

- VI. Scope Comments
- VII. All-Others Rate
- VIII. Discussion of Methodology
 - A. Determination of the Comparison Method
 - B. Results of the Differential Pricing Analysis
 - C. Application of Facts Available and Adverse Inferences
 - 1. Application of Facts Available With an Adverse Inference
 - 2. Selection of Information Used as Facts Available
 - 3. Selection and Corroboration of the AFA Rate
- IX. Date of Sale
- X. Product Comparisons
- XI. Constructed Export Price
- XII. Normal Value
 - A. Comparison Market Viability
 - B. Affiliated Party Transactions and Arm's-Length Test
 - C. Level of Trade
 - D. Cost of Production Analysis
 - 1. Calculation of COP
 - 2. Test of Comparison Market Sales Prices
 - 3. Results of the COP Test
 - E. Calculation of NV Based on Comparison-Market Prices
- XIII. Currency Conversion
- XIV. Adjustments to Cash Deposit Rates For Export Subsidies in the Companion Countervailing Duty Investigation
- XV. Conclusion

[FR Doc. 2016-06449 Filed 3-21-16; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[C-570-068]

Aluminum Extrusions From the People's Republic of China: Amended Final Results of Countervailing Duty Administrative Review; 2013

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: On December 14, 2015, the Department of Commerce (the Department) published the *Final Results* of the administrative review of the countervailing duty (CVD) order¹ on aluminum extrusions from the People's Republic of China (PRC) for the January 1, 2013, through December 31, 2013 period of review (POR).² As explained

¹ See *Aluminum Extrusions from the People's Republic of China: Countervailing Duty Order*, 76 FR 30653 (May 26, 2011) (*Order*).

² See *Aluminum Extrusions from the People's Republic of China: Final Results, and Partial Rescission of Countervailing Duty Administrative Review; 2013*, 80 FR 77325, dated December 14, 2015 (*Final Results*); Memorandum from Christian Marsh, Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations to Paul Piquado Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance regarding: "Decision Memorandum for the Final Results of Countervailing Duty

below, the Department is amending the *Final Results* to correct the net subsidy rates for the Jangho Companies,³ non-selected cooperative respondents, and companies for which we applied total adverse facts available (AFA) in the *Final Results*. The amended final net subsidy rates are listed below in "Amended Final Results of Administrative Review."⁴

DATES: Effective Date: March 22, 2016.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Davina Friedmann, Tyler Weinhold or Robert James, AD/CVD Operations, Office VI, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-0698, (202) 482-1121 or (202) 482-0649, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On December 14, 2015, the Department published the *Final Results*.⁵ On December 15, 2015, the Jangho Companies alleged that certain ministerial errors were contained in the *Final Results*, and requested that the Department correct such errors.⁶ No other party has submitted ministerial error comments or rebuttal comments.

Before the Department could take action on the alleged ministerial errors, both Taizhou United Imp & Exp Co Ltd. and the Jangho Companies filed a summons and complaint with the U.S. Court of International Trade ("CIT") challenging the *Final Results*, which vested the CIT with jurisdiction over the administrative proceeding.⁷ On

Administrative Review: Aluminum Extrusions from the People's Republic of China, 2013 (Third Review)," December 7, 2015 (Final Results Issues and Decision Memorandum).

³ For purposes of this administrative review, the Jangho Companies includes Guangzhou Jangho Curtain Wall System Engineering Co., Ltd., (Guangzhou Jangho); Jangho Group Co., Ltd. (Jangho Group Co.); Beijing Jiangheyuan Holding Co., Ltd (Beijing Jiangheyuan); Beijing Jangho Curtain Wall System Engineering Co., Ltd. (Beijing Jangho); and Shanghai Jangho Curtain Wall System Engineering Co., Ltd., (Shanghai Jangho).

⁴ On December 17, 2015, the Department issued a memorandum correcting certain inadvertent errors in the Issues and Decision Memorandum. See Memorandum to the File from Tyler Weinhold: "Countervailing Duty Order on Aluminum Extrusions from the People's Republic of China: Errors in the Issues and Decision Memorandum for the Final Results of the 2013 Administrative Review," December 17, 2015. We hereby incorporate that memorandum by reference in this notice.

