

facility does not rely on a control device to maintain HAP emissions below major source thresholds as was demonstrated with the potential to emit analysis.

Abstract for [M160017]

Q: Does EPA determine that the Owens Corning Insulating Systems, LLC (OC) a wool fiberglass products manufacturing plant located in Delmar, NY (Delmar), is subject to 40 CFR part 63 subpart JJJJ, NESHAP for Paper and Other Web Coating Manufacturing?

A: Yes. Based on the information provided by OC, EPA determines that the Delmar plant still operates web coating lines after switching from a phenol-formaldehyde binder to a starch binder and thus remains subject to 40 CFR part 63 subpart JJJJ, and that the subsequent non applicability determination for 40 CFR part 63 subpart NNN, the NESHAP for Wool Fiberglass Manufacturing due to the binder switch is irrelevant to the applicability status of 40 CFR part 63 subpart JJJJ. This determination is consistent with the "Once-In-Always-In" policy. The Delmar plant has been required to comply with subpart JJJJ provisions (including emissions standards) since December 5, 2005, the first substantive compliance date of rule, based on 40 CFR 63.320(a) of the rule. The fact that OC chooses to comply with the subpart JJJJ emission standards at the Delmar plant using a method it was already using (*i.e.*, the "[u]se of 'as-purchased' compliant coating materials") prior to the first substantive compliance date is irrelevant to the applicability analysis.

Abstract for [M160019]

Q: Does EPA approve an Alternative Monitoring Plan (AMP) for a Wet Gas Scrubber (WGS) on a Fluidized Catalytic Cracking Unit (FCCU) subject to NSPS part 60 subpart J, and also NESHAP subpart UUU, for parametric monitoring of opacity at the WGS in lieu of a Continuous Opacity Monitoring System, due to moisture interference on opacity readings in the stack at the Valero Refining Company (Valero) facility in Ardmore, Oklahoma (Valero)?

A: Yes. Based upon the design of the WGS unit and the process specific information and performance test results provided by Valero, EPA approves the AMP request and its operating parameter limits (OPLs) for demonstrating compliance under NESHAP subpart UUU, which included minimum Liquid-to-Gas Ratio, minimum water pressure to the quench/spray tower nozzles, and minimum pressure drop across the Agglo-filtering module. Valero shall incorporate the

terms of this AMP approval into the facility's New Source Review (NSR) and Title V permits for federal enforceability. If refinery operations change, Valero shall conduct another performance test to establish new limits for the OPLs listed in the EPA response letter.

Abstract for [M160020]

Q: Does EPA determine that the Ervin Amasteel facility in Adrian, Michigan should be classified as a steel foundry subject to requirements of the NESHAP for Iron and Steel Foundries Area Source, at 40 CFR part 63 subpart ZZZZZ, and not the requirements under the NESHAP for Area Sources for Electric Arc Furnace Steelmaking Facilities, at 40 CFR part 63 subpart YYYYY, and the Standards of Performance for Steel Plants: Electric Arc Furnaces and Argon-Oxygen Decarburization Vessels Constructed After August 17, 1983, at 40 CFR part 60 Subpart AAa (NSPS AAa)?

A: No. EPA determines that the Ervin Amasteel facility is not subject to the requirements of NESHAP subpart ZZZZZ because the facility is not an iron and steel foundry as defined in 40 CFR 63.10906 of the rule. Therefore, the Ervin Amasteel facility remains subject to the applicable provisions of NESHAP subpart YYYYY and NSPS subpart AAa.

Abstract for [M160021]

Q: Does EPA approve an alternative monitoring method for the bypass valve line associated with the thermal oxidizer in lieu of a continuous flow monitor or securing the bypass valve with a car seal or lock-and-key type system to meet the monitoring requirements of 40 CFR part 63 subpart JJJ at the INEOS Barex USA LLC (INEOS) plant in Lima, Ohio?

A: Yes. Based on the information provided by INEOS, including concerns about installation of a flow monitor on this particular bypass stream due to location and corrosion possibilities, EPA conditionally approves the alternative monitoring method that requires continuous monitoring of the bypass valve position associated with the thermal oxidizer in accordance with 40 CFR 63.8(f)(2) and (4). The recordkeeping and reporting conditions for approval are specified in the EPA response letter.

Abstract for [Z160005]

Q1: Does EPA determine that stationary engines being tested in a test cell at Maine Maritime Academy (MMA) in Castine, Maine would be subject to the NESHAP for Reciprocating Internal

Combustion Engines (RICE), 40 CFR part 63 subpart ZZZZ?

A1: No. EPA determines that because the engines in question will be tested at a stationary RICE test cell as defined in Subpart PPPPP, they are not subject to subpart ZZZZ consistent with 40 CFR 63.6675 of subpart ZZZZ.

Q2: Does EPA determine that the proposed engine test cell at MMA, which is an area source of hazardous air pollutants, would be subject to the NESHAP for Engine Test Cell/Stands, 40 CFR part 63 subpart PPPPP?

A2: No. EPA determines that as long as MMA remains an area source of hazardous air pollutants (HAPs), it is not subject to subpart PPPPP, which applies to owners or operators of engine test cells/stands at a major source of HAPs.

Dated: July 20, 2017.

David A. Hindin,

Director, Office of Compliance, Office of Enforcement and Compliance Assurance.

[FR Doc. 2017-16499 Filed 8-3-17; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[ER-FRL-9034-5]

Environmental Impact Statements; Notice of Availability

Responsible Agency: Office of Federal Activities, General Information (202) 564-7146 or <http://www.epa.gov/nepa>. Weekly receipt of Environmental Impact Statements (EISs) Filed 07/24/2017 Through 07/28/2017 Pursuant to 40 CFR 1506.9.

Notice

Section 309(a) of the Clean Air Act requires that EPA make public its comments on EISs issued by other Federal agencies. EPA's comment letters on EISs are available at: <http://www.epa.gov/compliance/nepa/eisdata.html>.

EIS No. 20170143, Final, FHWA, UT, I-80 and State Street Interchange, Contact: Brandon Weston, 801-965-4603.

Under MAP-21 Section 1319, FHWA has issued a single FEIS and ROD. Therefore, the 30-day wait/review period under NEPA does not apply to this action.

EIS No. 20170144, Final Supplement, BOEM, MA, Cape Wind Energy Project, Review Period Ends: 09/05/2017, Contact: Michelle Morin 703-787-1722.

EIS No. 20170145, Final, NSF, PR, Arecibo Observatory, Review Period

Ends: 09/05/2017, *Contact:* Elizabeth Pentecost 703–292–4907.

EIS No. 20170146, Draft, USAF, AK, Air Force Proposal to Improve F–22 Operational Efficiency at Joint Base Elmendorf-Richardson (JBER), Alaska, Comment Period Ends: 09/18/2017, *Contact:* JBER Public Affairs 907–552–8151.

EIS No. 20170147, Final, USFS, CA, Trestle Forest Health Project, Review Period Ends: 09/05/2017, *Contact:* Jennifer Marsolais 530–642–5187.

EIS No. 20170148, Final, FERC, WV, Mountaineer Xpress and Gulf XPress Projects, Review Period Ends: 09/05/2017, *Contact:* Julia Yuan 202–502–8130.

EIS No. 20170149, Final, BOEM, LA, Geological and Geophysical Activities on the Gulf of Mexico Outer Continental Shelf, Review Period Ends: 09/05/2017, *Contact:* Terri Thomas 504–736–2963.

Dated: August 1, 2017.

Dawn Roberts,

Management Analyst, NEPA Compliance Division, Office of Federal Activities.

[FR Doc. 2017–16479 Filed 8–3–17; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

EXPORT-IMPORT BANK

[Public Notice: 2017–6004]

Agency Information Collection Activities: Comment Request for Form EIB 11–01, Generic Clearance for the Collection of Qualitative Feedback on Agency Service Delivery

AGENCY: Export-Import Bank of the United States.

ACTION: Submission for OMB review and comments request.

