

and a correction on October 3, 2014.⁴ U.S. Steel appealed the *Final Determination* to the CIT, and on May 5, 2016, the CIT sustained, in part, and remanded, in part, the *Final Determination*.⁵ The court remanded the *Final Determination* to the Department with respect to its differential pricing analysis, specifically the Department's application and explanation of its ratio test in this case, for further explanation and consideration.⁶ Further, the court remanded for further explanation and consideration the Department's determinations that: (1) Jindal SAW was unaffiliated with certain suppliers of inputs; (2) Jindal SAW's yield loss data reasonably reflected its costs of production; and (3) the highest COP in GVN's cost database should be assigned to its dual-grade products.⁷ On August 31, 2016, the Department issued its final results of redetermination pursuant to remand, in accordance with the CIT's order.⁸ On remand, the Department revised the weighted-average dumping margins for both GVN and Jindal SAW. On March 16, 2017, the CIT sustained the Department's *Final Redetermination*.⁹ Parties had 60 days to appeal the CIT's judgement. No party appealed the decision.

In response to the CIT's March 16, 2017, decision, the Department published a notice of court decision that is not in harmony with a Department determination, and amended its *Final Determination* with respect to GVN and Jindal SAW.¹⁰ The revised weighted-average dumping margin for GVN is 1.07 percent. The revised weighted-average dumping margin for Jindal SAW is 11.24 percent. Neither GVN or Jindal SAW have a superseding cash deposit rate (e.g. from an administrative review)

Less Than Fair Value, 79 FR 53691 (September 10, 2014) (*Orders*).

⁴ See *Certain Oil Country Tubular Goods from India, the Republic of Korea, Taiwan, the Republic of Turkey, and the Socialist Republic of Vietnam: Notice of Correction to the Antidumping Duty Orders With Respect to Turkey and the Socialist Republic of Vietnam*, 79 FR 59740 (October 3, 2014).

⁵ See *United States Steel Corp. v. United States*, 179 F. Supp. 3d 1114 (CIT 2016) (*US Steel*).

⁶ See *US Steel*, 179 F. Supp. 3d at 1120.

⁷ *Id.*

⁸ See Final Results of Redetermination Pursuant to Remand, *United States Steel Corporation et al. and Maverick Tube Corporation et al. v. United States*, Consolidated Court No. 14-00263, dated August 31, 2017 (*Final Redetermination*).

⁹ See *United States Steel Corporation et al. v. United States*, Slip Op. 17-28, Consolidated Court No. 14-00263 (CIT 2017).

¹⁰ See *Certain Oil Country Tubular Goods from India: Notice of Court Decision Not in Harmony With Final Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value and Final Negative Determination of Critical Circumstances and Notice of Amended Final Determination*, 82 FR 17631 (April 12, 2017).

and, therefore, the Department issued amended cash deposit instructions to U.S. Customs and Border Protection on May 10, 2017.¹¹

Amendment of the Order on OCTG From India

The period to appeal the CIT's decision has passed, and a final and conclusive court decision has been reached in this case. Therefore, the Department is amending the antidumping duty order¹² on OCTG from India to exclude from the order subject merchandise produced and exported by GVN because the revised weighted-average dumping margin for GVN is *de minimis*. This exclusion does not apply to merchandise produced by GVN and exported by any other company or merchandise produced by any other company and exported by GVN. Resellers of merchandise produced by GVN, are also not entitled to this exclusion.

Estimated Weighted-Average Dumping Margins

The estimated weighted-average dumping margins are as follows:

Exporter or producer	Estimated weighted-average dumping margins (percent) ¹³
Jindal SAW	11.24
All Others	5.79

Continuation of Suspension of Liquidation, in Part

In accordance with section 735(c)(1)(B) of the Act, the Department has instructed CBP to continue to suspend liquidation on all relevant entries of OCTG from India.¹⁴ These instructions suspending liquidation will remain in effect until further notice. However, because the estimated weighted-average dumping margin for merchandise produced and exported by GVN's is *de minimis*, the Department is directing U.S. Customs and Border Protection to liquidate all entries produced and exported by GVN currently suspended without regard to antidumping duties, and to not to suspend liquidation of entries of subject

¹¹ See Message No. 7130310, dated May 10, 2017 (Message No. 7130310).

