ACTION: Notice of enforcement of regulation.

SUMMARY: The Coast Guard will enforce the safety zone on the waters of Sister Bay in Sister Bay, WI for the Sister Bay Marinafest Fireworks. This zone will be enforced from 8:15 p.m. until 10 p.m. on each day of September 5 and 6, 2015. This action is necessary and intended to ensure safety of life on navigable waters immediately prior to, during, and immediately after the fireworks display. During the aforementioned period, the Coast Guard will enforce restrictions upon, and control movement of, vessels in the safety zone. No person or vessel may enter the safety zone while it is being enforced without permission of the Captain of the Port Lake Michigan or a designated representative.

DATES: The regulations in 33 CFR 165.929 will be enforced for safety zone (f)(15), Table 165.929, from 8:15 p.m. until 10 p.m. on each day of September 5 and 6, 2015.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: If you have questions on this document, call or email MST1 Joseph McCollum, Prevention Department, Coast Guard Sector Lake Michigan, Milwaukee, WI at (414) 747–7148, email joseph.p.mccollum@uscg.mil.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Coast Guard will enforce the Sister Bay Marinafest Fireworks safety zone listed as item (f)(15) in Table 165.929 of 33 CFR 165.929. Section 165.929 lists many annual events requiring safety zones in the Captain of the Port Lake Michigan zone. This safety zone will encompass all waters of Sister Bay within an 800-foot radius of the launch vessel in approximate position 45°11.585′ N., 087°07.392′ W. (NAD 83). This zone will be enforced from 8:15 p.m. until 10 p.m. on each day of September 5 and 6, 2015.

All vessels must obtain permission from the Captain of the Port Lake Michigan or the on-scene representative to enter, move within, or exit the safety zone. Requests must be made in advance and approved by the Captain of the Port before transits will be authorized. Approvals will be granted on a case by case basis. Vessels and persons granted permission to enter the safety zone must obey all lawful orders or directions of the Captain of the Port Lake Michigan or a designated representative.

This document is issued under authority of 33 CFR 165.929, Safety Zones; Annual events requiring safety zones in the Captain of the Port Lake Michigan zone, and 5 U.S.C. 552(a). In addition to this publication in the Federal Register, the Coast Guard will provide the maritime community with advance notification for the enforcement of this zone via Broadcast Notice to Mariners or Local Notice to Mariners. The Captain of the Port Lake Michigan or an on-scene representative may be contacted via Channel 16, VHF–FM.

Dated: August 14, 2015.

A.B. Cocanour,

Captain, U.S. Coast Guard, Captain of the Port Lake Michigan.

[FR Doc. 2015–21524 Filed 8–28–15; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 9110-04-P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Part 52

[EPA-R05-OAR-2014-0657; FRL-9933-11-Region 5]

Air Plan Approval; Michigan; Michigan State Board Requirements

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: Pursuant to its authority under the Clean Air Act (CAA), EPA is taking final action to approve a state implementation plan (SIP) submission made by the Michigan Department of Environmental Quality (MDEQ) intended to meet the state board requirements under section 128 of the CAA. The proposed rule associated with this final action was published on June 24, 2015.

DATES: This final rule is effective on September 30, 2015.

ADDRESSES: EPA has established a docket for this action under Docket ID No. EPA-R05-OAR-2014-0657. All documents in the docket are listed in the www.regulations.gov index. Although listed in the index, some information is not publicly available, e.g., Confidential Business Information or other information whose disclosure is restricted by statute. Certain other material, such as copyrighted material, will be publicly-available only in hard copy. Publicly-available docket materials are available either electronically in www.regulations.gov or in hard copy at the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 5, Air and Radiation Division, 77 West Jackson Boulevard, Chicago, Illinois 60604. This facility is open from 8:30 a.m. to 4:30 p.m., Monday through Friday, excluding Federal holidays. We recommend that you telephone Sarah Arra at (312) 886-9401 before visiting the Region 5 office.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Sarah Arra, Environmental Scientist, Attainment Planning and Maintenance Section, Air Programs Branch (AR–18J), U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 5, 77 West Jackson Boulevard, Chicago, Illinois 60604, (312) 886–9401, arra.sarah@epa.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Throughout this document whenever "we," "us," or "our" is used, we mean EPA. This **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION** section is arranged as follows:

