

Burden estimates for each reporting institution type are shown in Table 2.

TABLE 2—BURDEN ESTIMATES FOR THE 2017 GSS

School type	Respondents (number of schools)	Average burden (hours)	Total burden (hours)
Master's Only	339	5.9	2,000
Master's/Doctorate: 15 or fewer units	205	17.1	3,506
Master's/Doctorate: More than 15 units	282	86.6	24,421
FFRDCs	43	3.7	159
Estimated total	869		30,086

The number of units in the subsequent survey cycle will include the institutions in the previous year plus an approximate 1 percent increase in institutions. The FFRDC postdoc data collection will take place in 2017 and

2019, and the estimated burden for those years will increase by 159 hours from 43 FFRDCs (based on 100 percent response rate in 2015 with the average burden of 3.7 hours per FFRDC) to a total of 30,086 and 30,738 hours,

respectively (see Table 3). Estimates of the 2018 GSS burden are 30,262 hours. An additional 800 hours across three years are requested to conduct methodological testing.

TABLE 3—TOTAL BURDEN ESTIMATES FOR 2017–19 GSS

Survey cycle	Respondents (number of schools)	Total burden (hours)
2017 GSS	869	30,086
GSS Institutions	826	29,927
FFRDCs	43	159
2018 GSS	836	30,262
2019 GSS	888	30,738
GSS Institutions	845	30,579
FFRDCs	43	159
Future methodological testing (across all 3 years)		800
Total estimated burden	2,593	91,886
Estimated average annual burden	864	30,629

The total estimated respondent burden of the GSS, including 800 hours for the methodological studies, will be 91,886 hours over the 3-survey clearance period.

Dated: September 14, 2017.

Suzanne H. Plimpton,

Reports Clearance Officer, National Science Foundation.

[FR Doc. 2017–19889 Filed 9–18–17; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7555–01–P

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket No. 030–28641; NRC–2017–0095]

Department of the Air Force; Robins Air Force Base, Georgia

AGENCY: Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

ACTION: Environmental assessment and finding of no significant impact; issuance.

SUMMARY: The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) is considering an

amendment to Materials License 42–23539–01AF, issued to the Department of the Air Force (licensee), Docket No. 030–28641, to approve a decommissioning plan (DP) for Building 181 at Robins Air Force Base (AFB), Georgia. If the DP is approved by the NRC, the licensee would be authorized to remediate residual depleted uranium (DU) from the building, prior to partial demolition of the building. As part of its review, the NRC conducted an assessment of the environmental impacts of the proposed decommissioning action. The NRC concluded that the proposed decommissioning project will have minimal impacts on the environment. This Notice provides details of the NRC's environmental assessment. Based in part on this assessment, the NRC plans to approve the proposed DP by amending the license.

DATES: Materials License 42–23539–01AF, Docket No. 030–28641, will be amended to approve the DP on or after September 19, 2017.

ADDRESSES: Please refer to Docket ID NRC–2017–0095 when contacting the NRC about the availability of information regarding this document. You may obtain publicly-available information related to this document using any of the following methods:

- *Federal Rulemaking Web site:* Go to <http://www.regulations.gov> and search for Docket ID NRC–2017–0095. Address questions about NRC dockets to Carol Gallagher; telephone: 301–415–3463; email: Carol.Gallagher@nrc.gov. For technical questions, contact the individual listed in the **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** section of this document.
- *NRC's Agencywide Documents Access and Management System (ADAMS):* You may obtain publicly-available documents online in the ADAMS Public Documents collection at <http://www.nrc.gov/reading-rm/adams.html>. To begin the search, select “ADAMS Public Documents” and then select “Begin Web-based ADAMS Search.” For problems with ADAMS, please contact the NRC's Public

Document Room (PDR) reference staff at 1-800-397-4209, 301-415-4737, or by email to pdr.resource@nrc.gov. The ADAMS accession number for each document referenced (if it is available in ADAMS) is provided the first time that it is mentioned in this document. In addition, for the convenience of the reader, the ADAMS accession numbers are provided in a table in the "Availability of Documents" section of this document.

