

environmental processing, *see* 47 CFR 1.1307 and 1.1308, except as required by FirstNet or the FCC pursuant to the note to 47 CFR 1.1307(d).

(f) The construction of an antenna tower or supporting structure in an established “antenna farm” (*i.e.*, an area in which similar antenna towers are clustered, whether or not such area has been officially designated as an antenna farm) will be categorically excluded unless one or more of the antennas to be mounted on the tower or structure are subject to the provisions of 47 CFR 1.1307(b) and the additional radiofrequency radiation from the antenna(s) on the new tower or structure would cause human exposure in excess of the applicable health and safety guidelines cited in 47 CFR 1.1307(b).’’

FirstNet proposes to establish this CE to better align its existing environmental review process with the FCC’s rules for environmental review that FirstNet must comply with as a licensee of the FCC. Further, the establishment of this CE ensures that FirstNet takes appropriate measures to protect environmental and historic resources when conducting tower and antenna siting activities (*i.e.*, constructing a new tower or collocating an antenna on an existing structure). This CE is supported by long-standing CEs and administrative records. In particular, these include exclusions from the Federal Communications Commission, U.S. Department of Agriculture, and U.S. Department of Energy.

[B.2.] “Internal modifications or equipment additions (*e.g.*, computer facilities, relocating interior walls) to structures or buildings.”

This CE was formerly classified as A–5 but has been reclassified as B–5. No other changes to this CE have been proposed.

[B.3.] “Construction of buried and aerial telecommunications lines, cables, and related facilities.”

This CE was formerly classified as A–6 but has been reclassified as B–3. No other changes to this CE have been proposed.

[B.4.] “Changes to existing transmission lines that involve less than 20 percent pole replacement, or the complete rebuilding of existing distribution lines within the same right of way. Changes to existing transmission lines that require 20 percent or greater pole replacement will be considered the same as new construction.”

This CE was formerly classified as A–9 but has been reclassified as B–5. No other changes to this CE have been proposed.

[B.5.] “Changes or additions to existing substations, switching stations,

telecommunications switching or multiplexing centers, or external changes to buildings or small structures requiring one acre (0.4 hectare) or more but no more than five acres (2 hectares) of new physically disturbed land or fenced property.”

This CE was formerly classified as A–10 but has been reclassified as B–5. No other changes to this CE have been proposed.

[B.6.] “Construction of substations, switching stations, or telecommunications switching or multiplexing centers requiring no more than five acres (2 hectares) of new physically disturbed land or fenced property.”

This CE was formerly classified as A–11 but has been reclassified as B–6. No other changes to this CE have been proposed.

[B.7.] “Changes or additions to telecommunication sites, substations, switching stations, telecommunications switching or multiplexing centers, buildings, or small structures requiring new physical disturbance or fencing of less than one acre (0.4 hectare).”

This CE was formerly classified as A–12 but has been reclassified as B–7. Further, FirstNet proposes to remove the term “wireless” from the CE as such activities related to wireless facilities fall within the scope of proposed CE B–1.

[B.8.] “Ordinary maintenance or replacement of equipment or small structures (*e.g.*, line support structures, line transformers, microwave facilities, telecommunications remote switching and multiplexing sites).”

This CE was formerly classified as A–13 but has been reclassified as B–8. No other changes to this CE have been proposed.

[B.9.] “The construction of telecommunications facilities within the fenced area of an existing substation, switching station, or within the boundaries of an existing electric generating facility site.”

This CE was formerly classified as A–14 but has been reclassified as B–9. No other changes to this CE have been proposed.

[B.10.] “Testing or monitoring work (*e.g.*, soil or rock core sampling, monitoring wells, air monitoring).”

This CE was formerly classified as A–15 but has been reclassified as B–10. No other changes to this CE have been proposed.

[B.11.] “Studies and engineering undertaken to define proposed actions or alternatives sufficiently so that environmental effects can be assessed.”

