environmental effects from exposure to the pesticides discussed in this document, compared to the general population.

II. Registration Applications

EPA has received applications to register new uses for pesticide products containing currently registered active ingredients. Pursuant to the provisions of FIFRA section 3(c)(4) (7 U.S.C. 136a(c)(4)), EPA is hereby providing notice of receipt and opportunity to comment on these applications. Notice of receipt of these applications does not imply a decision by EPA on these applications. For actions being evaluated under EPA's public participation process for registration actions, there will be an additional opportunity for public comment on the proposed decisions. Please see EPA's public participation Web site for additional information on this process (http://www2.epa.gov/pesticideregistration/public-participationprocess-registration-actions). EPA received the following applications to register new uses for pesticide products containing currently registered active ingredients:

1. EPA Registration Numbers: 100-739, 100-1262, 100-1312, 100-1313, 100-1317, and 100-1554. Docket ID Number: EPA-HQ-OPP-2016-0254. Applicant: Syngenta Crop Protection, LLC, P.O. Box 18300, Greensboro, NC 27419. Active Ingredient: Difenoconazole. Product Type: Fungicide. Proposed Use: Cranberry; Fruit, small, vine climbing, except fuzzy kiwifruit, subgroup 13-07F; Guava; Kohlrabi; Papaya; Crop group conversion from Brassica, leafy greens, subgroup 5B to Brassica, leafy greens, subgroup 4-16B; and Crop group conversion from Brassica, head and stem, subgroup 5A to Vegetable, brassica, head and stem, group 5-16.

2. EPA Registration Number: 100–1481. Docket ID Number: EPA-HQ-OPP-2016-0640. Applicant: Syngenta Crop Protection, LLC, P.O. Box 18300, Greensboro, NC 27419. Active Ingredient: Indoxacarb. Product Type: Insecticide. Proposed Use: Commercial ornamental plant nurseries, pastures grazed by horses and other companion animals not used for consumption, and sod farms.

3. EPA Registration Numbers: 59639–139, 59639–140, and 59639–141. Docket ID Number: EPA–HQ–OPP–2016–0257. Applicant: Valent USA Corporation, P.O. Box 8025, Walnut Creek, CA 94596. Active Ingredient: Fluopicolide. Product Type: Fungicide. Proposed Use: Basil; Beans, succulent; Fruit, citrus, crop group 10–10; Hop, dried cones; Crop

group conversion from Vegetable, fruiting, crop group 8 to Vegetable, fruiting, crop group 8–10; and Crop group expansion from Grape to Fruit, small, vine climbing, except fuzzy kiwifruit, subgroup 13–07F.

Authority: 7 U.S.C. 136 et seq. Dated: December 20, 2016.

Robert McNally,

Director, Biopesticides and Pollution Prevention Division, Office of Pesticide Programs.

[FR Doc. 2016–31829 Filed 12–30–16; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[EPA-HQ-OPPT-2016-0264; FRL-9957-21]

Agency Information Collection Activities; Proposed Renewal of an Existing Collection (EPA ICR No. 1246.13); Comment Request

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: In compliance with the Paperwork Reduction Act (PRA), this document announces that EPA is planning to submit an Information Collection Request (ICR) to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB). The ICR, entitled: "Reporting and Recordkeeping for Asbestos Abatement Worker Protection" and identified by EPA ICR No. 1246.13 and OMB Control No. 2070-0072, represents the renewal of an existing ICR that is scheduled to expire on August 31, 2017. Before submitting the ICR to OMB for review and approval, EPA is soliciting comments on specific aspects of the proposed information collection that is summarized in this document. The ICR and accompanying material are available in the docket for public review and comment.

DATES: Comments must be received on or before March 6, 2017.

ADDRESSES: Submit your comments, identified by docket identification (ID) number EPA-HQ-OPPT-2016-0264, by one of the following methods:

- Federal eRulemaking Portal: http://www.regulations.gov. Follow the online instructions for submitting comments. Do not submit electronically any information you consider to be Confidential Business Information (CBI) or other information whose disclosure is restricted by statute.
- *Mail*: Document Control Office (7407M), Office of Pollution Prevention and Toxics (OPPT), Environmental

Protection Agency, 1200 Pennsylvania Ave. NW., Washington, DC 20460–0001.

• Hand Delivery: To make special arrangements for hand delivery or delivery of boxed information, please follow the instructions at http://www.epa.gov/dockets/contacts.html.

Additional instructions on commenting or visiting the docket, along with more information about dockets generally, is available at http://www.epa.gov/dockets.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: For technical information contact: Lea Carmichael, National Program Chemicals Division (7404T), Office of Pollution Prevention and Toxics, Environmental Protection Agency, 1200 Pennsylvania Ave. NW., Washington, DC 20460–0001; telephone number: (202) 564–4689; email address: carmichael.lea@epa.gov.

For general information contact: The TSCA-Hotline, ABVI-Goodwill, 422 South Clinton Ave., Rochester, NY 14620; telephone number: (202) 554–1404; email address: TSCA-Hotline@epa.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. What information is EPA particularly interested in?

Pursuant to PRA section 3506(c)(2)(A) (44 U.S.C. 3506(c)(2)(A)), EPA specifically solicits comments and information to enable it to:

- 1. Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the Agency, including whether the information will have practical utility.
- 2. Evaluate the accuracy of the Agency's estimates of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used.
- 3. Enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected.
- 4. Minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, e.g., permitting electronic submission of responses. In particular, EPA is requesting comments from very small businesses (those that employ less than 25) on examples of specific additional efforts that EPA could make to reduce the paperwork burden for very small businesses affected by this collection.

