

Eligibility

1. The attributes that an institution should possess in order to be eligible for the section 108 exceptions, and how to prescribe and/or regulate them.

Rights Affected

2. Limiting section 108 to reproduction and distribution activities, or extending it to permit public performance and display as well.

Copies for Preservation, Security, Deposit in Another Institution, and Replacement

3. Restricting the number of preservation and security copies of a given work, either with a specific numerical limit, as with the current three-copy rule, or with a conceptual limit, such as the amount reasonably necessary for each permitted purpose.

4. The level of public access that a receiving institution can provide with respect to copies of both publicly disseminated and non-publicly disseminated works deposited with it for research purposes.

Copies for Users

5. Conditioning the unambiguous allowance of direct digital distribution of copies of portions of a work or entire works to requesting users, and whether any such conditions should be statutory or arrived at through a rulemaking process.

Preservation of Internet Content

6. Conditioning the distribution and making available of publicly available internet content captured and reproduced by an eligible institution.

Relation to Contractual Obligations

7. How privileging some of the section 108 exceptions over conflicting contractual terms would affect business relationships between rights-holders and libraries, archives, and museums.

Outsourcing

8. What activities (e.g., digitization, preservation, interlibrary loan) to allow to be outsourced to third-party contractors, and the conditioning of this outsourcing.

Other

9. Whether the conditions to any of the section 108 exceptions would be better as regulations that are the product of notice-and-comment rulemaking or as statutory text.

10. Whether and how the use of technical protection measures by eligible institutions should apply to section 108 activities.

11. Any pertinent issues not referenced above that the Copyright Office should consider in relation to revising section 108.

Dated: June 2, 2016.

Karyn A. Temple Claggett,

Associate Register of Copyrights and Director of Policy and International Affairs, U.S. Copyright Office.

[FR Doc. 2016-13426 Filed 6-6-16; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 1410-30-P

NATIONAL AERONAUTICS AND SPACE ADMINISTRATION

[Notice (16-039)]

Notice of Intent To Grant an Exclusive License

AGENCY: National Aeronautics and Space Administration.

ACTION: Notice of intent to grant exclusive license.

SUMMARY: This notice is issued in accordance with 35 U.S.C. 209(e) and 37 CFR 404.7(a)(1)(i). NASA hereby gives notice of its intent to grant an exclusive license in the United States to practice the invention described and claimed in U.S. Non-Provisional Patent Application, Serial No. 13/573920, titled "System and Method for Air Launch from a Towed Aircraft," NASA Case No. DRC-012-011, and Provisional Patent Application, Serial No. 15/046789, titled "System and Method for Air Launch from a Towed Aircraft" NASA Case No. DRC-012-011B and any issued patents or continuations in part resulting therefrom, to Kelly Space & Technology Inc., having its principal place of business in San Bernardino, California. Certain patent rights in this invention have been assigned to the United States of America as represented by the Administrator of the National Aeronautics and Space Administration. The prospective exclusive license will comply with the terms and conditions of 35 U.S.C. 209 and 37 CFR 404.7.

DATES: The prospective exclusive license may be granted unless, within fifteen (15) days from the date of this published notice, NASA receives written objections including evidence and argument that establish that the grant of the license would not be consistent with the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 209 and 37 CFR 404.7. Competing applications completed and received by NASA within fifteen (15) days of the date of this published notice will also be treated as objections to the grant of the contemplated exclusive license.

Objections submitted in response to this notice will not be made available to the public for inspection and, to the extent permitted by law, will not be released under the Freedom of Information Act, 5 U.S.C. 552.

ADDRESSES: Objections relating to the prospective license may be submitted to Patent Counsel, NASA Management Office, Jet Propulsion Laboratory, 4800 Oak Grove Drive, M/S 180-800C, Pasadena, CA 91109, (818) 854-7770 (phone), 818-393-2607 (fax).

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mark Homer, Patent Counsel, NASA Management Office, Jet Propulsion Laboratory, 4800 Oak Grove Drive, M/S 180-800C, Pasadena, CA 91109, (818) 854-7770 (phone), 818-393-2607 (fax). Information about other NASA inventions available for licensing can be found online at <http://technology.nasa.gov>.

Mark P. Dvorscak,

Agency Counsel for Intellectual Property.

[FR Doc. 2016-13429 Filed 6-6-16; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7510-13-P

NATIONAL ARCHIVES AND RECORDS ADMINISTRATION

Office of Government Information Services (OGIS)

[NARA 2016-034]

Freedom of Information Act Advisory Committee

AGENCY: National Archives and Records Administration.

ACTION: Charter Renewal of the Freedom of Information Act Advisory Committee. **SUMMARY:** The National Archives and Records Administration (NARA) is renewing the charter for the Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) Advisory Committee, a Federal advisory committee we established to study the current FOIA landscape across the executive branch and to advise NARA's Office of Government Information Services, the Government's FOIA ombudsman, on improvements to the FOIA.

DATES: We filed the renewed charter on May 20, 2016. It remains in effect for two years from that date, unless otherwise extended.