This CE was formerly classified as A–16 but has been reclassified as B–11. No

other changes to this CE have been proposed.

[B.12.] “Rebuilding of power lines or telecommunications cables where road or highway reconstruction requires the Applicant to relocate the lines either within or adjacent to the new road or highway easement or right-of-way.”

This CE was formerly classified as A–17 but has been reclassified as B–12. No other changes to this CE have been proposed.

[B.13.] “Phase or voltage conversions, reconductoring or upgrading of existing electric distribution lines, or telecommunication facilities.”

This CE was formerly classified as A–18 but has been reclassified as B–13. No other changes to this CE have been proposed.

[B.14.] “Construction of standby diesel electric generators (one megawatt or less total capacity) and associated facilities, for the primary purpose of providing emergency power, at an existing Applicant headquarters or district office, telecommunications switching or multiplexing site, or at an industrial, commercial, or agricultural facility served by the Applicant.”

This CE was formerly classified as A–19 but has been reclassified as B–14. No other changes to this CE have been proposed.

[B.15.] “Deployment of Cells on Wheels, Systems on Wheels, or other deployable architecture intended for temporary placement (no more than two years) on an impervious surface.”

FirstNet proposes to establish this CE to account for activities related to the use of deployable or similar equipment. This CE is supported by long-standing CEs and administrative records. In particular, these include exclusions from the U.S. Department of Homeland Security and U.S. Army.

**Elijah Veenendaal,**

*Attorney—Advisor, First Responder Network Authority.*

[FR Doc. 2017–13156 Filed 6–22–17; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3510–TL–P**

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### Foreign-Trade Zones Board

[B–40–2017]

**Foreign-Trade Zone (FTZ) 57—Charlotte, North Carolina, Notification of Proposed Production Activity, DNP Imagingcomm America Corporation (Coatings and Lamination on Semi-Completed Coated Paper), Concord, North Carolina**

The Charlotte Regional Partnership, Inc., grantee of FTZ 57, submitted a

notification of proposed production activity to the FTZ Board on behalf of DNP Imagingcomm America Corporation (DNP), operator of Subzone 57C, located in Concord, North Carolina. The notification conforming to the requirements of the regulations of the FTZ Board (15 CFR 400.22) was received on May 30, 2017.

DNP already has authority to slit foreign jumbo rolls of thermal transfer ribbons, dye sublimation transfer ribbon (STR), and assemble STR photo printer components (including photo printer packages—printer cartridges and paper) within Subzone 57C. DNP's new activity would add foreign status coatings and lamination to semi-completed coated paper to the scope of authority. Pursuant to 15 CFR 400.14(b), additional FTZ authority would be limited to the specific foreign-status materials/components described in the submitted notification (as described below) and subsequently authorized by the FTZ Board.

Production under FTZ procedures could exempt DNP from customs duty payments on the foreign-status materials/components used in export production. On its domestic sales, DNP would be able to choose the duty rate during customs entry procedures that applies to the finished products in the existing scope of authority for the foreign-status materials/components noted below. Customs duties also could possibly be deferred or reduced on foreign-status production equipment.

The materials/components sourced from abroad include: Polyurethane composed of urethane resin, m-xylylene diisocyanate and ethyl acetate; catalyst for sealant and adhesive formulation; polyurethane resin; binding agent for polyurethane coatings; propylene film; coated wood-free paper; chemical reaction initiators; components of printing ink; plastic film; chemical binders; and, resin—binder used in ink (duty rate ranges from free to 6.5%)

Public comment is invited from interested parties. Submissions shall be addressed to the Board's Executive Secretary at the address below. The closing period for their receipt is August 2, 2017.

A copy of the notification will be available for public inspection at the Office of the Executive Secretary, Foreign-Trade Zones Board, Room 21013, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230-0002, and in the "Reading Room" section of the Board's Web site, which is accessible via [www.trade.gov/ftz](http://www.trade.gov/ftz).

