

address one or more of the following four points:

1. Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the Bureau of Justice Statistics, including whether the information will have practical utility;
2. Evaluate the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;
3. Evaluate whether and if so how the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected can be enhanced; and
4. Minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, e.g., permitting electronic submission of responses.

Overview of This Information Collection

1. *Type of Information Collection:* Extension of a currently approved collection.
2. *Title of the Form/Collection:* Application for Approval as a Nonprofit Budget and Credit Counseling Agency (Application).
3. *Agency form number, if any, and the applicable component of the Department sponsoring the collection:* There is no form number. The applicable component within the Department of Justice is the Executive Office for United States Trustees.
4. *Affected public who will be asked or required to respond, as well as a brief abstract:* Individuals and entities that wish to offer instructional courses to debtors concerning personal financial management pursuant to the Bankruptcy Abuse Prevention and Consumer Protection Act of 2005 ("BAPCPA"), Public Law 109–8, 119 Stat. 23, 37, 38 (April 20, 2005), and codified at 11 U.S.C. 109(h) and 111, and Application Procedures and Criteria for Approval of Providers of a Personal Financial Management Instructional Course by United States Trustees, 78 FR 16,159 (March 14, 2013) (Rule).
The BAPCPA requires individual debtors in bankruptcy cases to complete a personal financial management instructional course from a provider that has been approved by the United States Trustee as a condition of receiving a discharge. The Application collects information from such providers in order to ensure compliance with the law and the Rule.

5. *Estimate of the total number of respondents and the amount of time estimated for an average respondent to respond:* It is estimated that 195 respondents will complete the application; initial applicants will complete the application in approximately ten (10) hours, while renewal applicants will complete the application in approximately four (4) hours. In addition, it is estimated that approximately 966,868 debtors will complete a survey evaluating the effectiveness of an instructional course in approximately one (1) minute.

6. *An estimate of the total public burden (in hours) associated with the collection:* The estimated total annual public burden associated with this application is 17,014.5 hours; the applicants' burden is 900 hours and the debtors' burden is 16,114.5 hours.

If additional information is required contact: Jerri Murray, Department Clearance Officer, United States Department of Justice, Justice Management Division, Policy and Planning Staff, Two Constitution Square, 145 N Street NE., 3E.405B, Washington, DC 20530.

Dated: August 17, 2017.

Jerri Murray,
Department Clearance Officer for PRA, U.S.
Department of Justice.

[FR Doc. 2016–20053 Filed 8–22–16; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410–40–P

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Notice of Lodging of Proposed Consent Decree Under the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act

On August 12, 2016, the Department of Justice lodged a proposed Consent Decree with the United States District Court for the Northern District of Ohio in the lawsuit entitled *United States v. Aerojet Rocketdyne Holdings, Inc.*, Civil Action No. 3:16-cv-02022.

The United States filed this lawsuit under the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act ("CERCLA") and the Clean Water Act. The United States' complaint names the following parties as defendants: Aerojet Rocketdyne Holdings, Inc. (fka Gencorp Inc.); Allied Waste Industries, Inc.; E.I. DuPont de Nemours and Company; Honeywell International, Inc.; Illinois Tool Works, Inc.; United Technologies Corporation; Grand Trunk Western Railroad Company; Perstorp Polyols Inc.; Varta Microbattery Inc.; and The Mosaic Company (fka MOS Holdings

Inc.). The State of Ohio filed a related lawsuit, named *State of Ohio, ex rel. DeWine v. Aerojet Rocketdyne Holdings, Inc.* et al., Civil Action No. 3:16-cv-02027 (N.D. Ohio), under CERCLA, the Clean Water Act, and its common law public trust doctrine. The State names as Defendants the Defendants in the United States' complaint, plus the United States of America, the United States Navy, Air Force, Army, and the Department of Commerce ("Settling Federal Agencies").

The complaints request recovery of natural resource damages ("NRD"), including costs of restoration and injured, destroyed, or lost natural resources resulting from releases of hazardous substances into an area defined in the Consent Decree as the Ottawa River Assessment Area, and assessment costs. All of the Defendants signed the consent decree. The non-federal Defendants agree to restore property located adjacent to the Cedar Point National Wildlife Refuge in Ottawa County, estimated to cost \$1,100,000, including the price of acquiring the property itself, which has already occurred, then donate the property to the U.S. Department of the Interior ("DOI"), Fish and Wildlife Service ("FWS"). They will also pay \$250,000 for additional restoration projects to be determined by the FWS and the Ohio Environmental Protection Agency ("Ohio EPA") and pay a total of \$1,311,372 in past NRD assessment costs, \$891,330 to the United States and \$420,042 to the State of Ohio. The Settling Federal Agencies will pay \$270,623.79, including \$181,318.33 to DOI for past NRD assessment costs, \$28,579.46 to the State for past NRD assessment costs, and \$60,726.69 for future restorations projects to be determined by the FWS and Ohio EPA.