I. What is the background for this action? II. What action is EPA taking? III. Incorporation by Reference IV. Statutory and Executive Order Reviews

I. What is the background for this action?

Under section 128 of the CAA, each SIP must contain provisions that address two requirements: (i) That any board or body which approves permits or enforcement orders under this chapter shall have at least a majority of members who represent the public interest and do not derive any significant portion of their income from persons subject to permits and enforcement orders under this chapter, and (ii) that any potential conflicts of interest by members of such board or body or the head of an executive agency with similar powers be adequately disclosed. To comply with this statutory provision, MDEO submitted rules from the Civil Service Rule at 2-8.3(a)(1) for incorporation into the SIP, pursuant to section 128 of the CAA. EPA's June 24, 2015, proposed rulemaking (see 80 FR 36306 at 36312) details how these rules satisfy the applicable requirements of section 128. EPA did not receive any comments regarding its proposal to approve Michigan's state board provisions.

II. What action is EPA taking?

For the reasons discussed in our June 24, 2015, proposed rulemaking, EPA is taking final action to approve MDEQ's submissions addressing the state board requirements under section 128 of the CAA. The specific rule that we are approving as satisfying these requirements is Civil Service Rule at 2–8.3(a)(1). It should be noted that our June 24, 2015, rulemaking contained proposed actions for various additional MDEQ submissions. This final rulemaking, however, is limited only to the state board requirements under section 128 of the CAA.

III. Incorporation by Reference

In this rule, EPA is finalizing regulatory text that includes incorporation by reference. In

accordance with requirements of 1 CFR 51.5, EPA is finalizing the incorporation by reference of the Michigan Regulations described in the amendments to 40 CFR part 52 set forth below. EPA has made, and will continue to make, these documents generally available electronically through www.regulations.gov and/or in hard copy at the appropriate EPA office (see the ADDRESSES section of this preamble for more information).

IV. Statutory and Executive Order Reviews

Under the CAA, the Administrator is required to approve a SIP submission that complies with the provisions of the CAA and applicable Federal regulations. 42 U.S.C. 7410(k); 40 CFR 52.02(a). Thus, in reviewing SIP submissions, EPA's role is to approve state choices, provided that they meet the criteria of the CAA. Accordingly, this action merely approves state law as meeting Federal requirements and does not impose additional requirements beyond those imposed by state law. For that reason, this action:

- Is not a significant regulatory action subject to review by the Office of Management and Budget under Executive Orders 12866 (58 FR 51735, October 4, 1993) and 13563 (76 FR 3821, January 21, 2011);
- Does not impose an information collection burden under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. 3501 *et seq.*);
- Is certified as not having a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities under the Regulatory Flexibility Act (5 U.S.C. 601 *et seq.*);
- Does not contain any unfunded mandate or significantly or uniquely affect small governments, as described in the Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995 (Pub. L. 104–4);

- Does not have Federalism implications as specified in Executive Order 13132 (64 FR 43255, August 10, 1999);
- Is not an economically significant regulatory action based on health or safety risks subject to Executive Order 13045 (62 FR 19885, April 23, 1997);
- Is not a significant regulatory action subject to Executive Order 13211 (66 FR 28355, May 22, 2001);
- Is not subject to requirements of section 12(d) of the National Technology Transfer and Advancement Act of 1995 (15 U.S.C. 272 note) because application of those requirements would be inconsistent with the Clean Air Act; and
- Does not provide EPA with the discretionary authority to address, as appropriate, disproportionate human health or environmental effects, using practicable and legally permissible methods, under Executive Order 12898 (59 FR 7629, February 16, 1994).

In addition, the SIP is not approved to apply on any Indian reservation land or in any other area where EPA or an Indian tribe has demonstrated that a tribe has jurisdiction. In those areas of Indian country, the rule does not have tribal implications and will not impose substantial direct costs on tribal governments or preempt tribal law as specified by Executive Order 13175 (65 FR 67249, November 9, 2000).