For further information, contact Christopher Wedderburn at

[Chris.Wedderburn@trade.gov](mailto:Chris.Wedderburn@trade.gov) or (202) 482-1963.

Dated: June 16, 2017.

**Andrew McGilvray,**  
*Executive Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 2017-13134 Filed 6-22-17; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### Foreign-Trade Zones Board

[S-83-2017]

#### **Foreign-Trade Zone 143—Sacramento, California Application for Subzone Expansion; Mitsubishi Chemical Carbon Fiber and Composites, Inc. Sacramento, California**

An application has been submitted to the Foreign-Trade Zones (FTZ) Board by the Sacramento-Yolo Port District, grantee of FTZ 143, requesting expanded subzone status for the facilities of Mitsubishi Chemical Carbon Fiber and Composites, Inc., located in Sacramento, California. The application was submitted pursuant to the provisions of the Foreign-Trade Zones Act, as amended (19 U.S.C. 81a-81u), and the regulations of the FTZ Board (15 CFR part 400). It was formally docketed on June 1, 2017.

Subzone 143D consists of the following sites in Sacramento: *Site 1* (10 acres) 5900 88th Street; and, *Site 2* (1.05 acres) 6003 88th Street. The applicant is now requesting authority to expand the subzone to include proposed *Site 3*: 8670 Fruitridge Road, Suite 100, Sacramento. The expanded subzone would be subject to the existing activation limit of FTZ 143.

In accordance with the FTZ Board's regulations, Christopher Kemp of the FTZ Staff is designated examiner to review the application and make recommendations to the Executive Secretary.

Public comment is invited from interested parties. Submissions shall be addressed to the FTZ Board's Executive Secretary at the address below. The closing period for their receipt is August 2, 2017. Rebuttal comments in response to material submitted during the foregoing period may be submitted during the subsequent 15-day period to August 17, 2017.

A copy of the application will be available for public inspection at the Office of the Executive Secretary, Foreign-Trade Zones Board, Room 21013, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230-0002, and in the "Reading Room" section of the FTZ

Board's Web site, which is accessible via [www.trade.gov/ftz](http://www.trade.gov/ftz).

For further information, contact Christopher Kemp at [Christopher.Kemp@trade.gov](mailto:Christopher.Kemp@trade.gov) or (202) 482-0862.

Dated: June 16, 2017.

**Andrew McGilvray,**  
*Executive Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 2017-13120 Filed 6-22-17; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### Foreign-Trade Zones Board

[B-43-2017]

#### **Foreign-Trade Zone 57—Mecklenburg County, North Carolina; Application for Production Authority; Gildan Yarns, LLC (Cotton and Cotton/Polyester Yarns); Salisbury, North Carolina**

An application has been submitted to the Foreign-Trade Zones (FTZ) Board by the Charlotte Regional Partnership, Inc., grantee of FTZ 57, requesting export-only production authority on behalf of Gildan Yarns, LLC (Gildan), located in Salisbury, North Carolina. The application conforming to the requirements of the regulations of the FTZ Board (15 CFR 400.23) was docketed on June 16, 2017.

The Gildan facility (400 employees, 104 acres) is located within Site 19 of FTZ 57. The facility is used to produce spun cotton and cotton/polyester yarns for export. Production under FTZ procedures could exempt Gildan from customs duty payments on the foreign component used in export production. The sole foreign-origin material (representing 10% of the value of the finished product) to be used in the export production is polyester staple fiber (duty rate 4.3%). Customs duties also could possibly be deferred or reduced on foreign-status production equipment. The request indicates that the savings from FTZ procedures would help improve the plant's international competitiveness.

In accordance with the FTZ Board's regulations, Elizabeth Whiteman of the FTZ Staff is designated examiner to evaluate and analyze the facts and information presented in the application and case record and to report findings and recommendations to the FTZ Board.

Public comment is invited from interested parties. Submissions shall be addressed to the FTZ Board's Executive Secretary at the address below. The closing period for their receipt is August 22, 2017. Rebuttal comments in response to material submitted during