¹² See *Orders*.

¹³ Cash deposit rates are lower than estimated weighted-average dumping margins due to offsets for export subsidies.

¹⁴ See *Orders* at 53692; see also Message No. 4262301, dated September 19, 2017, and Message No. 7130310.

merchandise where GVN acted as both the producer and exporter. Entries of subject merchandise exported to the United States by any other producer and exporter combination involving GVN are not entitled to this exclusion from suspension of liquidation and are subject to the cash deposit rate for the all-others entity.

Notification to Interested Parties

This notice constitutes the amended antidumping duty order with respect to OCTG from India. This notice is issued and published in accordance with sections 516A(e)(1) and 736(a) of the Act.

Dated: June 14, 2017.

Ronald K. Lorentzen,

Acting Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.

[FR Doc. 2017-12819 Filed 6-19-17; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

Environmental Technologies Trade Advisory Committee (ETTAC) Public Meeting

AGENCY: International Trade Administration, DOC.

ACTION: Notice of Federal Advisory Committee Meeting.

SUMMARY: This notice sets forth the schedule and proposed agenda of a meeting of the Environmental Technologies Trade Advisory Committee (ETTAC).

DATES: The meeting is scheduled for Tuesday, July 18, 2017 from 8:30 a.m.–3:30 p.m. Eastern Daylight Time (EDT). The deadline for members of the public to register or to submit written comments for dissemination prior to the meeting is 5:00 p.m. EDT on Friday, July 7, 2017. The deadline for members of the public request auxiliary aids is 5:00 p.m. EDT on Tuesday, July 11, 2017.

ADDRESSES: The meeting will be held in room 6057–59 at the U.S. Department of Commerce, Herbert Clark Hoover Building, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230. The address to register, submit comments, or request auxiliary aids is: Ms. Amy Kreps, Office of Energy & Environmental Industries (OEI), International Trade Administration, Room 28018, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230 or email: amy.kreps@trade.gov.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Ms. Amy Kreps, Office of Energy &

Environmental Industries (OEI), International Trade Administration, Room 28018, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230 (Phone: 202-482-3835; Fax: 202-482-5665; email: amy.kreps@trade.gov).

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

The meeting will take place on July 18 from 8:30 a.m. to 3:30 p.m. Eastern Daylight Time (EDT). The general meeting is open to the public and time will be permitted for public comment from 3:00–3:30 p.m. EDT. All guests are required to register in advance. Those interested in attending must provide notification by Friday, July 7, 2017 at 5:00 p.m. EDT, via the contact information provided above. This meeting is physically accessible to people with disabilities. Requests for sign language interpretation or other auxiliary aids should be directed to OEI at (202) 482-5225 no less than one week prior to the meeting. Last minute requests will be accepted, but may not be possible to fill.

Written comments concerning ETTAC affairs are welcome any time before or after the meeting. To be considered during the meeting, written comments must be received by Friday, July 7, 2017 at 5:00 p.m. EDT to ensure transmission to the members before the meeting. Minutes will be available within 30 days of this meeting.

Topic To Be Considered: The agenda for the July 18, 2017 meeting includes a roundtable briefing and discussion with the U.S. interagency Trade Promotion Coordinating Committee (TPCC) Environmental Technology Working Group, which includes the Departments of State and Energy as well as the Environmental Protection Agency and others. Also during the meeting, the three ETTAC subcommittees will review their top priorities and objectives for the charter, including optimizing the U.S. Government's trade promotion programs, identifying market access barriers and pros and cons of existing trade agreements, and discussing procurement policy, including issues with financing mechanisms, localization and non-tariff barriers. The subcommittees are: Trade and Export Market Development, Professional Services and Infrastructure Advancement, and Trade Policy and American Competitiveness.