- **NRC's PDR:** You may examine and purchase copies of public documents at the NRC's PDR, Room O1-F21, One White Flint North, 11555 Rockville Pike, Rockville, Maryland 20852.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:
Vivian Campbell, Region IV Office, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, 1600 E. Lamar Blvd., Arlington, TX 76011; telephone: 817-200-1455, email: Vivian.Campbell@nrc.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. Introduction

The NRC is considering issuance of an amendment to Materials License 42-23539-01AF, issued to Department of the Air Force, approving the proposed DP for remediation of Building 181 at Robins AFB, Georgia (ADAMS Accession Nos. ML17094A481 and ML17167A420, respectively). If approved by the NRC, the licensee would be allowed to remediate residual DU from inside and underneath the building as necessary to meet the NRC's criteria for unrestricted use. Therefore, as required by part 51 of title 10 of the *Code of Federal Regulations* (CFR), the NRC performed an environmental assessment (EA) of the proposed decommissioning activity. Based on the results of the EA that follows, the NRC has determined not to prepare an environmental impact statement for the licensing action and is issuing a finding of no significant impact (FONSI).

II. Environmental Assessment

Description of the Proposed Action

The NRC's proposed action is to amend License 42-23539-01AF to approve the proposed DP, as revised. The licensee would then be authorized to conduct decommissioning work as specified in the NRC-approved DP. Concurrently with the approval of the proposed decommissioning work instructions, the NRC plans to approve the licensee's proposed site-specific radiological release criteria and final status survey plan.

If approved, the licensee's contractor will remediate residual radioactive contamination and lead-based paint from the interior of the building using

instructions provided in the DP. After completion of decommissioning, the contractor will conduct a final status survey of the building surfaces in accordance with the instructions provided in the DP. The residual radioactive and hazardous waste material will be disposed at an authorized disposal site based on sample results of the removed material. During building demolition, the contractor will radiologically survey the soil underneath portions of the building to ensure that the soil is not contaminated with radioactive material. If contaminated, the soil will be removed for disposal.

After completion of building demolition, the contractor will conduct a final status survey of the land underneath the area where Cells 5 and 6 were previously located, to ensure that the soil does not contain contamination greater than the NRC-approved release criteria. The NRC staff plans to conduct routine inspections during decommissioning and the final status surveys. The NRC will also review and approve the licensee's final status survey results after completion of the decommissioning process. The NRC may elect to conduct an independent radiological confirmatory survey to confirm the licensee's final status survey results.

Need for the Proposed Action

The purpose of the proposed action is to reduce the residual radioactivity within Building 181 to levels that allow the release of the property for unrestricted use. If the licensee conducts site remediation in accordance with instructions provided in the DP, the licensee will be in compliance with the radiological criteria for license termination as specified in regulation 10 CFR part 20, subpart E. Approval of the DP would allow the NRC to fulfill its responsibilities under the Atomic Energy Act to ensure protection of public health and safety and the environment.

Environmental Impacts of the Proposed Action

The NRC staff considered the possible environmental impacts of the proposed action. The staff considered the impacts on the following environmental resources: (1) Land use; (2) transportation; (3) geology and soils; (4) water resources; (5) ecology; (6) meteorology, climatology, and air quality; (7) noise; (8) historical and cultural resources; (9) visual/scenic resources; (10) socioeconomic; (11) public and occupational health; and (12) waste management.

Building 181 is located within the boundary of Robins AFB. Other structures and paved roads are located around the property. An airfield and tarmac are located nearby. The property will remain under the control of the Air Force during and after decommissioning. Upon completion of decommissioning and NRC approval of the final status survey results, the licensee is expected to release the land and remainder of the building for unrestricted use. The land use is not expected to change significantly as a result of this decommissioning project.