In return, the United States agrees not to sue the non-federal defendants, and DOI and FWS agree not to take administrative action against the Settling Federal Agencies, for NRD under CERCLA, the Clean Water Act, or federal statutory or state statutory or common law. The State agrees not to sue the non-federal Defendants and the Settling Federal Agencies for NRD under CERCLA, the Clean Water Act, or federal statutory or state statutory or common law. The non-federal settling Defendants agree not to sue the State or the United States (including the Settling Federal Agencies) pursuant to CERCLA, the Clean Water Act, or federal statutory or state statutory or common law for NRD or any response actions undertaken in the Ottawa River Assessment Area pursuant to the Great Lakes Legacy Act.

The publication of this notice opens a period for public comment on the proposed Consent Decree. Comments should be addressed to the Assistant Attorney General, Environment and Natural Resources Division, and should refer to *United States and State of Ohio v. Aerojet Rocketdyne Holdings, Inc. et al.*, D.J. Ref. No. 90–11–3–09090. All comments must be submitted no later than thirty (30) days after the publication date of this notice. Comments may be submitted either by email or by mail:

<i>To submit comments:</i>	<i>Send them to:</i>
By e-mail	<i>pubcomment- ees.enrd@ usdoj.gov.</i>
By mail	Assistant Attorney General, U.S. DOJ–ENRD, P.O. Box 7611, Wash- ington, D.C. 20044–7611.

During the public comment period, the Consent Decree may be examined and downloaded at this Justice Department Web site: <https://www.justice.gov/enrd/consent-decrees>. We will provide a paper copy of the Consent Decree upon written request and payment of reproduction costs. Please mail your request and payment to: Consent Decree Library, U.S. DOJ–ENRD, P.O. Box 7611, Washington, DC 20044–7611.

Please enclose a check or money order for \$42.50 (25 cents per page reproduction cost) payable to the United States Treasury for the Consent Decree. For a paper copy without the exhibits and signature pages, the cost is \$13.25.

Randall M. Stone,
*Acting Assistant Section Chief,
Environmental Enforcement Section,
Environment and Natural Resources Division.*

[FR Doc. 2016–20076 Filed 8–22–16; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410–15–P

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

[TA–W–91,248]

Exal Corporation, Including On-Site Leased Workers from Alliance Industrial Solutions and Ryan Alternative Staffing, Youngstown, Ohio; Notice of Affirmative Determination Regarding Application for Reconsideration

By application dated June 22, 2016, the state workforce office requested

administrative reconsideration of the negative determination regarding workers' eligibility to apply for worker adjustment assistance applicable to workers and former workers of Exal Corporation, including on-site leased workers from Alliance Industrial Solutions and Ryan Alternative Staffing, Youngstown, Ohio. The determination was issued on May 26, 2016 and the Notice of Determination was published in the **Federal Register** on June 28, 2016 (81 FR 42000).

Pursuant to 29 CFR 90.18(c) reconsideration may be granted under the following circumstances:

- (1) If it appears on the basis of facts not previously considered that the determination complained of was erroneous;
- (2) If it appears that the determination complained of was based on a mistake in the determination of facts not previously considered; or
- (3) If in the opinion of the Certifying Officer, a misinterpretation of facts or of the law justified reconsideration of the decision.

The initial investigation resulted in a negative determination based on the findings that there was no increase in imports by the workers' firm or its customers, nor was there a foreign shift or acquisition by the workers' firm or its customers. In addition, neither the workers' firm nor its customers reported imports of articles like or directly competitive with articles for which the article produced by the workers' firm were directly incorporated.

The request for reconsideration asserts that the subject firm and customer continues to import from a foreign location like or directly competitive articles while decreasing articles produced within the United States. The request for reconsideration included new facts.

The Department of Labor has carefully reviewed the request for reconsideration and the existing record, and has determined that the Department will conduct further investigation to determine if the workers meet the eligibility requirements of the Trade Act of 1974.

Conclusion

After careful review of the application, I conclude that the claim is of sufficient weight to justify reconsideration of the U.S. Department of Labor's prior decision. The application is, therefore, granted.

Signed at Washington, DC, this 5th day of July, 2016.

Hope D. Kinglock,
Certifying Officer, Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. 2016–20046 Filed 8–22–16; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE P

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

Investigations Regarding Eligibility To Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance

Petitions have been filed with the Secretary of Labor under Section 221 (a) of the Trade Act of 1974 (“the Act”) and are identified in the Appendix to this notice. Upon receipt of these petitions, the Director of the Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance, Employment and Training Administration, has instituted investigations pursuant to Section 221 (a) of the Act.

The purpose of each of the investigations is to determine whether the workers are eligible to apply for adjustment assistance under Title II, Chapter 2, of the Act. The investigations will further relate, as appropriate, to the determination of the date on which total or partial separations began or threatened to begin and the subdivision of the firm involved.

The petitioners or any other persons showing a substantial interest in the subject matter of the investigations may request a public hearing, provided such request is filed in writing with the Director, Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance, at the address shown below, no later than September 2, 2016.

Interested persons are invited to submit written comments regarding the subject matter of the investigations to the Director, Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance, at the address shown below, not later than September 2, 2016.

The petitions filed in this case are available for inspection at the Office of the Director, Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance, Employment and Training Administration, U.S. Department of Labor, Room N–5428, 200 Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20210.

Signed at Washington, DC, this 12th day of August 2016.

Jessica R. Webster,
Certifying Officer, Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance.