

received during the comment period. As demonstrated by this SNPRM, your comment can help shape the outcome of this rulemaking. If you submit a comment, please include the docket number for this rulemaking, indicate the specific section of this document to which each comment applies, and provide a reason for each suggestion or recommendation.

We encourage you to submit comments through the Federal eRulemaking Portal at <http://www.regulations.gov>. If your material cannot be submitted using <http://www.regulations.gov>, contact the person in the **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** section of this document for alternate instruction.

We accept anonymous comments. All comments received will be posted without change to <http://www.regulations.gov> and will include any personal information you have provided. For more about privacy and the docket, you may review a Privacy Act notice regarding the Federal Docket Management System in the March 24, 2005, issue of the **Federal Register** (70 FR 15086).

Documents mentioned in this SNPRM as being available in the docket, and all public comments, will be in our online docket at <http://www.regulations.gov> and can be viewed by following that Web site's instructions. Additionally, if you go to the online docket and sign up for email alerts, you will be notified when comments are posted or a final rule is published.

We plan to hold a public meeting to receive oral comments on this SNPRM and will announce the date, time, and location in a separate document published in the **Federal Register**. If you signed up for docket email alerts mentioned in the paragraph above, you will receive an email notice when the public meeting notice is published and placed in the docket.

List of Subjects in 33 CFR Part 110

Anchorage grounds.

For the reasons discussed in the preamble, the Coast Guard proposes to amend 33 CFR part 110 as follows:

PART 110—ANCHORAGE REGULATIONS

■ 1. The authority citation for part 110 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 33 U.S.C. 471, 1221 through 1236, 2071; 33 CFR 1.05–1; Department of Homeland Security Delegation No. 0170.1.

■ 2. Revise § 110.111 to read as follows:

§ 110.111 Marina del Rey Harbor, Calif.

An area in the main channel encompassed within the following described boundaries: Beginning at the northeasterly corner in position latitude 33°58'41.6" N., longitude 118°26'50.8" W.; thence southerly to latitude 33°58'30.2" N., longitude 118°26'50.8" W.; thence westerly to latitude 33°58'30.2" N., longitude 118°26'55.1" W.; thence northerly to latitude 33°58'41.6" N., longitude 118°26'55.1" W.; thence easterly to the point of origin. All coordinates referenced North American Datum 1983.

Note to § 110.111: The Marina Del Rey Harbor Master, Los Angeles County prescribes local regulations for mooring and boating activities in this area.

Dated: February 8, 2016.

J. A. Servidio,

Rear Admiral, U.S. Coast Guard, Commander, Eleventh Coast Guard District.

[FR Doc. 2016–04336 Filed 2–26–16; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 9110–04–P

POSTAL SERVICE

39 CFR Part 501

Revisions to the Requirements for Authority To Manufacture and Distribute Postage Evidencing Systems

AGENCY: Postal Service™.

ACTION: Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: The Postal Service proposes to revise its rules concerning PC postage payment methodology by adding supplementary information to clarify the revenue assurance guidelines.

DATES: Submit comments on or before March 30, 2016.

ADDRESSES: Mail or deliver written comments to the Manager, Payment Technology, U.S. Postal Service®, 475 L'Enfant Plaza SW., Room 3500, Washington, DC 20260. You may inspect and photocopy all written comments at the Payment Technology office by appointment only between the hours of 9 a.m. and 4 p.m., Monday through Friday by calling 1–202–268–7613 in advance. Email and faxed comments are not accepted.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Marlo Kay Ivey, Business Systems Analyst, Payment Technology, U.S. Postal Service, (202) 268–7613.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On July 17, 2015, the United States Postal Service published a final rule to revise the rules concerning authorization to manufacture and distribute postage

evidencing systems and to reflect new revenue assurance practices. (See 80 FR 42392–42393.) Postage collection under the new rules will start on December 31, 2016. This document proposes minor additional changes to the rules in support of our efforts to collect the appropriate revenue on mail pieces in a more automated fashion. If this proposal is adopted, the proposed clarifying changes will also be implemented on December 31, 2016. The revenue assurance guidelines can be found in 39 CFR 501.16, and on <https://ribbs.usps.gov> in the site index of Automated Package Verification (APV) documents, named *APV Standard Operating Procedure (SOP)*.

List of Subjects in 39 CFR Part 501

Administrative practice and procedure.

Accordingly, for the reasons stated, the Postal Service proposes to amend 39 CFR part 501 as follows:

PART 501—AUTHORIZATION TO MANUFACTURE AND DISTRIBUTE POSTAGE EVIDENCING SYSTEMS

■ 1. The authority citation for 39 CFR part 501 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 5 U.S.C. 552(a); 39 U.S.C. 101, 401, 403, 404, 410, 2601, 2605, Inspector General Act of 1978, as amended (Pub. L. 95–452, as amended); 5 U.S.C. App. 3.

■ 2. In § 501.16, revise paragraph (i) to read as follows:

§ 501.16 PC postage payment methodology.

* * * * *

(i) *Revenue Assurance.* (1) The provider must support business practices to assure Postal Service revenue and accurate payment from customers. For purposes of this paragraph and the Automated Package Verification (APV) Standard Operating Procedure (SOP) document available at <https://ribbs.usps.gov>, references to “provider” and “PC Postage Vendor” shall include postage resellers when such resellers transmit postage revenue to the Postal Service in any manner other than through a PC Postage provider. With respect to such transactions, the resellers, and not the PC Postage providers who provides the labels, are responsible for complying with these regulations. A “reseller” is an entity that obtains postage through a provider and is authorized to resell such postage to its customers pursuant to an agreement with the Postal Service.

