

date of last entry. (NC1–64–77–10 item 19).” <http://www.archives.gov/records-mgmt/grs/grs01.html>.

#### SYSTEM MANAGER(S) AND ADDRESS:

CAPT Priscilla J. Powers, Director, Health Services, 2002 SE Marine Science Drive, Newport, OR 97365.

#### NOTIFICATION PROCEDURE:

In accordance with the Department of Commerce regulations implementing the Privacy Act, at Title 15 of the Code of Federal Regulations, part 4, subpart B-Privacy Act, individuals interested in determining if the system contains their name should direct their Privacy Act request to the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, Public Reference Facility, OFA56, 1315 East West Highway (SSMC3), Room 10730, Silver Spring, Maryland 20910.

#### RECORD ACCESS PROCEDURES:

Same as Notification procedures above.

#### TESTING RECORD PROCEDURES:

Same as Notification procedures above.

#### RECORD SOURCE CATEGORIES:

Information that may be entered into the NHSQ and TSD will come from the following sources:

1. The individual involved in the medical record.
2. The authorized medical reviewer.
3. Other documentation submitted by medical providers of the individual.
4. Medical events that occur while on OMAO vessels.

#### EXEMPTIONS CLAIMED FOR THE SYSTEM:

None.

Dated: January 12, 2016.

Michael J. Toland,

Department of Commerce, Freedom of Information and Privacy Act Officer.

[FR Doc. 2016–00834 Filed 1–15–16; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510–12–P

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### Foreign-Trade Zones Board

[B–57–2015]

#### Correction Notice; Authorization of Production Activity; Foreign-Trade Zone 265; Bauer Manufacturing Inc.; (Stationary Oil/Gas Drilling Rigs); Conroe, Texas

On August 19, 2015, the City of Conroe, Texas, grantee of FTZ 265, submitted a notification of proposed production activity to the Foreign-Trade Zones (FTZ) Board on behalf of Bauer

Manufacturing Inc., within FTZ 265, in Conroe, Texas.

The notification was processed in accordance with the regulations of the FTZ Board (15 CFR part 400), including notice in the **Federal Register** inviting public comment (80 FR 54520, September 10, 2015). The FTZ Board has determined that no further review of the activity is warranted at this time. The production activity described in the notification is authorized, subject to the FTZ Act and the FTZ Board’s regulations, including Section 400.14, and further subject to a restriction requiring that foreign status textile-based cotton transport straps (classified within HTSUS Subheading 5806.31) be admitted to the zone in privileged foreign status (19 CFR 146.41).

Dated: January 12, 2016.

Andrew McGilvray,

Executive Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2016–00918 Filed 1–15–16; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

[A–570–900]

#### Diamond Sawblades and Parts Thereof From the People’s Republic of China: Notice of Court Decision Not in Harmony With the Final Results of Review and Amended Final Results of the Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

**AGENCY:** Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**SUMMARY:** On October 21, 2015, the United States Court of International Trade (Court) sustained our final remand redetermination pertaining to the administrative review of the antidumping duty order on diamond sawblades and parts thereof from the People’s Republic of China covering the period November 1, 2010, through October 31, 2011.<sup>1</sup> Consistent with the decision of the United States Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit (CAFC) in *Timken Co. v. United States*, 893 F.2d 337 (Fed. Cir. 1990) (*Timken*), as clarified by *Diamond Sawblades Mfrs. Coalition v. United States*, 626 F.3d 1374 (Fed. Cir. 2010) (*Diamond*

<sup>1</sup> See Final Remand Redetermination pursuant to *Diamond Sawblades Manufacturers Coalition v. United States*, Court No. 13–00241, slip op. 14–112 (Ct. Int’l Trade Sept. 23, 2014), dated May 18, 2015, and available at <http://enforcement.trade.gov/remands/14-112.pdf> (AR2 Remand), *aff’d*, *Diamond Sawblades Manufacturers’ Coalition v. United States*, Court No. 13–00241, slip op. 15–116 (Ct. Int’l Trade Oct. 21, 2015).

*Sawblades*), the Department of Commerce (the Department) is notifying the public that the Court’s final judgment in this case is not in harmony with the *AR2 Final Results*<sup>2</sup> and that the Department is amending the *AR2 Final Results* with respect to the ATM Single Entity<sup>3</sup> and the PRC-wide entity.

