

mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology; *e.g.*, permitting electronic submission of responses.

All comments must be submitted in English, or if not, accompanied by an English translation. Comments will be posted as received to <http://www.cftc.gov>. You should submit only information that you wish to make available publicly. If you wish the Commission to consider information that you believe is exempt from disclosure under the Freedom of Information Act, a petition for confidential treatment of the exempt information may be submitted according to the procedures established in § 145.9 of the Commission's regulations.<sup>1</sup>

The Commission reserves the right, but shall have no obligation, to review, pre-screen, filter, redact, refuse or remove any or all of your submission from <http://www.cftc.gov> that it may deem to be inappropriate for publication, such as obscene language. All submissions that have been redacted or removed that contain comments on the merits of the Information Collection Request will be retained in the public comment file and will be considered as required under the Administrative Procedure Act and other applicable laws, and may be accessible under the Freedom of Information Act.

**Burden Statement:** The respondent burden for this collection is estimated to average 2 hours per response for an estimated annual burden of 504 hours per respondent. This estimate includes the total time, effort, or financial resources expended by persons to generate, maintain, retain, disclose, or provide information to or for a federal agency.

**Respondents/Affected Entities:** Swap dealers, Major Swap Participants, and Futures Commission Merchants.

**Estimated number of respondents:** 239 (105 Swap Dealers and Major Swap Participants and 134 Futures Commission Merchants).

**Estimated number of responses:** 253.

**Estimated total annual burden on respondents:** 120,456 hours.

**Frequency of collection:** As needed.

There are no capital costs or operating and maintenance costs associated with this collection.

(Authority: 44 U.S.C. 3501 *et seq.*)

Dated: October 21, 2015.

**Robert N. Sidman,**

*Deputy Secretary of the Commission.*

[FR Doc. 2015-27164 Filed 10-23-15; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE P**

## CONSUMER PRODUCT SAFETY COMMISSION

[Docket No. CPSC-2012-0034]

### Agency Information Collection Activities; Proposed Collection; Comment Request; Baby Bouncers and Walker-Jumpers

**AGENCY:** Consumer Product Safety Commission.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** As required by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. chapter 35), the Consumer Product Safety Commission ("CPSC" or "Commission") requests comments on a proposed extension of approval of a collection of information relating to certain children's articles known as baby-bouncers and walker-jumpers, approved previously under OMB Control No. 3041-0019. The Commission will consider all comments received in response to this notice before requesting an extension of this collection of information from the Office of Management and Budget ("OMB").

**DATES:** Submit written or electronic comments on the collection of information by December 28, 2015.

**ADDRESSES:** You may submit comments, identified by Docket No. CPSC-2012-0034, by any of the following methods:

**Electronic Submissions:** Submit electronic comments to the Federal eRulemaking Portal at: <http://www.regulations.gov>. Follow the instructions for submitting comments. The Commission does not accept comments submitted by electronic mail (email), except through [www.regulations.gov](http://www.regulations.gov). The Commission encourages you to submit electronic comments by using the Federal eRulemaking Portal, as described above.

**Written Submissions:** Submit written submissions by mail/hand delivery/courier to: Office of the Secretary, Consumer Product Safety Commission, Room 820, 4330 East West Highway, Bethesda, MD 20814; telephone (301) 504-7923.

**Instructions:** All submissions received must include the agency name and docket number for this notice. All comments received may be posted without change, including any personal identifiers, contact information, or other personal information provided, to: <http://www.regulations.gov>. Do not submit confidential business information, trade secret information, or other sensitive or protected information that you do not want to be available to the public. If furnished at all, such information should be submitted in writing.

**Docket:** For access to the docket to read background documents or comments received, go to: <http://www.regulations.gov>, and insert the docket number CPSC-2012-0034, into the "Search" box, and follow the prompts.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Robert H. Squibb, Consumer Product Safety Commission, 4330 East West Highway, Bethesda, MD 20814; (301) 504-7815, or by email to: [rsquibb@cpsc.gov](mailto:rsquibb@cpsc.gov).

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** CPSC seeks to renew the following currently approved collection of information:

**Title:** Ban of Certain Articles Known as Baby-Bouncers or Walker-Jumpers.

**OMB Number:** 3041-0019.

**Type of Review:** Renewal of collection.

**Frequency of Response:** On occasion.

**Affected Public:** Manufacturers and importers of baby-bouncers or walker-jumpers.

**Estimated Number of Respondents:** 33 firms that supply baby-bouncers or walker-jumpers to the United States market have been identified; there are approximately 4 new models per firm annually.

**Estimated Time per Response:** 30 minutes/model associated with labeling requirements and 1 hour/model associated with recordkeeping requirements.

**Total Estimated Annual Burden:** 132 hours on recordkeeping (33 firms × 1 hour × 4 models) and 66 hours for labeling (33 firms × ½ hour × 4 models) for a total annual burden of 198 hours per year.

