

The plat representing the dependent resurvey of Homestead Entry Survey No. 234, Township 2 South, Range 31 East, accepted June 17, 2015, and officially filed June 18, 2015, for Group 1139, Arizona.

This plat was prepared at the request of the United States Forest Service.

A person or party who wishes to protest against any of these surveys must file a written protest with the Arizona State Director, Bureau of Land Management, stating that they wish to protest.

A statement of reasons for a protest may be filed with the notice of protest to the State Director, or the statement of reasons must be filed with the State Director within thirty (30) days after the protest is filed.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**

These plats will be available for inspection in the Arizona State Office, Bureau of Land Management, One North Central Avenue, Suite 800, Phoenix, Arizona, 85004-4427. Persons who use a telecommunications device for the deaf (TDD) may call the Federal Information Relay Service (FIRS) at 1-800-877-8339 to contact the above individual during normal business hours. The FIRS is available 24 hours a day, 7 days a week, to leave a message or question with the above individual. You will receive a reply during normal business hours.

**Gerald T. Davis,**

*Chief Cadastral Surveyor of Arizona.*

[FR Doc. 2015-16679 Filed 7-7-15; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4310-32-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

### Bureau of Land Management

[LLCON02000.L16100000.DR0000]

#### Notice of Availability of the Kremmling Field Office Record of Decision and Approved Resource Management Plan, Colorado

**AGENCY:** Bureau of Land Management, Interior.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** The Bureau of Land Management (BLM) announces the availability of the Record of Decision (ROD) and approved Resource Management Plan (RMP) for the Kremmling Field Office located in Grand, Eagle, Summit, Jackson, Larimer, and Routt counties in northwest Colorado. The Colorado State Director signed the ROD on June 19, 2015, which constitutes the BLM's final decision and

makes the approved RMP effective immediately.

**ADDRESSES:** Copies of the ROD/ approved RMP are available upon request from the Field Manager, BLM Kremmling Field Office, 2103 E. Park Ave, Kremmling CO 80459 or via the Internet at <http://www.blm.gov/co/st/en/fo/kfo.html>. Copies of the ROD/ approved RMP are available for public inspection at the Kremmling Field Office.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**

Stephanie Odell, Field Manager; telephone 970-724-3001; address 2103 E. Park Ave, Kremmling CO 80459; email [sodell@blm.gov](mailto:sodell@blm.gov). Persons who use a telecommunications device for the deaf (TDD) may call the Federal Information Relay Service (FIRS) at 1-800-877-8339 to contact Ms. Odell during normal business hours. The FIRS is available 24 hours a day, seven days a week, to leave a message or question for Ms. Odell. You will receive a reply during normal business hours.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The approved RMP provides management for approximately 377,900 BLM-administered surface acres and 653,500 acres of mineral estate in northwest Colorado. It describes the actions needed to meet the desired resource conditions for upland and riparian vegetation, fish and wildlife habitats, water resources, air quality, cultural, paleontological and visual resources as well as livestock grazing, minerals, energy development, and recreation. While the approved RMP also proposes some conservation management for Greater Sage-Grouse habitat, the Northwest Colorado BLM Greater Sage-Grouse Plan Amendment and Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) will fully analyze applicable Greater Sage-Grouse conservation measures. The BLM expects to make a

comprehensive set of decisions for managing Greater Sage-Grouse on land administered by the Kremmling Field Office in the Record of Decision for the Northwest Colorado BLM Greater Sage-Grouse Plan Amendment and EIS, which when final will amend this RMP.

The BLM initiated scoping for the RMP in 2007, and collected information and public input via public meetings and interviews in order to develop the draft RMP/EIS in September 2011. Based on public comments, the BLM made edits and carried forward the preferred alternative into the proposed RMP/final EIS with some modifications. The Environmental Protection Agency and the BLM published their respective Notices of Availability of the proposed RMP/final EIS in the **Federal Register**

on March 21, 2014 (79 FR 15741 and 15772), initiating the protest period. During the protest period for the proposed RMP, the BLM received five valid protest submissions. All protests were dismissed; however, the BLM made minor editorial modifications to the approved RMP to provide further clarification of some of the decisions. There was also a 60-day Governor's consistency review period for the proposed RMP; no inconsistencies were identified during the review.

The decisions designating routes of travel for motorized vehicles are implementation decisions and are appealable under 43 CFR part 4. These decisions are contained in Appendix A of the approved RMP. These route designations will be evaluated for consistency with the Northwest Colorado BLM Greater Sage-Grouse Plan Amendment and EIS, when final; and if needed, additional NEPA will occur, with public involvement, to address any inconsistencies. Any party adversely affected by the proposed route designations may appeal within 30 days of publication of this Notice of Availability pursuant to 43 CFR part 4, subpart E. The appeal should state the specific route(s), as identified in Appendix A of the approved RMP, on which the decision is being appealed. The appeal must be filed with the Kremmling Field Manager at the above listed address. Please consult the appropriate regulations (43 CFR part 4, subpart E) for further appeal requirements.

**Authority:** 40 CFR 1506.6.

**Ruth Welch,**

*BLM Colorado State Director.*

[FR Doc. 2015-16432 Filed 7-7-15; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4310-JB-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

### Bureau of Safety and Environmental Enforcement

[Docket ID BSEE-2015-0010; OMB Control Number 1014-0017; 15XE1700DX EEEE500000 EX1SF0000.DAQ000]

#### Information Collection Activities: Safety and Environmental Management Systems (SEMS); Proposed Collection; Comment Request

**ACTION:** 60-day notice.

**SUMMARY:** To comply with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (PRA), BSEE is inviting comments on a collection of information that we will submit to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review and approval.