ADDRESSES: You may access the charter and other information about the FOIA Advisory Committee online at <http://www.ogis.archives.gov/foia-advisory-committee.htm>.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Kate Gastner by phone at 202-741-5770, by

mail at National Archives and Records Administration; Office of Government Information Services; 8601 Adelphi Road; College Park, MD 20740–6001, or by email at foia-advisory-committee@nara.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: NARA operates the FOIA Advisory Committee in accordance with provisions of the Federal Advisory Committee Act of 1972 (FACA) (5 U.S.C., App. 2) and implementing regulations at 41 CFR 102–3.5, *et seq.* The FACA formalizes a process for establishing, operating, overseeing, and terminating Federal advisory committees, and we review, at least annually, the need to continue each existing advisory committee. In accordance with the Government in the Sunshine Act (5 U.S.C. 552b(c)), the FOIA Advisory Committee meetings are open to the public, and we announce them in the **Federal Register** at least 15 days prior to each meeting.

Patrice Murray,
Committee Management Officer.

[FR Doc. 2016–13384 Filed 6–6–16; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7515–01–P

NATIONAL CREDIT UNION ADMINISTRATION

Modernizing Data Collection for Regulatory Oversight of Credit Unions

AGENCY: National Credit Union Administration.

ACTION: Notice; Request for Information (RFI).

SUMMARY: The National Credit Union Administration (NCUA) is conducting a comprehensive review of two vehicles used to collect information for regulatory oversight of federally insured credit unions (FICUs)—the 5300 Call Report (Call Report) and Form 4501A Profile (Profile). The overarching goal is modernizing content to (i) strengthen on-site examination and off-site monitoring by NCUA and state supervisory authorities, (ii) facilitate richer comparisons of institution and industry trends by other parties, and (iii) minimize the burden on reporting FICUs. NCUA plans a diverse outreach to inform modernization efforts with both general and specific input from all interested stakeholders. This RFI represents the first step.

Specifically, this RFI announces NCUA's desire for assistance in identifying the interrelated considerations and challenges associated with improving the Call Report and Profile. Input will be gathered through an open public

review-and-comment process featuring a range of possible forums (such as workshops, focus groups, online surveys, etc.). Target participants include credit unions, leagues, trades, regulators, industry-related persons, and academics.

DATES: Comments must be received by 5:00 p.m. Eastern time on August 1, 2016.

ADDRESSES: Comments may be submitted using *one* of the methods below (Please do not send comments via multiple methods.). Include “[Your name and company name (if any)]—Call Report/Profile Content Modernization” in all correspondence.

- **Mail:** Please direct written comments related to Call Report/Profile content modernization to Mark Vaughan, National Credit Union Administration, Office of Examination and Insurance, 1775 Duke Street, Alexandria, VA 22314.

- **Email:** Address to CallReportMod@ncua.gov. Any of the following formats is acceptable: HTML, ASCII, Word, RTF, or PDF.

NCUA will post all material received by the deadline on the agency Web site (www.ncua.gov) without alteration or redaction, so commenters should not include information they do not wish public (e.g., personal or confidential business information). SPAM or marketing materials will be discarded without publication.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mark Vaughan, National Credit Union Administration, Office of Examination and Insurance, 1775 Duke Street, Alexandria, VA 22314, telephone (703) 518–6622, email mvaughan@ncua.gov. Media inquiries should be directed to the NCUA Office of Public and Congressional Affairs at (703) 518–6671 or pacamail@ncua.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: NCUA uses the Call Report and Profile to collect financial and non-financial information from federally insured credit unions (FICUs). The resulting data are integral to risk supervision at the institution and industry levels, which is central to safeguarding the integrity of the National Credit Union Share Insurance Fund (NCUSIF).

The credit-union industry is dynamic, with FICUs growing larger and more complex every year. To keep pace, NCUA has modernized a variety of regulations, such as those governing member business loans and risk-based capital. The agency is also studying extension of the examination cycle, which would require compensating enhancements in off-site monitoring. In such an environment, NCUA must

ensure its data-collection vehicles evolve with industry practices, prudential regulations, and examination/supervision procedures so: (i) All material FICU risk exposures are captured; (ii) data offering little insight into these exposures are no longer solicited; (iii) the reporting burden on supervised institutions—particularly small or non-complex credit unions—is minimized.

This RFI represents an initial NCUA step to collaborate with the public to modernize its systems for collecting, storing, and analyzing regulatory data. NCUA will use information furnished by individuals and organizations to enhance data utility, improve user experiences, and reduce regulatory burden without compromising the agency's ability to safeguard the NCUSIF. In addition to this step, the agency plans to seek clearance from the Office of Management and Budget to form workgroups to help improve vehicles for storing and analyzing data.

NCUA invites credit unions, leagues, trade organizations, financial-data aggregators, academia, insurers, other regulators, and other interested parties to respond.

Request for Comment

NCUA is providing questions about major aspects of the Call Report and Profile to target issues the public would like addressed by the modernization effort. These questions are not intended to limit discussion. Indeed, responders may explore any issue relevant to Call Report and Profile content. Information received will not be used for statistical purposes.

Responses containing references to studies, research, or data not widely available to the public should include copies of referenced materials. A description of the commenter's organization and its interest in the Call Report and Profile will help NCUA use the input provided.

Call Report/Profile Content Questions

1. What specific areas of the Call Report/Profile forms do you find challenging to complete? Please describe the nature of those challenges.

2. What sections/schedules/items on the Call Report/Profile could be made optional for small or non-complex credit unions without complicating assessments of risk?

3. What specific items would you like to see added to the Call Report/Profile to enhance analysis of local, regional and national performance trends or improve comparisons of individual credit unions with peer institutions?