The Congressional Review Act, 5 U.S.C. 801 et seq., as added by the Small Business Regulatory Enforcement Fairness Act of 1996, generally provides that before a rule may take effect, the agency promulgating the rule must submit a rule report, which includes a copy of the rule, to each House of the Congress and to the Comptroller General of the United States. EPA will submit a report containing this action and other required information to the U.S. Senate, the U.S. House of Representatives, and the Comptroller General of the United

States prior to publication of the rule in the **Federal Register**. A major rule cannot take effect until 60 days after it is published in the **Federal Register**. This action is not a "major rule" as defined by 5 U.S.C. 804(2).

Under section 307(b)(1) of the CAA, petitions for judicial review of this action must be filed in the United States Court of Appeals for the appropriate circuit by October 30, 2015. Filing a petition for reconsideration by the Administrator of this final rule does not affect the finality of this action for the purposes of judicial review nor does it extend the time within which a petition for judicial review may be filed, and shall not postpone the effectiveness of such rule or action. This action may not be challenged later in proceedings to enforce its requirements. (See section 307(b)(2)).

List of Subjects in 40 CFR Part 52

Environmental protection, Air pollution control, Incorporation by reference, Intergovernmental relations, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements.

Dated: August 19, 2015.

Susan Hedman,

Regional Administrator, Region 5.

40 CFR part 52 is amended as follows:

PART 52—APPROVAL AND PROMULGATION OF IMPLEMENTATION PLANS

■ 1. The authority citation for part 52 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 42 U.S.C. 7401 et seq.

■ 2. In § 52.1170, the table in paragraph (c) is amended by adding a new entry at the end of the section entitled "State Statutes" to read as follows:

§ 52.1170 Identification of plan.

* * * * *

EPA-APPROVED MICHIGAN REGULATIONS

Michigan citation		Title	St	date date	EPA approval date	Com- ments
*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State Statutes						
* Michigan Civil Service	* Commission	* Disclosures	*	* 10/1/2013 8	* 3/31/2015 [insert Federal Regis	* ster
Rule 2–8.3(a)(1).	Commission	Disciosures		10/1/2010	citation]	3101
*	*	*	*	*	*	*

[FR Doc. 2015–21426 Filed 8–28–15; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Part 52

[EPA-R06-OAR-2013-0616; FRL-9931-35-Region-6]

Approval and Promulgation of Implementation Plans; New Mexico; Revisions to the New Source Review (NSR) State Implementation Plan (SIP) for Albuquerque-Bernalillo County; **Prevention of Significant Deterioration** (PSD) Permitting

AGENCY: Environmental Protection

Agency (EPA). **ACTION:** Final rule.

SUMMARY: The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) is approving two revisions to the New Mexico State Implementation Plan (SIP) to update the Albuquerque-Bernalillo County Prevention of Significant Deterioration (PSD) SIP permitting program consistent with federal requirements. New Mexico submitted the Albuquerque-Bernalillo County PSD SIP permitting revisions on July 26, 2013, and June 11, 2015, which includes a request for parallel processing of the submitted 2015 revisions. These submittals contain revisions to address the requirements of the EPA's May 2008, July 2010, and October 2012 PM_{2.5} PSD Implementation Rules and to incorporate revisions consistent with the EPA's March 2011 Fugitives Interim Rule, July 2011 Greenhouse Gas (GHG) Biomass Deferral Rule, and July 2012 GHG Tailoring Rule Step 3 and GHG PALs Rule. The EPA finds that these revisions to the New Mexico SIP meet the Federal Clean Air Act (the Act or CAA) and EPA regulations, and are consistent with EPA policies. We are taking this action under section 110 and part C of title I of the Act. The EPA is not approving these rules within the exterior boundaries of a reservation or other areas within any Tribal Nation's jurisdiction.

DATES: This final rule is effective on September 30, 2015.

ADDRESSES: The EPA has established a docket for this action under Docket ID No. EPA-R06-OAR-2013-0616. All documents in the docket are listed on the http://www.regulations.gov Web site. Although listed in the index, some information is not publicly available, e.g., Confidential Business Information or other information whose disclosure is

restricted by statute. Certain other material, such as copyrighted material, is not placed on the Internet and will be publicly available only in hard copy form. Publicly available docket materials are available either electronically through http:// www.regulations.gov or in hard copy at the EPA Region 6, 1445 Ross Avenue, Suite 700, Dallas, Texas 75202-2733.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Ashley Mohr, 214-665-7289, mohr.ashley@epa.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Throughout this document wherever "we," "us," or "our" is used, we mean the EPA.