II. What information collection activity or ICR does this action apply to?

Title: Reporting and Recordkeeping for Asbestos Abatement Worker Protection.

ICR number: EPA ICR No. 1246.13.

OMB control number: OMB Control
No. 2070–0072.

ICR status: This ICR is currently scheduled to expire on August 31, 2017. An Agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information, unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number. The OMB control numbers for EPA's regulations in title 40 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR), after appearing in the Federal Register when approved, are listed in 40 CFR part 9, are displayed either by publication in the Federal Register or by other appropriate means, such as on the related collection instrument or form, if applicable. The display of OMB control numbers for certain EPA regulations is consolidated in 40 CFR part 9.

Abstract: EPA's asbestos worker protection rule is designed to provide occupational exposure protection to state and local government employees who are engaged in asbestos abatement activities in states that do not have state plans approved by the Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA). The rule provides protection for public employees not covered by the OSHA standard from the adverse health effects associated with occupational exposure to asbestos. Specifically, the rule requires state and local governments to monitor employee exposure to asbestos, take action to reduce exposure to asbestos, monitor employee health and train employees about asbestos hazards.

The rule includes a number of information reporting and recordkeeping requirements. State and local government agencies are required to provide employees with information about exposures to asbestos and the associated health effects. The rule also requires state and local governments to notify EPA before commencing any asbestos abatement project. State and local governments must maintain medical surveillance and monitoring records and training records on their employees, must establish a set of written procedures for respirator programs and must maintain procedures and records of respirator fit tests. EPA will use the information to monitor compliance with the asbestos worker protection rule. This request addresses these reporting and recordkeeping requirements.

Responses to the collection of information are mandatory (see 40 CFR 763 Subpart G). EPA will disclose information that is covered by a claim of confidentiality only to the extent permitted by, and in accordance with, the procedures in the Toxic Substances Control Act (TSCA) and 40 CFR part 2.

Burden statement: The annual public reporting and recordkeeping burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 0.32 hours per response. Burden is defined in 5 CFR 1320.3(b).

The ICR, which is available in the docket along with other related materials, provides a detailed explanation of the collection activities and the burden estimate that is only briefly summarized here:

Respondents/Affected Entities:
Entities potentially affected by this ICR are state and local government employers in 24 states, the District of Columbia, and certain U.S. Territories that have employees engaged in asbestos-related construction, custodial and brake and clutch repair activities without OSHA-approved state plans.

Estimated total number of potential respondents: 23,437.

Frequency of response: On occasion. Estimated total average number of responses for each respondent: 49.9. Estimated total annual burden hours:

372,969 hours.

Estimated total annual costs: \$ 15,763,007. This includes an estimated burden cost of \$ 15,763,007 and an estimated cost of \$ 0 for capital investment or maintenance and operational costs.

III. Are there changes in the estimates from the last approval?

There is an overall increase of 9,452 hours in the total estimated respondent burden compared with that identified in the ICR currently approved by OMB. This change reflects an increase of 24,371 hours to account for updates made from previous ICRs to standardize methodology and a decrease of 14,919 hours due to Maine's new status of having an OSHA-approved state plan whereby its entities are no longer covered under this ICR. This change is an adjustment.

IV. What is the next step in the process for this ICR?

EPA will consider the comments received and amend the ICR as appropriate. The final ICR package will then be submitted to OMB for review and approval pursuant to 5 CFR 1320.12. EPA will issue another **Federal Register** document pursuant to 5 CFR 1320.5(a)(1)(iv) to announce the

submission of the ICR to OMB and the opportunity to submit additional comments to OMB. If you have any questions about this ICR or the approval process, please contact the technical person listed under FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT.

Authority: 44 U.S.C. 3501 et seq.

Dated: December 22, 2016.

James Jones,

Assistant Administrator, Office of Chemical Safety and Pollution Prevention.

[FR Doc. 2016–31821 Filed 12–30–16; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[EPA-HQ-OGC-2016-0776; FRL 9957-83-OGC]

Proposed Consent Decree, Clean Air Act Citizen Suit

AGENCY: Environmental Protection

Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Notice of Proposed Consent Decree; Request for Public Comment.

SUMMARY: In accordance with section 113(g) of the Clean Air Act, as amended ("CAA" or the "Act"), notice is hereby given of a proposed consent decree to address a lawsuit filed by Citizens for Clean Air and Sierra Club ("Plaintiffs") in the United States District Court for the Western District of Washington: Citizens for Clean Air, et al. v. McCarthy, et al. No. 2:16-cv-01594-RAJ (W.D. WA.). On October 11, 2016, Plaintiffs filed a lawsuit alleging that Gina McCarthy, in her official capacity as Administrator of the United States Environmental Protection Agency and Dennis McLerran, in his official capacity as Regional Administrator of the United States Environmental Protection Agency, Region 10 (collectively, "EPA"), failed to perform a duty mandated by CAA to make a determination as to whether the Fairbanks North Star Borough nonattainment area in Alaska attained the 2006 24-hour PM_{2.5} NAAQS by December 31, 2015, and to publish a notice of that determination within six months of that date. If EPA determines that the area did not attain the 2006 24hour PM_{2.5} NAAQS by December 31, 2015, then the nonattainment area will be reclassified from "moderate" to a "serious" for these NAAQS. The proposed consent decree would establish deadlines for EPA to take certain specified actions.

DATES: Written comments on the proposed consent decree must be received by February 2, 2017.