⁵ See *Final Results*.

⁶ See letter from the Jangho Companies to the Department regarding: "Aluminum Extrusions from the People's Republic of China: Ministerial Errors," December 15, 2015 (Ministerial Error Allegation).

⁷ See *Zenith Elecs. Corp. v. United States*, 884 F.2d 556, 561-62 (Fed. Cir. 1989).

February 8 and 12, 2016, the CIT granted the Department leave to publish amended final results upon considering the ministerial error allegations.⁸

Scope of the Order

The merchandise covered by the *Order* is aluminum extrusions which are shapes and forms, produced by an extrusion process, made from aluminum alloys having metallic elements corresponding to the alloy series designations published by The Aluminum Association commencing with the numbers 1, 3, and 6 (or proprietary equivalents or other certifying body equivalents).⁹

Imports of the subject merchandise are provided for under the following categories of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS): 9031.90.90.95, 7610.10.00, 7610.90.00, 7615.10.30, 7615.10.71, 7615.10.91, 7615.19.10, 7615.19.30, 7615.19.50, 7615.19.70, 7615.19.90, 7615.20.00, 7616.99.10, 7616.99.50, 8479.89.98, 8479.90.94, 8513.90.20, 9403.10.00, 9403.20.00, 7604.21.00.00, 7604.29.10.00, 7604.29.30.10, 7604.29.30.50, 7604.29.50.30, 7604.29.50.60, 7608.20.00.30, 7608.20.00.90, 8302.10.30.00, 8302.10.60.30, 8302.10.60.60, 8302.10.60.90, 8302.20.00.00, 8302.30.30.10, 8302.30.30.60, 8302.41.30.00, 8302.41.60.15, 8302.41.60.45, 8302.41.60.50, 8302.41.60.80, 8302.42.30.10, 8302.42.30.15, 8302.42.30.65, 8302.49.60.35, 8302.49.60.45, 8302.49.60.55, 8302.49.60.85, 8302.50.00.00, 8302.60.90.00, 8305.10.00.50, 8306.30.00.00, 8418.99.80.05, 8418.99.80.50, 8418.99.80.60, 8419.90.10.00, 8422.90.06.40, 8479.90.85.00, 8486.90.00.00, 8487.90.00.80, 8503.00.95.20, 8515.90.20.00, 8516.90.50.00, 8516.90.80.50, 8708.80.65.90, 9401.90.50.81, 9403.90.10.40, 9403.90.10.50, 9403.90.10.85, 9403.90.25.40, 9403.90.25.80, 9403.90.40.05, 9403.90.40.10, 9403.90.40.60, 9403.90.50.05, 9403.90.50.10, 9403.90.50.80, 9403.90.60.05, 9403.90.60.10, 9403.90.60.80, 9403.90.70.05, 9403.90.70.10, 9403.90.70.80, 9403.90.80.10, 9403.90.80.15, 9403.90.80.20, 9403.90.80.30, 9403.90.80.41, 9403.90.80.51, 9403.90.80.61,

⁸ See *Taizhou United Imp. & Exp. Co. Ltd. v. United States*, CIT No. 16-00009; *Guangzhou Jangho Curtain Wall System Engineering Co., Ltd. et al v. United States*, CIT No. 16-00012.

⁹ See Final Results Issues and Decision Memorandum for a complete description of the scope of the *Order*.

9506.51.40.00, 9506.51.60.00, 9506.59.40.40, 9506.70.20.90, 9506.91.00.10, 9506.91.00.20, 9506.91.00.30, 9506.99.05.10, 9506.99.05.20, 9506.99.05.30, 9506.99.15.00, 9506.99.20.00, 9506.99.25.80, 9506.99.28.00, 9506.99.55.00, 9506.99.60.80, 9507.30.20.00, 9507.30.40.00, 9507.30.60.00, 9507.90.60.00, and 9603.90.80.50

The subject merchandise entered as parts of other aluminum products may be classifiable under the following additional Chapter 76 subheadings: 7610.10, 7610.90, 7615.19, 7615.20, and 7616.99 as well as under other HTSUS chapters. In addition, fin evaporator coils may be classifiable under HTSUS numbers: 8418.99.80.50 and 8418.99.80.60. While HTSUS subheadings are provided for convenience and customs purposes, the written description of the scope of this *Order* is dispositive.