Background: The ETTAC is mandated by Section 2313(c) of the Export Enhancement Act of 1988, as amended, 15 U.S.C. 4728(c), to advise the Environmental Trade Working Group of the Trade Promotion Coordinating Committee, through the Secretary of Commerce, on the development and

administration of programs to expand U.S. exports of environmental technologies, goods, services, and products. The ETTAC was originally chartered in May of 1994. It was most recently re-chartered until August 2018.

Dated: June 13, 2017.

Edward A. O'Malley,

Director, Office of Energy and Environmental Industries.

[FR Doc. 2017-12758 Filed 6-19-17; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DR-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[C-533-844]

Certain Lined Paper Products From India: Amended Final Results of Countervailing Duty Administrative Review, 2014

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Commerce.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce (the Department) is amending the final results of the countervailing duty administrative review of certain lined paper products from India to correct ministerial errors. The period of review (POR) is January 1, 2014, through December 31, 2014.

DATES: Effective June 20, 2017.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: John Conniff; AD/CVD Operations, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: 202-482-1009.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

In accordance with sections 751(a)(1) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act), and 19 CFR 351.221(b)(5), on April 17, 2017, the Department published its final results in the countervailing duty administrative review of certain lined paper products from India.¹ On that same day, the Association of American School Paper Suppliers (the petitioner) timely alleged that the Department made ministerial errors in the *Final Results*.² On April 28, 2017, Goldenpalm Manufacturers PVT

Limited (Goldenpalm), the respondent in this review, submitted rebuttal comments.³

Period of Review

The POR covered by this review is January 1, 2014, through December 31, 2014.

Scope of the Order

The merchandise subject to the order is certain lined paper products. The products are currently classifiable under the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS) item numbers: 4811.90.9035, 4811.90.9080, 4820.30.0040, 4810.22.5044, 4811.90.9050, 4811.90.9090, 4820.10.2010, 4820.10.2020, 4820.10.2030, 4820.10.2040, 4820.10.2050, 4820.10.2060, and 4820.10.4000. Although the HTSUS numbers are provided for convenience and customs purposes, the written product description remains dispositive. A full description of the scope of the order is contained in the *Final Results* IDM.⁴

Ministerial Errors

Section 751(h) of the Act, and 19 CFR 351.224(f) define a "ministerial error" as an error "in addition, subtraction, or other arithmetic function, clerical error resulting from inaccurate copying, duplication, or the like, and any similar type of unintentional error which the Secretary considers ministerial." The Department finds that the purported errors alleged by the petitioner constitute ministerial errors within the meaning of 19 CFR 351.224(f).⁵ Specifically, we committed certain ministerial errors with regard to the "0.5 Percent Test," as described under 19 CFR 351.524(b)(2), and the benefit calculation performed in connection with import duty exemptions that Goldenpalm received under the Export Promotion of Capital Goods Scheme. For a complete discussion of these alleged errors, see the Response to Ministerial Error Allegations.

In accordance with section 751(h) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.224(e), we are amending the *Final Results*.⁶ Specifically, we are amending the net subsidy rate for Goldenpalm. The

¹ See *Certain Lined Paper from India: Final Results of Countervailing Duty Administrative Review*; 2014, 82 FR 18112 (April 17, 2017) (*Final Results*) and accompanying Issues and Decision Memorandum (IDM).

² See Letter from Petitioner, "Certain Lined Paper Products from India: Petitioner's Comments on Ministerial Errors in the Final Results," dated April 17, 2017.

³ See Letter from Goldenpalm, "Certain Lined Paper Products from India, C-533-844; Response to Ministerial Error Comments," dated April 28, 2017.

⁴ See *Final Results* IDM at 3-5.

⁵ See Memorandum, "Response to Ministerial Error Allegations in the Final Results" (Response to Ministerial Error Allegations) dated concurrently with this notice.

⁶ See *Final Results*, 82 FR at 18113.