftaCoop has not undertaken any

activities to create any integration among OftaCoop members in their delivery of ophthalmology services and thus cannot justify the alleged conduct.

### III. The Proposed Consent Order

The proposed consent order is designed to prevent recurrence of the illegal conduct alleged in the complaint. The key provisions are aimed at preventing OftaCoop from using concerted refusals to deal or other coercive tactics to extract favorable contract terms from payors. The proposed consent order also takes into account a change in Puerto Rico law that authorizes healthcare cooperatives to jointly negotiate with payors. Therefore, the proposed consent order does not prohibit OftaCoop from jointly contracting with payors.

#### A. Proposed Consent Order Provisions

Paragraph II.A bars OftaCoop from organizing or implementing agreements to refuse to deal, or to threaten to refuse to deal, with a payor over contract terms, as well as agreements not to deal individually with payors, or to deal only through OftaCoop. Paragraph II.B prohibits OftaCoop from submitting for state approval any payor contract that it negotiated using acts of coercion, intimidation, boycott, or concerted refusal to deal.

The remaining portions of Paragraph II prohibit conduct that would facilitate a violation of Paragraph II.A. Paragraph II.C bars information exchanges to further conduct that violates the core prohibitions of Paragraph II. Paragraphs II.D and II.E. ban attempts and encouragement of such violations.

Paragraph III.A requires OftaCoop to send a copy of the complaint and consent order to its members, officers, directors, managers, and employees. Paragraph III.B contains notification provisions relating to future contact with its members, officers, directors, managers and employees. For five years after the date on which the consent order is issued, OftaCoop is required to distribute a copy of the consent order and complaint to each member who begins participating in OftaCoop and each person who becomes an officer director, manager, or employee. Paragraph III.B also requires OftaCoop to publish a copy of the consent order and complaint, annually for five years, on its Web site, if any, or any official publication it sends to its members.

Paragraphs IV, V, and VI impose various obligations on OftaCoop to report or provide access to information to the Commission to facilitate monitoring of compliance with the consent order.

Finally, paragraph VII provides that the consent order will expire in 20 years.

*B. Impact of New Puerto Rico Law on the Proposed Consent Order and Inclusion of a Proviso*

During the investigation, Puerto Rico passed a new law (Act 228 of December 15, 2015) permitting healthcare cooperatives such as OftaCoop to jointly negotiate contracts with payors. Under this new law, healthcare cooperatives must file their payor agreements with the Puerto Rico Public Corporation for the Supervision and Insurance of Cooperatives (COSSEC). A committee whose members are not competitors in the market will oversee the negotiations, and must approve or disapprove each agreement.

Puerto Rico has neither issued any regulations nor do we have any record to evaluate how Puerto Rico will supervise negotiations. Therefore, the Commission is unable to assess to whether Act 228 complies with state action requirements.<sup>2</sup> Although it is too early to assess Puerto Rico's implementation of the new law, the Commission believes the circumstances here make it appropriate to defer to Puerto Rico's expressed intention to actively supervise joint negotiations between healthcare cooperatives and payors. Puerto Rico officials have only been recently granted that authority, and it is appropriate to allow them an opportunity to utilize that authority. As a result, the proposed consent order does not bar collective price negotiations. This is consistent with the consent order in another matter involving healthcare providers where state officials had authority to actively supervise private conduct but had not exercised it.<sup>3</sup>

In light of Act 228, the order also includes a proviso designed to clarify the scope of the prohibitions in Paragraph II. First, it provides that the provisions of Paragraph II do not prohibit OftaCoop, in exercising its business judgment, from rejecting a contract on behalf of its members, so long as there is no agreement between OftaCoop and any of its members that

the member will refuse to deal individually (or will deal only through OftaCoop). Second, the proposed consent order does not prevent OftaCoop from exchanging information when necessary to conduct joint payor contract negotiations on behalf of its members. Such information would not, however, ordinarily include whether an individual member is participating in a particular contract or the terms on which it is negotiating with a payor independently of OftaCoop.