Correction to the Final Results

As discussed in the memoranda accompanying this notice, and which are hereby adopted by this notice, we determine that the *Final Results* contained two ministerial errors.¹⁰ First, in Guangzhou Jangho's glass for less than adequate remuneration (LTAR) purchases and benefits spreadsheet, we inadvertently referenced the wrong column in the transaction-specific benefits formulas for Guangzhou Jangho's glass purchases. We have corrected this error by modifying the relevant formula to refer to the correct column. Second, in Shanghai Jangho's aluminum extrusions for LTAR purchases and benefits spreadsheet, the formulas used to reference monthly aluminum extrusions benchmark prices were returning the value for the wrong month in certain instances, and in some instances we had used incorrect formulas. We have corrected these errors.

¹⁰ See Memorandum from Tyler Weinhold and Davina Friedmann, through Robert James, program Manager, Office VI, to Scot Fullerton, Director, AD/CVD Operations, Office VI, regarding: "Administrative Review of Countervailing Duty Order on Aluminum Extrusions from the People's Republic of China: Ministerial Error Allegation," dated concurrently with this memorandum (Amended Final Results Decision Memorandum), and Memorandum from Tyler Weinhold through Robert James, Program Manager, Office VI, to the File, regarding: "Administrative Review of Countervailing Duty Order on Aluminum Extrusions from the People's Republic of China: Amended Final Results Analysis Memorandum for the Jangho Companies," dated concurrently with this memorandum (Amended Final Analysis Memorandum for the Jangho Companies).

Amendment to Rates for Non-Selected Companies Under Review

In light of the above corrections, for the 38 companies for which a review was requested and not rescinded, but were not selected as mandatory respondents, we have recalculated the net subsidy rate which is based on the overall subsidy rates calculated for the mandatory respondents of this review.¹¹

We have also recalculated the net subsidy rate assigned to those companies for which we applied AFA in the *Final Results* because the AFA rate includes the individual subsidy rates determined for the glass for LTAR and aluminum extrusions for LTAR programs.¹²

Amended Final Results of Administrative Review

In accordance with 19 CFR 351.224(e) we determine the following amended final net subsidy rates for the 2013 administrative review:

Company	Ad Valorem rate ¹³ (percent)
Allied Maker Limited	28.01
Alnan Aluminum Co. Ltd	28.01
Bracalente Metal Producers (Suzhou) Co. Ltd ¹⁴	28.01
Changzhou Changzheng Evaporator Co., Ltd	28.01
Classic & Contemporary Inc. Danfoss Micro Channel Heat Exchanger (Jia Xing) Co. Ltd	28.01
Dongguan Golden Tiger Hardware Industrial Co., Ltd	28.01
Dynamic Technologies China Ltd	187.86
Ever Extend Ent. Ltd	28.01
Fenghua Metal Product Factory	28.01
Foreign Trade Co. of Suzhou New & High Tech Industrial Development Zone	187.86

¹¹ For further information see Memorandum from Davina Friedmann and Tyler Weinhold, Case Analysts, to Robert James, Program Manager, Office VI, AD/CVD Operations, regarding: "Administrative Review of Countervailing Duty Order on Aluminum Extrusions from the People's Republic of China: Non-Selected Rate Calculation Memorandum for the Amended Final Results," dated concurrently with these amended final results of review.

¹² For further information see Memorandum from Davina Friedmann and Tyler Weinhold, Case Analysts, to Robert James, Program Manager, Office VI, AD/CVD Operations, regarding: "Administrative Review of Countervailing Duty Order on Aluminum Extrusions from the People's Republic of China: AFA Calculation Memorandum for the Amended Final Results," dated concurrently with these amended final results of review.

¹³ Because the net subsidy rate for the Guang Ya Group did not change as a result of these amended final results, their net subsidy rate remains the same as was published in the *Final Results*. See *Final Results*, 80 FR 77325, 77327.