The transportation resource will be impacted slightly during demolition of the building. Additional vehicles will be needed to demolish the building and to remove the demolished debris. This increase in transportation resources will only exist as long as building demolition is in progress. After completion of demolition, the transportation resource should return to normal. A few additional trucks will be needed for shipment of the radiologically contaminated material to a disposal site. The number of additional trucks is expected to be small, based on the low volume of material required to be disposed.

The local geology and soils are not expected to be impacted by building demolition. The local soils were already impacted by the construction of the building and surrounding infrastructure. Although unlikely, if the licensee discovers contaminated soil underneath the building, the soil with contamination above the NRC-approved cleanup criteria will have to be excavated and packaged for shipment. Clean backfill may be needed to fill any soil removed during decommissioning. The area of the demolition project is small when compared to the overall size of the military base.

The water resources are not expected to be impacted by building demolition. Based on the depth of the unsaturated zone (25 feet/7.6 meter) and the thickness of the floor (5–6 feet/1.5–1.8 meters), the licensee concluded that it was unlikely that DU contamination within Building 181 has migrated into the groundwater. As noted in the DP, the contractor will try to prevent potentially contaminated water from exiting the building. The contractor will plug building drains during decommissioning work. If the buildup of water occurs in the building, the contractor will install containments at exit points, such as doorways, to prevent releases of potentially contaminated water from leaving the building.

The demolition of the building is not expected to have an impact on local ecology. No critical or endangered species or habitats are expected to be impacted, since the building is surrounded by other buildings and pavement.

The demolition of the building may have short-term impacts on air quality. These potential impacts include possible release of airborne radioactive particulates during decommissioning, airborne dust during demolition, and vehicle exhaust. To protect against releases of potentially radioactive airborne effluents, the licensee's contractor plans to collect outdoor air samples during decommissioning work. If the airborne particulate action level is exceeded, the building doors will be shut to minimize airborne effluents. With regards to the potential for airborne dust during building demolition, the demolition contractor is expected to take typical industrial precautions to minimize airborne dust including use of water suppression or discontinuing work during windy conditions. Finally, the work will result in a short term increase of vehicle exhaust during building demolition work. The percent increase in vehicle exhaust is expected to be small compared to the relative size of the Air Force base.

Noise will increase during building demolition work. The increase in noise is expected to be limited to daytime hours and will last only for the duration of the work.

No historical, cultural, visual, or scenic resources are expected to be impacted. Any cultural or historical resource would have been impacted during the construction of the building. The demolition of the building is not expected to impact any resources beyond the area already impacted by current development. The decommissioning and demolition of the building will not impact scenic or visual resources. The building is not considered historically significant, otherwise, the Air Force would not be demolishing it.

The decommissioning and demolition of the building will not impact any social groups, and the economic impacts of the work activities are expected to be minimal. The Air Force has not stated what it plans to do with the area once the building has been partially demolished, but the land use will most likely be similar to what is already in place. The Air Force does not plan to relinquish control of the area after building demolition, and the footprint of the building will continue to remain within the boundary of Robins AFB.

The decommissioning contractor will provide measures to control public and occupational health during work. For example, the decommissioning contractor will monitor workers for exposure to airborne radioactivity. The demolition contractor is expected to implement typical industrial safety controls such as issuance of safety equipment to workers, control of work area boundaries, and suppression of dust. As part of its review, the NRC considered the impacts of residual radioactivity that may remain within building rubble or subsurface soil. The licensee proposed cleanup criteria that is protective of human health and safety. The licensee's contractor is expected to remove the residual radioactive contamination to levels that are at or below the cleanup criteria, an action that is protective of public health and safety. Details about the NRC's analysis of the cleanup criteria are provided in a separate Safety Evaluation Report (ADAMS Accession No. ML17193A222).