I. Background

The background for today's action is discussed in detail in our May 20, 2015 proposal (80 FR 28901). In that notice, we proposed to approve portions of SIP submittals for the State of New Mexico submitted on July 26, 2013, and March 4, 2015, that contained revisions to the Albuquerque-Bernalillo County PSD program. The March 4, 2015 submittal included a request for parallel processing of the submitted 2015 revisions, meaning that the EPA proposed approval of a rule that was not vet finalized at the local level. Our May 20, 2015 proposed approval and accompanying Technical Support Document (TSD) provided the EPA's evaluation of the March 4, 2015 revisions to the New Mexico SIP. We preliminarily determined that the revisions were consistent with the CAA and the EPA's regulations and guidance. As such, we proposed approval of the SIP revisions contained in the March 4, 2015 submittal.

Under the EPA's "parallel processing" procedure, the EPA proposes a rulemaking action on proposed SIP revisions concurrently with the State or Local Agency's public review process. If the proposed SIP revision is not significantly or substantively changed, the EPA will finalize the rulemaking on the SIP revision as proposed after responding to any submitted comments. Final rulemaking action by the EPA will occur only after the final SIP revision has been fully adopted by the State or Local Agency and submitted formally to the EPA for approval as a SIP revision. See 40 CFR part 51, Appendix V.

The City of Albuquerque-Bernalillo County completed their rulemaking process, and the Albuquerque-Bernalillo County Air Quality Control Board adopted revisions to the PSD program on April 30, 2015. These adopted changes were submitted as a revision to the New Mexico SIP on June 11, 2015.

The EPA has evaluated the final SIP revision submittal for any changes made from the time of proposal. See "Addendum to the TSD" for EPA-R06-OAR-2013-0616, available in the rulemaking docket. Our evaluation indicates that adopted changes to the Albuquerque-Bernalillo County PSD program are the same as the revisions that we proposed to approve; and therefore, do not alter our rationale presented in the May 20, 2015 proposed approval. As such, the EPA is proceeding with our final approval of the revisions to the New Mexico SIP, consistent with the parallel processing provisions in 40 CFR part 51, Appendix

This action to approve the aforementioned revisions to the New Mexico SIP is being taken under section 110 of the Act. We did not receive any comments regarding our proposal.

II. Final Action

The EPA is approving revisions to the Albuquerque-Bernalillo County PSD program that were submitted by New Mexico as a SIP revision on July 26, 2013, and June 11, 2015. We are approving the portions of the July 26, 2013, and June 11, 2015 submittals that revised the following sections under 20.11.61:

- 20.11.61.2 NMAC—Scope,
 20.11.61.5 NMAC—Effective Date,
 20.11.61.6 NMAC—Objective,
- 20.11.61.7 NMAC—Definitions,

 20.11.61.10 NMAC—Documents,
 20.11.61.11 NMAC—Applicability,
 20.11.61.12 NMAC—Obligations of Owners or Operators of Sources,

- 20.11.61.14 NMAC—Control Technology Review and Innovative Control Technology,
- 20.11.61.15 NMAC—Ambient Impact Requirements,
- 20.11.61.18 NMAC—Air Quality Analysis and Monitoring Requirements,
- 20.11.61.20 NMAC—Actuals Plantwide Applicability Limits (PALs),
- 20.11.61.23 NMAC—Exclusions from Increment Consumption,
- 20.11.61.24 NMAC—Sources Impacting Federal Class I Areas— Additional Requirements,
- 20.11.61.27 NMAC—Table 2— Significant Emission Rates,
- 20.11.61.29 NMAC—Table 4-Allowable PSD Increments, and
- 20.11.61.30 NMAC-Table 5-Maximum Allowable Increases for Class I Variances.

The EPA has determined that these revisions to the New Mexico SIP's Albuquerque-Bernalillo County PSD program are approvable because the submitted rules are adopted and submitted in accordance with the CAA