¹⁴ In the *Final Results*, the Department misspelled the name of this company. This error has been corrected for these amended final results of review.

Company	Ad Valorem rate ¹³ (percent)
Foshan Shunde Aoneng Electrical Appliances Co., Ltd	187.86
Golden Dragon Precise Copper Tube Group	187.86
Guandong JMA Aluminum Profile (Group) Co., Ltd	28.01
Guangdong Whirlpool Electrical Appliances Co. Ltd	28.01
Guangdong Zhongya Aluminum Company Limited	28.01
Hanyung Alcobis Co., Ltd	28.01
Hangyung Metal (Suzhou) Co., Ltd	28.01
Henan New Kelong Electrical Appliances, Co., Ltd	28.01
IDEX Dinglee Technology (Tianjin) Co., Ltd	28.01
IDEX Technology Suzhou Co., Ltd	28.01
Jangho Companies	29.18
Jiangsu Susun Group (HK) Co., Ltd	28.01
Justhere Co., Ltd	28.01
Kromet International Inc.	28.01
Metaltek Group Co. Ltd	28.01
North Fenghua Aluminum Limited	28.01
Nidec Sankyo Singapore Pte. Ltd	28.01
Nanhai Textiles Import & Export Co., Ltd	28.01
Permasteelisa Hong Kong Ltd	28.01
Permasteelisa South China Factory	28.01
Sapa Profiles (Shanghai) Co., Ltd	28.01
Shanghai Tongtai Precise Aluminum Alloy Manufacturing Co., Ltd	28.01
Shenyang Yuanda Aluminum Industry Engineering Co., Ltd	28.01
Taishan City Kam Kiu Aluminum Extrusion Co., Ltd	28.01
Taizhou United Imp & Exp Co Ltd	28.01
tenKsolar (Shanghai) Co., Ltd	28.01
Union Industry (Asia) Co., Limited	28.01
Whirlpool Microwave Products Development Ltd	28.01
WTI Building Products, Ltd	187.86
Zhaoqing Asia Aluminum Factory Company Ltd	187.86
Zhejiang Dongfeng Refrigeration Components Co. Ltd	28.01
Zhongya Shaped Aluminum (HK) Holding Limited	28.01
Zhongshan Daya Hardware Co., Ltd	28.01
Zhaoqing New Zhongya Aluminum Co., Ltd	28.01

Assessment Rates

The Department intends to issue appropriate assessment instructions directly to CBP 15 days after publication of these amended final results of review, to liquidate appropriate shipments of subject merchandise entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after January 1, 2013, through December 31, 2013, at the *ad valorem* rates listed above.

Cash Deposit Requirements

The Department also intends to instruct CBP to collect cash deposits of estimated countervailing duties in the amounts indicated above for each company listed above on shipments of subject merchandise entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after December 14, 2015, the date of publication of the *Final Results*. For all non-reviewed firms, we will instruct CBP to continue to collect cash deposits of estimated countervailing duties at the most recent company-specific or all-others rate applicable to the company, as appropriate. These cash deposit requirements, when imposed, shall remain in effect until further notice. We will disclose the calculations performed for these amended final results to interested parties within five business days of the date of publication of this notice.

We are issuing and publishing these results in accordance with sections 751(a)(1), 751(h), and 777(i)(1) of the Act; and 19 CFR 351.224(e) and (h).

Dated: March 15, 2016.

Paul Piquado,

Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.

[FR Doc. 2016-06425 Filed 3-21-16; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-570-985]

Xanthan Gum From the People's Republic of China: Preliminary Rescission of 2014-2015 Antidumping Duty New Shipper Review

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce ("the Department") is conducting a new shipper review ("NSR") of the antidumping duty order on xanthan gum from the People's Republic of China ("PRC"). The NSR covers one exporter and producer of subject merchandise, Inner Mongolia Jianlong Biochemical Co., Ltd. ("IMJ"). The period of review ("POR") is July 1, 2014 through June 30, 2015. The Department preliminarily determines that IMJ did not satisfy the regulatory requirements to request an NSR and did not make a *bona fide* sale during the POR; therefore, we are preliminarily rescinding this NSR. Interested parties are invited to comment on the preliminary results of this review.