By direction of the Commission.

**Donald S. Clark,**

*Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 2017-01899 Filed 1-27-17; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 6750-01-P**

## FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION

### **Agency Information Collection Activities: Proposed Collection; Comment Request; Generic Clearance for the Collection of Qualitative Feedback on Agency Service Delivery**

**AGENCY:** Division of Consumer and Business Education, Federal Trade Commission ("FTC" or "Commission").

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** The information collection requirements described below will be submitted to the Office of Management and Budget ("OMB") for review, as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act ("PRA"). The FTC is seeking public comments on its proposal to renew its PRA clearance to participate in the OMB program "Generic Clearance for the Collection of Qualitative Feedback on Agency Service Delivery." This program was created to facilitate federal agencies' efforts to streamline the process to seek public feedback on service delivery. Current FTC clearance under this program expires April 30, 2017.

**DATES:** Comments must be submitted by March 31, 2017.

**ADDRESSES:** Interested parties may file a comment online or on paper, by following the instructions in the Request for Comment part of the **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION** section below. Write "FTC Generic Clearance ICR, Project No. P035201" on your comment, and file your comment online at <https://ftcpublishcommentworks.com/ftc/genericclearance> by following the instructions on the web-based form. If you prefer to file your comment on paper, mail your comment to the following address: Federal Trade Commission, Office of the Secretary, 600 Pennsylvania Avenue NW., Suite

CC-5610 (Annex J), Washington, DC 20580, or deliver your comment to the following address: Federal Trade Commission, Office of the Secretary, Constitution Center, 400 7th Street SW., 5th Floor Suite 5610 (Annex J), Washington, DC 20024.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** To request additional information, please contact Bridget Small at 202-326-3266.

### **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

Executive Order 12862 (1993) ("Setting Customer Service Standards") directs all Federal executive departments and agencies and requests independent Federal agencies to provide service to "customers" that matches or exceeds the best service available in the private sector. *See also* Executive Order 13571 (2011) ("Streamlining Service Delivery and Improving Customer Service"). For purposes of these orders, "customer" means an individual who or entity that is directly served by a department or agency.

To the above ends, and to work continuously to ensure that the FTC's programs are effective and meet our customers' needs, we seek renewed OMB approval of a generic clearance to collect qualitative feedback on our service delivery (*i.e.*, the products and services that the FTC creates to help consumers and businesses understand their rights and responsibilities, including Web sites, blogs, videos, print publications, and other content). "Qualitative feedback" denotes information that provides useful insights on public perceptions and opinions, but are not statistical surveys that yield quantitative results that can be generalized to the population of study. The solicitation of feedback on service delivery will target areas such as timeliness, appropriateness, accuracy of information, courtesy, efficiency of service delivery, and resolution of issues with service delivery.

The FTC will collect, analyze, and interpret information it gathers through this generic clearance program to identify strengths and weaknesses of current services and make improvements in service delivery based on feedback.

The types of collections that the proposed generic clearance covers include, but are not limited to:

- Customer comment cards/complaint forms;
- Small discussion groups;
- Focus Groups of customers, potential customers, delivery partners, or other stakeholders;
- Cognitive laboratory studies, such as those used to refine questions or assess usability of a Web site;

<sup>2</sup> The state action doctrine shields certain anticompetitive conduct by the states from federal antitrust scrutiny. *See Parker v. Brown*, 317 U.S. 341 (1943).

<sup>3</sup> *See Minnesota Rural Health Cooperative*, C-4311 (Jan. 4, 2011) (consent order, in settling charges that a group of doctors and hospitals used coercive tactics in negotiations with payors, prohibited using coercion in negotiations, but did not bar joint negotiations), available at <https://www.ftc.gov/news-events/press-releases/2010/06/minnesota-health-care-provider-group-settles-ftc-price-fixing>.