SUMMARY: The proposed clearance is designed to allow Ex-Im Bank to survey for the purpose of gaining insights into customers' experiences with the agency and to evaluate product and performance effectiveness. Customers' responses will help to identify potential areas of service improvement and rate overall program experiences.

DATES: Comments must be received on or before September 5, 2017 to be assured of consideration.

ADDRESSES: Comments may be submitted electronically on www.regulations.gov or by mail to Mardel West, Export-Import Bank of the United States, 811 Vermont Ave. NW., Washington, DC 20571.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Affected Public: Individuals representing companies engaged in

business with the Export-Import Bank of the U.S.

Burden Hours

Annual Number of Respondents: 3200.

Estimated Time per Respondent: 45 minutes.

Annual Public Burden Hours: 2400 hours.

Frequency of Reporting of Use: On occasion.

Government Expense

Reviewing Time per year: 1,600 Hours.

Average Wages per Hour: \$42.50.

Average Cost per Year: \$68,000.

Benefits and Overhead: 20%.

Total government Cost: \$81,600.

Bassam Doughman,

Project Manager, Export-Import Bank of the United States.

[FR Doc. 2017–16103 Filed 8–3–17; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6690–01–P

EXPORT-IMPORT BANK

[Public Notice: 2017–6005]

Agency Information Collection Activities: Comment Request on Form EIB 10–04 Notice of Claim and Proof of Loss, Working Capital Guarantee

AGENCY: Export-Import Bank of the United States.

ACTION: Submission for OMB review and comments request.

SUMMARY: The Export-Import Bank of the United States (Ex-Im Bank), as part of its continuing effort to reduce paperwork and respondent burden, invites the general public and other Federal Agencies to comment on the proposed information collection, as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995.

DATES: Comments must be received on or before September 5, 2017 to be assured of consideration.

ADDRESSES: Comments may be submitted electronically on www.regulations.gov or by mail to Mardel West, Export-Import Bank of the United States, 811 Vermont Ave. NW., Washington, DC 20571.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: By neutralizing the effect of export credit support offered by foreign governments and by absorbing credit risks that the private sector will not accept, Ex-Im Bank enables U.S. exporters to compete fairly in foreign markets on the basis of price and product. Under the Working Capital Guarantee Program, Ex-Im Bank provides repayment guarantees to

lenders on secured, short-term working capital loans made to qualified exporters. The guarantee may be approved for a single loan or a revolving line of credit. In the event that a borrower defaults on a transaction guaranteed by Ex-Im Bank the guaranteed lender may seek payment by the submission of a claim.

This collection of information is necessary, pursuant to 12 U.S.C. 635(a)(1), to determine if such claim complies with the terms and conditions of the relevant working capital guarantee. The Notice of Claim and Proof of Loss, Working Capital Guarantee is used to determine compliance with the terms of the guarantee and the appropriateness of paying a claim. Export-Import Bank customers are able to submit this form on paper or electronically.

The information collection tool can be reviewed at: <http://www.exim.gov/pub/pending/eib10-04.pdf>.

Title and Form Number: EIB 10–04

Notice of Claim and Proof of Loss, Working Capital Guarantee.

OMB Number: 3048–0035.

Type of Review: Regular.

Need and Use: This collection of information is necessary, pursuant to 12 U.S.C. 635(a)(1), to determine if such claim complies with the terms and conditions of the relevant guarantee.

Affected Public: This form affects entities involved in the export of U.S. goods and services.

Annual Number of Respondents: 17.

Estimated Time per Respondent: 1 hour.

Annual Burden Hours: 17 hours.

Frequency of Reporting of Use: As needed to request a claim payment.

Government Expenses:

Reviewing time per year: 17 hours.

Average Wages per Hour: \$42.50.

Average Cost per Year: \$722.50 (time*wages).

Benefits and Overhead: 20%.

Total Government Cost: \$867.

Bassam Doughman,

Project Manager, Export-Import Bank of the United States.

[FR Doc. 2017–16102 Filed 8–3–17; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6690–01–P

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

Open Commission Meeting, Thursday, August 3, 2017

July 27, 2017.

The Federal Communications Commission will hold an Open Meeting on the subjects listed below on