**DATES:** *Effective Date:* October 31, 2015.

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Yang Jin Chun or Minoo Hatten, AD/CVD Operations, Office I, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone (202) 482–5760 or (202) 482–1690, respectively.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

##### Background

On June 17, 2013, as amended on July 18, 2013, the Department published the *AR2 Final Results*. The Diamond Sawblades Manufacturers’ Coalition (DSMC) challenged certain aspects of the Department’s *AR2 Final Results*. On September 23, 2014, the Court remanded the *AR2 Final Results* to the Department to: (1) Reconsider the ATM Single Entity’s separate rate status; (2) explain where in the statute or other authority the Department finds the non-ministerial discretion not to determine if there is a pattern of differing export price or constructed export price for the purposes of using an alternate comparison methodology, regardless of whether an allegation is raised to that effect; and (3) explain how the methodology for valuing Weihai Xiangguang Mechanical Industrial Co., Ltd.’s (Weihai) steel cores is consistent with the first review, why Weihai’s NME experience better reflects Weihai’s experience of purchasing cores even though it is located in an NME country, and provide a full explanation of its

<sup>2</sup> See *Diamond Sawblades and Parts Thereof From the People’s Republic of China: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2010–2011*, 78 FR 36166 (June 17, 2013), as amended in *Diamond Sawblades and Parts Thereof From the People’s Republic of China: Amended Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2010–2011*, 78 FR 42930 (July 18, 2013) (collectively, *AR2 Final Results*).

<sup>3</sup> The ATM Single Entity includes Advanced Technology & Materials Co., Ltd., Beijing Gang Yan Diamond Products Co., HXF Saw Co., Ltd., AT&M International Trading Co., Ltd., and Cliff International Ltd. See *Diamond Sawblades and Parts Thereof From the People’s Republic of China: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2009–2010*, 78 FR 11143, 11144–45 n.9 (February 15, 2013), and *Diamond Sawblades and Parts Thereof From the People’s Republic of China: Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2010–2011*, 77 FR 73417, 73418 (December 10, 2012), unchanged in *AR2 Final Results*.

chosen methodology.<sup>4</sup> On remand, the Department (1) denied the ATM Single Entity a separate rate and revised the PRC-wide rate; (2) explained that the Department's practice is to require targeted dumping allegations before the preliminary results and, because DSMC filed the targeted dumping allegation after the preliminary results, the targeted dumping allegation in this review was untimely; and (3) explained the Department's methodology for valuing Weihai's steel cores.<sup>5</sup> On October 21, 2015, the Court upheld our final remand redetermination for this review in its entirety.<sup>6</sup>

Timken Notice

In its decision in *Timken*, as clarified by *Diamond Sawblades*, the CAFC held that, pursuant to section 516A(e) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act), the Department must publish a notice of a court decision that is not "in harmony" with a Department determination and must suspend liquidation of entries pending a "conclusive" court decision. The Court's final judgment affirming the final remand redetermination constitutes the Court's final decision which is not in harmony with the *AR2 Final Results*. This notice is published in fulfillment of the publication requirements of *Timken*. Accordingly, the Department will continue the suspension of liquidation of the subject merchandise pending a final and conclusive court decision.

Amended Final Results of Review

Because there is now a final court decision, the Department is amending the *AR2 Final Results* with respect to the PRC-wide entity, which includes the ATM Single Entity, as follows:

Exporter	Weighted-average dumping margin (percent)
PRC-Wide Entity (which includes the ATM Single Entity) .....	82.05

In the event the Court's ruling is upheld by a final and conclusive court decision, the Department will instruct the U.S. Customs and Border Protection to assess antidumping duties on unliquidated entries of subject

<sup>4</sup> See *Diamond Sawblades Manufacturers Coalition v. United States*, Court No. 13–00241, slip op. 14–112 (Ct. Int'l Trade Sept. 23, 2014).  
<sup>5</sup> See *AR2 Remand*.  
<sup>6</sup> See *Diamond Sawblades Manufacturers' Coalition v. United States*, Court No. 13–00241, slip op. 15–116 (Ct. Int'l Trade Oct. 21, 2015).

merchandise based on the revised rate the Department determined and listed above.

Cash Deposit Requirements

Since the *AR2 Remand*, the Department has established a new cash deposit rate for the PRC-wide entity, which includes the ATM Single Entity.<sup>7</sup> Therefore, the cash deposit rate for the PRC-wide entity does not need to be updated as a result of these amended final results.