(2) Specifically, the provider is required to pay the Postage Adjustment or to notify the customer and adjust the balance in the postage evidencing

system or otherwise facilitate postage corrections to address any postage discrepancies as directed by the Postal Service no later than 60 days after initial notification by the Postal Service, subject to the applicable notification periods and dispute mechanisms available to customers for these corrections. Postage Adjustment is defined as a difference between the postage paid for a service offered by the Postal Service and the current published/negotiated rate indicating the postage due to the Postal Service for the weight, packaging, dimensions and zone of the mail piece as applicable. The Postal Service will supply the provider with the necessary detail to justify the correction and amount of the postage correction to be used in the adjustment process. The provider must pay the postage adjustment or supply customers with visibility into identified postage correction, facilitate a payment adjustment from the customer in the amount equivalent to the identified postage discrepancies to the extent possible, and enable customers to submit electronic disputes of such postage discrepancies to the Postal Service. Further if the Customer does not have funds sufficient to cover the amount of the discrepancies or the postage discrepancies have not been resolved, the provider may be required to temporarily suspend or permanently shut down the customer's ability to print PC Postage as described in Domestic Mail Manual (DMM) 604.4.

(3) If the provider incorrectly programmed postage rates, delayed programming postage rate changes or otherwise provided systems or software, which caused customers to pay incorrect postage amounts, within two calendar weeks of the provider being made aware of such error, the provider shall correct the error and, in the event that the amount of collected revenue is less than the amount of revenue that should have been collected absent the error, (i) pay the Postal Service for the postage deficiency and (ii) provide the Postal Service with a detailed breakdown of how the error affected the provider's collection of revenue.

(4) Except as may otherwise expressly be agreed to by contract, the provider is responsible for ensuring that:

(i) All customers pay (and the Postal Service receives) the current published prices that are available to mailers who purchase postage through an approved PC Postage provider; and

(ii) Payments to the Postal Service (or the log files necessary for the Postal Service to collect payments directly from customers) are complete and accurate and are initiated or

transmitted, as applicable, to the Postal Service each day.

(5) Each PC Postage Provider:

(i) Is responsible for ensuring that customers are informed, understand and agree that they may be charged for deficient payment before they complete their initial transactions;

(ii) Shall comply with applicable laws and ensure that its systems, software, interfaces, communications and other properties that are used to sell or market postal products accurately describe such products;

(iii) Shall cover any costs that the Postal Service may incur as a result of any act or omission of such provider or its employees, contractors or representatives in connection with its role as a PC Postage provider; and

(iv) In performing its obligations hereunder, shall comply with the APV SOP and all agreed to interface documentation (as updated from time to time).

Stanley F. Mires,

Attorney, Federal Compliance.

[FR Doc. 2016-04237 Filed 2-26-16; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Part 52

[EPA-R02-OAR-2016-0061, FRL-9943-03-Region 2]

Disapproval of Air Quality Implementation Plans; Puerto Rico; Attainment Demonstration for the Arecibo Lead Nonattainment Area

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) is proposing to disapprove a State Implementation Plan, submitted by the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico to the EPA on January 30, 2015, for the purpose of providing for attainment of the 2008 Lead National Ambient Air Quality Standards in the Arecibo 2008 Lead nonattainment area. While the SIP includes all of the required elements for the Arecibo Area, the EPA proposes disapproval because the dispersion modeling analysis does not demonstrate attainment of the lead standard.

DATES: Comments must be received on or before March 30, 2016.

ADDRESSES: Submit your comments, identified by Docket ID No. EPA-R02-OAR-2016-0061 at <http://www.regulations.gov>. Follow the online

instructions for submitting comments. Once submitted, comments cannot be edited or removed from [Regulations.gov](http://www.regulations.gov). The EPA may publish any comment received to its public docket. Do not submit electronically any information you consider to be Confidential Business Information (CBI) or other information whose disclosure is restricted by statute. Multimedia submissions (audio, video, etc.) must be accompanied by a written comment. The written comment is considered the official comment and should include discussion of all points you wish to make. The EPA will generally not consider comments or comment contents located outside of the primary submission (*i.e.*, on the Web, cloud, or other file sharing system). For additional submission methods, the full EPA public comment policy, information about CBI or multimedia submissions, and general guidance on making effective comments, please visit <http://www2.epa.gov/dockets/commenting-epa-dockets>.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Mazeeda Khan, Air Programs Branch, Environmental Protection Agency, 290 Broadway, 25th Floor, New York, New York 10007-1866, (212) 637-3715, or by email at khan.mazeeda@epa.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Table of Contents

- I. What action is the EPA proposing?
- II. What is the background information for this proposal?
- III. What is included in Puerto Rico's proposed SIP submittal?
- IV. What is the EPA's analysis of Puerto Rico's attainment plan submittal?
 - a. Modeling Approach
 - b. Modeling Results
- V. What are the consequences of a disapproved SIP?
 - a. What are the Act's provisions for sanctions?
 - b. What federal implementation plan provisions apply if a State fails to submit an approvable plan?
 - c. What are the ramifications regarding conformity?
- VI. What are the EPA's conclusions?
- VII. Statutory and Executive Order Reviews
 - a. Executive Order 12866: Regulatory Planning and Review
 - b. Paperwork Reduction Act
 - c. Regulatory Flexibility Act
 - d. Unfunded Mandates Reform Act
 - e. Executive Order 13132: Federalism
 - f. Executive Order 13175: Consultation and Coordination With Indian Tribal Governments
 - g. Executive Order 13045: Protection of Children From Environmental Health and Safety Risks
 - h. Executive Order 13211: Actions That Significantly Affect Energy Supply, Distribution, or Use