Finally, the decommissioning contractor established procedures for disposal of waste material. The DP indicates that the contractor plans to sample the waste material, to identify the levels of radiological and hazardous materials present. As noted earlier, the contractor will also remove lead-based paint as part of the work project. The concentrations of radioactive and hazardous wastes in the material will dictate how the material will be packaged and transported, and the concentrations will be used to identify the disposal sites that can accept this material for disposal. The demolition contractor is expected to sample the rubble to ensure that the material meets the standards for the chosen waste landfill. Liquid wastes are not expected to be created.

In summary, the proposed decommissioning and building demolition are not expected to have significant, long-term impacts on environmental resources. Additional details about the NRC's environmental review are provided in an expanded EA (ADAMS Accession No. ML17207A232).

Environmental Impacts of the Alternatives to the Proposed Action

As an alternative to the proposed action, the staff considered denial of the proposed action (*i.e.*, the "no-action" alternative). The no-action alternative assumes that the status quo is maintained. With respect to the Building 181 project, the no-action alternative means that the licensee would not be allowed to conduct decommissioning work, and the

contaminated building surfaces will continue to remain onsite at Robins AFB.

The no-action alternative is not acceptable because it violates the NRC's Timeliness Rule regulations specified in 10 CFR 30.36. The Timeliness Rule requires licensees to decommission their facilities in a timely manner when licensed activities have permanently ceased. In addition, the radioactive contamination at Building 181 currently exceeds the radiological criteria for license termination as specified in 10 CFR part 20, subpart E. Approval of the no-action alternative will prevent the licensee from conducting decommissioning work as necessary to release the site for unrestricted use under Subpart E requirements. Accordingly, the NRC staff eliminated the no-action alternative from consideration.

Agencies and Persons Consulted

The NRC staff consulted with the Georgia Department of Natural Resources, Radioactive Materials Program, regarding the EA of the proposed action (ADAMS Accession No. ML17193A244). The State's comments are discussed below.

The NRC staff determined that the proposed action will not affect endangered species or critical habitats, because the project is located within an area that was fully developed. Therefore, no further consultations were deemed necessary under Section 7 of the Endangered Species Act. Likewise, the NRC staff determined that the proposed action is not the type of activity that has the potential to impact historic properties, in part, because the building has not been designated as a historic property by the Air Force. Therefore, no further consultation was determined to be necessary under Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act.

Discussion of Comments

By email dated August 14, 2017 (ADAMS Accession No. ML17227A184), the State of Georgia suggested that once demolition is complete and soil contamination surveys are accomplished, if these surveys reveal any soil contamination, a groundwater survey should be conducted. In the past, the State has seen instances of groundwater contamination, for example, around a contaminated vault that had to be remediated. While there is no evidence of soil contamination beneath Building 181, the State believes that sampling of the groundwater is prudent if the soil is contaminated. The NRC staff informed the licensee of the

State's comments, and the NRC plans to review the results of the licensee's soil contamination survey.

III. Finding of No Significant Impact

The NRC staff have concluded that the proposed decommissioning project at Robins AFB, Georgia, will have minimal impacts on the environment. The NRC staff considered the impacts on land use, transportation, geology and soils, water resources, ecology, air quality, noise, historical and cultural resources, visual and scenic resources, socioeconomic resources, public and occupational health, and waste management. The staff also determined that the affected environment and the environmental impacts associated with the decommissioning of Building 181 are bounded by the impacts evaluated by NUREG-1496, Volume 1, "Generic

Environmental Impact Statement in Support of Rulemaking on Radiological Criteria for License Termination of NRC-Licensed Nuclear Facilities" (ADAMS Accession No. ML042310492).

The staff finds that the proposed decommissioning complies with 10 CFR 20.1402, which provides the radiological criteria for unrestricted use. Further, the licensee will perform the remediation work under an NRC license, using an NRC-approved decommissioning plan, which will help ensure that the licensee and its contractor will establish and implement programs to protect workers, the public, and the environment. Further, the NRC plans to conduct inspections during work activities. Past NRC experiences with decommissioning activities at similar sites suggest that public and worker exposures to radioactivity will

be far below the limits specified in 10 CFR part 20.