Notification to Interested Parties

This notice is issued and published in accordance with sections 516A(e)(1), 751(a)(1), and 777(i)(1) of the Act.

Dated: January 12, 2016.  
**Paul Piquado,**  
*Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.*  
[FR Doc. 2016–00917 Filed 1–15–16; 8:45 am]  
**BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P**

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration  
[A–570–900]

**Diamond Sawblades and Parts Thereof From the People's Republic of China: Notice of Court Decision Not in Harmony With the Final Results of Review and Amended Final Results of the Antidumping Duty Administrative Review**

**AGENCY:** Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Commerce.  
**SUMMARY:** On September 23, 2015, the United States Court of International Trade (Court) sustained our final remand redetermination pertaining to the administrative review of the antidumping duty order on diamond sawblades and parts thereof from the People's Republic of China covering the period January 23, 2009, through October 31, 2010.<sup>1</sup> Consistent with the decision of the United States Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit (CAFC) in *Timken Co. v. United States*, 893 F.2d 337 (Fed. Cir. 1990) (*Timken*), as clarified by *Diamond Sawblades Mfrs.*

<sup>7</sup> See *Diamond Sawblades and Parts Thereof From the People's Republic of China: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review*; 2012–2013, 80 FR 32344 (June 8, 2015).  
<sup>1</sup> See Final Results of Redetermination pursuant to *Diamond Sawblades Manufacturers' Coalition v. United States*, Court No. 13–00078, slip op. 14–50 (Ct. Int'l Trade April 29, 2014), dated April 10, 2015, and available at <http://enforcement.trade.gov/remands/14–50.pdf> (*AR1 Remand*), aff'd, *Diamond Sawblades Manufacturers' Coalition v. United States*, Court No. 13–00078, slip op. 15–105 (Ct. Int'l Trade September 23, 2015).

*Coalition v. United States*, 626 F.3d 1374 (Fed. Cir. 2010) (*Diamond Sawblades*), the Department of Commerce (the Department) is notifying the public that the Court's final judgment in this case is not in harmony with the *AR1 Final Results*<sup>2</sup> and that the Department is amending the *AR1 Final Results* with respect to the ATM Single Entity<sup>3</sup> and the PRC-wide entity.  
**DATES:** *Effective Date:* October 3, 2015.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Yang Jin Chun or Minoo Hatten, AD/CVD Operations, Office I, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone (202) 482–5760 or (202) 482–1690, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On February 15, 2013, the Department published the *AR1 Final Results*. The Diamond Sawblades Manufacturers' Coalition challenged the Department's decisions to grant the ATM Single Entity a separate rate and to not collapse the state-owned enterprise, China Iron & Steel Research Institute, within the ATM Single Entity.<sup>4</sup> The Department requested a voluntary remand to reconsider the separate rate eligibility for the ATM Single Entity in this review and the Court granted the Department's request.<sup>5</sup> On remand, the Department determined that the ATM Single Entity was ineligible for a separate rate and also revised the PRC-wide rate.<sup>6</sup> On September 23, 2015, the Court entered judgment sustaining the final remand redetermination for this review in its entirety.<sup>7</sup>

Timken Notice

In its decision in *Timken*, as clarified by *Diamond Sawblades*, the CAFC held that, pursuant to section 516A(e) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act), the Department must publish a notice of

<sup>2</sup> See *Diamond Sawblades and Parts Thereof From the People's Republic of China: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review*; 2009–2010, 78 FR 11143 (February 15, 2013) (*AR1 Final Results*).  
<sup>3</sup> The ATM Single Entity includes Advanced Technology & Materials Co., Ltd., Beijing Gang Yan Diamond Products Co., HXF Saw Co., Ltd., AT&M International Trading Co., Ltd., and Cliff International Ltd. See *AR1 Final Results*, 78 FR at 11144–45 n.9.  
<sup>4</sup> See *Diamond Sawblades Manufacturers' Coalition v. United States*, Court No. 13–00078, slip op. 14–50 (Ct. Int'l Trade April 29, 2014).  
<sup>5</sup> *Id.*  
<sup>6</sup> See *AR1 Remand*.  
<sup>7</sup> See *Diamond Sawblades Manufacturers' Coalition v. United States*, Court No. 13–00078, slip op. 15–105 (Ct. Int'l Trade Sept. 23, 2015).