DATES: Effective: March 22, 2016.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Cara Lofaro or Brandon Farlander, AD/CVD Operations, Office IV, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-5720 and (202) 482-0182, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On August 27, 2015, the Department published a notice of initiation of a new shipper review of the antidumping duty order on xanthan gum from the PRC.¹ The Department subsequently issued an antidumping duty questionnaire, and supplemental questionnaires, to IMJ and received timely responses thereto. Also, interested parties submitted comments on surrogate country and surrogate value selection.

The Department has exercised its discretion to toll all administrative deadlines due to the recent closure of the Federal Government because of Snowstorm "Jonas." Thus, all of the deadlines in this segment of the proceeding have been extended by four business days. The revised deadline for the preliminary results of this review, after the four business-day extension, was February 23, 2016.² However, on February 17, 2016, the Department extended the time period for issuing the preliminary results of this NSR by 21 days, until March 15, 2016.³

Scope of the Order

The scope of the order covers dry xanthan gum, whether or not coated or blended with other products. Further, xanthan gum is included in this order regardless of physical form, including, but not limited to, solutions, slurries, dry powders of any particle size, or unground fiber. Merchandise covered by the scope of this order is classified in the Harmonized Tariff Schedule ("HTS") of the United States at subheading 3913.90.20. This tariff

¹ See *Xanthan Gum From the People's Republic of China: Initiation of Antidumping Duty New Shipper Review*, 80 FR 52031 (August 27, 2015) ("Initiation Notice").

² See Memorandum to the Record from Ron Lorentzen, Acting Assistant Secretary for Enforcement & Compliance, regarding "Tolling of Administrative Deadlines as a Result of the Government Closure during Snowstorm Jonas," dated January 27, 2016.

³ See Memorandum to Christian Marsh, Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations, "New Shipper Review of Xanthan Gum from the People's Republic of China: Extension of Deadline for Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty New Shipper Review," dated February 17, 2016.

classification is provided for convenience and customs purposes; however, the written description of the scope is dispositive.⁴

Methodology

The Department is conducting this review in accordance with section 751(a)(2)(B) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the "Act") and 19 CFR 351.214. For a full description of the methodology underlying our conclusions, see the Preliminary Decision Memorandum, which is hereby adopted by this notice. The Preliminary Decision Memorandum is a public document and is on file electronically via Enforcement and Compliance's Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Centralized Electronic Service System ("ACCESS"). ACCESS is available to registered users at <http://access.trade.gov> and is available in the Central Records Unit, Room B8024 of the main Department of Commerce building. In addition, a complete version of the Preliminary Decision Memorandum can be accessed directly at <http://enforcement.trade.gov/frn/>. The signed Preliminary Decision Memorandum and the electronic version of the Preliminary Decision Memorandum are identical in content.

Preliminary Rescission of the Antidumping New Shipper Review of IMJ

As discussed in the *Bona Fide Sales Analysis Memorandum*,⁵ the Department preliminarily finds that the sale made by IMJ's affiliate in the United States, Jianlong USA, is not a *bona fide* sale. The Department reached this conclusion based on the totality of the circumstances surrounding the reported sale, including the sales price, in conjunction with the timing of the sale and the facts surrounding the establishment and operations of IMJ's U.S. affiliate, Jianlong USA. Because the

⁴ For a complete description of the scope of the order, see "Decision Memorandum for the Preliminary Rescission of the 2014-2015 Antidumping Duty New Shipper Review of Xanthan Gum from the People's Republic of China," from Christian Marsh, Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations, to Paul Piquado Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance ("Preliminary Decision Memorandum"), dated concurrently with this notice.

⁵ See Memorandum from Cara Lofaro and Brandon Farlander, International Trade Analysts, Office IV AD/CVD Operations, to Abdelali Elouaradia, Director, Office IV, AD/CVD Operations entitled "2014-2015 Antidumping Duty New Shipper Review of Xanthan Gum From the People's Republic of China: Preliminary *Bona Fide Sales Analysis* for Inner Mongolia Jianlong Biochemical Co., Ltd." dated concurrently with and hereby adopted by this notice ("Bona Fide Sales Analysis Memorandum").