The NRC staff have prepared this EA in support of the proposed action to amend NRC Materials License 42-23539-01AF to approve the licensee's proposed DP for Building 181 at Robins AFB. On the basis of this EA, the NRC has concluded that there are no significant environmental impacts and the license amendment does not warrant the preparation of an environmental impact statement. Accordingly, it has been determined that a FONSI is appropriate.

IV. Availability of Documents

The documents identified in the following table are available to interested persons through one or more of the following methods, as indicated.

Document	ADAMS Accession No.
U.S. Department of the Air Force, "Updated Decommissioning Plan dated February 2017 for Building 181 at Robins Air Force Base, Georgia," March 21, 2017.	ML17094A481
U.S. Department of the Air Force, "Building 181 Robins Air Force Base Decommissioning Plan," June 13, 2017	ML17167A420
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, "Robins AFB Consultation Letter with State of Georgia," July 24, 2017	ML17193A244
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, "Memo to File—Building 181 Robins AFB Georgia Environmental Assessment," September 19, 2017.	ML17207A232
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, "Memo to File—Building 181, Robins AFB Georgia Safety Evaluation Report," September 19, 2017.	ML17193A222
State of Georgia, "State of Georgia's Review and Comments on Proposed EA and SER for Robins AFB, Georgia," August 14, 2017.	ML17227A184
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, NUREG-1496, Volume 1, Generic Environmental Impact Statement in Support of Rulemaking on Radiological Criteria for License Termination of NRC-Licensed Nuclear Facilities, Main Report, July 31, 1997.	ML042310492

Dated at Arlington, Texas, this 31st day of August 2017.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

Mark R. Shaffer,

Director, Division of Nuclear Materials Safety, Region IV Office.

[FR Doc. 2017-19799 Filed 9-18-17; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590-01-P

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

[NRC-2017-0107]

Information Collection: Fitness-for-Duty Programs

AGENCY: Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

ACTION: Notice of submission to the Office of Management and Budget; request for comment.

SUMMARY: The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) has recently submitted a request for renewal of an existing collection of information to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review. The information

collection is entitled, "Fitness-for-Duty Programs."

DATES: Submit comments by October 19, 2017.

ADDRESSES: Submit comments directly to the OMB reviewer at: Aaron Szabo, Desk Officer, Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs (3150-0146), NEOB-10202, Office of Management and Budget, Washington, DC 20503; telephone: 202-395-3621, email: oira_submission@omb.eop.gov.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

David Cullison, NRC Clearance Officer, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555-0001; telephone: 301-415-2084; email:

INFOCOLLECTS.Resource@nrc.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. Obtaining Information and Submitting Comments

A. Obtaining Information

Please refer to Docket ID NRC-2017-0107 when contacting the NRC about the availability of information for this action. You may obtain publicly-available information related to this action by any of the following methods:

- **Federal Rulemaking Web site:** Go to <http://www.regulations.gov> and search for Docket ID NRC-2017-0107. A copy of the collection of information and related instructions may be obtained without charge by accessing Docket ID NRC-2017-0107 on this Web site.

- **NRC's Agencywide Documents Access and Management System (ADAMS):** You may obtain publicly-available documents online in the ADAMS Public Documents collection at <http://www.nrc.gov/reading-rm/adams.html>. To begin the search, select "ADAMS Public Documents" and then select "Begin Web-based ADAMS Search." For problems with ADAMS, please contact the NRC's Public Document Room (PDR) reference staff at 1-800-397-4209, 301-415-4737, or by email to pdr.resource@nrc.gov. The supporting statement and NRC Forms 890, 891, and 892 are available in ADAMS under Accession Nos. ML17236A379, ML17013A578, ML17013A598, and ML17024A436, respectively.

- **NRC's PDR:** You may examine and purchase copies of public documents at the NRC's PDR, Room O1-F21, One