**General Description of Collection:** Under 16 CFR 1500.18(a)(6), certain articles known as "baby-bouncers" and "walker-jumpers" which are intended to support very young children while sitting, bouncing, jumping, and/or reclining, are banned if they are designed in such a way that exposed parts present hazards such as amputation, crushing, laceration, fracture, hematoma, bruise, or other injury to fingers, toes, or other parts of the anatomy of young children. An exemption from the ban is provided at 16 CFR 1500.86(a)(4) if the products are designed to guard against or prevent those same injuries. Among other requirements, the regulations require manufacturers, including importers, to meet the collection of information requirements for labeling and recordkeeping requirements.

Products that are the subject of this information collection are distinguishable from the infant bouncer seats that are the subject of the

<sup>1</sup> 17 CFR 145.9.

Commission's recent proposed safety standard on infant bouncer seats at 80 FR 63168 (Oct. 19, 2015). Infant bouncer seats described in the Commission's proposed standard are intended to hold young infants that cannot sit up unassisted in a reclined position (approximately 0 to 6 months of age). Comments related to the Paperwork Reduction Act on the proposed safety standard for infant bouncer seats should be directed to the Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, the Office of Management and Budget, Attn: CPSC Desk Officer, FAX: 202-395-6974, or emailed to [oir\\_submission@omb.eop.gov](mailto:oir_submission@omb.eop.gov).

The products subject to this information collection are typically described as baby walkers, and allow the child to jump in place or assist with walking. Such products are intended for use with children that are beginning to develop leg strength to aid in learning to walk. Comments on the information collection requirements for these products should be submitted through the process outlined in the Addresses section above.

#### Request for Comments

The Commission solicits written comments from all interested persons about the proposed collection of information. The Commission specifically solicits information relevant to the following topics:

- Whether the collection of information described above is necessary for the proper performance of the Commission's functions, including whether the information would have practical utility;
- Whether the estimated burden of the proposed collection of information is accurate;
- Whether the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected could be enhanced; and
- Whether the burden imposed by the collection of information could be minimized by use of automated, electronic or other technological collection techniques, or other forms of information technology.

Dated: October 21, 2015.

**Todd A. Stevenson,**  
Secretary, Consumer Product Safety Commission.

[FR Doc. 2015-27114 Filed 10-23-15; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6355-01-P

## CORPORATION FOR NATIONAL AND COMMUNITY SERVICE

### Proposed Information Collection; Comment Request

**AGENCY:** Corporation for National and Community Service.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** The Corporation for National and Community Service (CNCS), as part of its continuing effort to reduce paperwork and respondent burden, conducts a pre-clearance consultation program to provide the general public and federal agencies with an opportunity to comment on proposed and/or continuing collections of information in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (PRA95) (44 U.S.C. 3506(c)(2)(A)). This program helps to ensure that requested data can be provided in the desired format, reporting burden (time and financial resources) is minimized, collection instruments are clearly understood, and the impact of collection requirement on respondents can be properly assessed.

Currently, CNCS is soliciting comments concerning the Operation AmeriCorps Evaluation. This two year evaluation seeks to assess the implementation of the new Operation AmeriCorps initiative, and to report on early results from the intended outcomes of each grantee's project. The evaluation will examine the extent to which multiple streams of national service are integrated and complement one another in each project; determine whether and how community capacity is being developed and sustained; and examine the Operation AmeriCorps grant making process to determine if this type of grant could be successfully used in future grants competitions. Researchers from CNCS will collect qualitative and quantitative data from grantees and their partners, AmeriCorps members, member supervisors, and program beneficiaries. Operation AmeriCorps grantees are required to participate in the evaluation as a condition of grant award.

Copies of the information collection request can be obtained by contacting the office listed in the Addresses section of this Notice.

**DATES:** Written comments must be submitted to the individual and office listed in the **ADDRESSES** section by December 28, 2015.

**ADDRESSES:** You may submit comments, identified by the title of the information collection activity, by any of the following methods:

(1) *By mail sent to:* Corporation for National and Community Service, Office of Research and Evaluation; Attention Joseph Breems, Policy Analyst, Room 10902B; 1201 New York Avenue NW., Washington, DC, 20525.

(2) *By hand delivery or by courier to:* CNCS mailroom at Room 8100 at the mail address given in paragraph (1) above, between 9:00 a.m. and 4:00 p.m. Eastern Time, Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays.

(3) *Electronically through* [www.regulations.gov](http://www.regulations.gov).

Individuals who use a telecommunications device for the deaf (TTY-TDD) may call 1-800-833-3722 between 8:00 a.m. and 8:00 p.m. Eastern Time, Monday through Friday.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Joseph Breems, 202-606-6992, or by email at [jbreems@cns.gov](mailto:jbreems@cns.gov).

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** CNCS is particularly interested in comments that:

- Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the efficient performance of the functions of CNCS, including whether the information will have practical utility;
- Evaluate the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;
- Enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and
- Minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are expected to respond, including the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology (e.g., permitting electronic submissions of responses).

#### Background

This proposed two year evaluation seeks to assess the implementation of the new Operation AmeriCorps grant initiative, and to report on early results from the intended outcomes of each grantee's project. The evaluation will examine the extent to which multiple streams of national service are integrated and complement one another in each project; determine whether and how community capacity is being developed and sustained; and examine the Operation AmeriCorps grant making process to determine if this type of grant making process could be successful in the future.