The information collection request (ICR) concerns a renewal to the paperwork requirements in the regulations under Subpart S, *Safety and Environmental Management Systems (SEMS)*.

**DATES:** You must submit comments by September 8, 2015.

**ADDRESSES:** You may submit comments by either of the following methods listed below.

- Electronically go to <http://www.regulations.gov>. In the Search box, enter BSEE–2015–0010 then click search. Follow the instructions to submit public comments and view all related materials. We will post all comments.

- Email [cheryl.blundon@bsee.gov](mailto:cheryl.blundon@bsee.gov). Mail or hand-carry comments to the Department of the Interior; Bureau of Safety and Environmental Enforcement; Regulations and Standards Branch; ATTN: Cheryl Blundon; 45600 Woodland Road, Sterling, VA 20166. Please reference ICR 1014–0017 in your comment and include your name and return address.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**

Cheryl Blundon, Regulations and Standards Branch at (703) 787–1607 to request additional information about this ICR.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

*Title:* 30 CFR part 250, subpart S, *Safety and Environmental management Systems (SEMS)*.

*Form(s):* BSEE–0131.

*OMB Control Number:* 1014–0017.

*Abstract:* The Outer Continental Shelf (OCS) Lands Act at 43 U.S.C. 1334 authorizes the Secretary of the Interior (Secretary) to prescribe rules and regulations necessary for the administration of the leasing provisions of that Act related to mineral resources on the OCS. Such rules and regulations will apply to all operations conducted under a lease. Operations on the OCS must preserve, protect, and develop oil and natural gas resources in a manner that is consistent with the need to make such resources available to meet the Nation's energy needs as rapidly as possible; to balance orderly energy resource development with protection of human, marine, and coastal environments; to ensure the public a fair and equitable return on the resources of the OCS; and to preserve and maintain free enterprise competition. These responsibilities are among those delegated to the Bureau of Safety and Environmental Enforcement (BSEE).

In addition to the general rulemaking authority of the OCSLA at 43 U.S.C. 1334, section 301(a) of the Federal Oil and Gas Royalty Management Act (FOGRMA), 30 U.S.C. 1751(a), grants

authority to the Secretary to prescribe such rules and regulations as are reasonably necessary to carry out FOGRMA's provisions. While the majority of FOGRMA is directed to royalty collection and enforcement, some provisions apply to offshore operations. For example, section 108 of FOGRMA, 30 U.S.C. 1718, grants the Secretary broad authority to inspect lease sites for the purpose of determining whether there is compliance with the mineral leasing laws. Section 109(c)(2) and (d)(1), 30 U.S.C. 1719(c)(2) and (d)(1), impose substantial civil penalties for failure to permit lawful inspections and for knowing or willful preparation or submission of false, inaccurate, or misleading reports, records, or other information. Because the Secretary has delegated some of the authority under FOGRMA to BSEE, 30 U.S.C. 1751 is included as additional authority for these requirements.

Regulations governing Safety and Environmental Management Systems (SEMS) are covered in 30 CFR 250, Subpart S and are the subject of this collection. This request also covers any related Notices to Lessees and Operators (NLTs) that BSEE issues to clarify, supplement, or provide additional guidance on some aspects of our regulations.

We consider the information to be critical for us to monitor industry's operations record of safety and environmental management of the OCS. The Subpart S regulations hold the operator accountable for the overall safety of the offshore facility, including ensuring that all employees, contractors, and subcontractors have safety policies and procedures in place that support the implementation of the operator's SEMS program and align with the principles of managing safety. The SEMS program describes management commitment to safety and the environment, as well as policies and procedures to assure safety and environmental protection while conducting OCS operations (including those operations conducted by all personnel on the facility). BSEE will use the information obtained by submittals and observed via SEMS audits to ensure that operations on the OCS are conducted safely, as they pertain to both human and environmental factors, and in accordance with BSEE regulations, as well as industry practices. The UWA and other recordkeeping will be reviewed diligently by BSEE during inspections/audits, etc., to ensure that industry is correctly implementing the documentation and that the requirements are being followed properly.

Information on Form BSEE–0131 includes company identification, number of company/contractor injuries and/or illnesses suffered, company/contractor hours worked, EPA National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) permit noncompliances, and oil spill volumes for spills less than 1 barrel. All pieces of information are reported annually as collected during 1 calendar year and the information broken out quarterly. The information is used to develop industry average incident rates that help to describe how well the offshore oil and gas industry is performing. Using the produced data allows BSEE to better focus our regulatory and research programs on areas where the performance measures indicate that operators are having difficulty meeting our expectations. BSEE will be more effective in leveraging resources by redirecting research efforts, promoting appropriate regulatory initiatives, and shifting inspection program emphasis based on performance results.

However, this ICR has removed form BSEE–0130. BSEE has found that there have been no instances of organizations using form BSEE–0130 and that equivalent information can be submitted by organizations following the instructions in § 250.1922(a)(1), “. . . submit documentation to BSEE describing the process for assessing an ASP for accreditation and approving, maintaining, and withdrawing the accreditation of an ASP.” BSEE's Office of Offshore Regulatory Programs will then review the information, request other supporting documents as needed, and propose terms of BSEE oversight, in order to ensure conformance with the entirety of § 250.1922. Therefore, BSEE believes the intent of the form BSEE–0130 is already incorporated in the regulations and will remove the duplicate information collection burden represented by form BSEE–0130.

No questions of a sensitive nature are asked. We protect proprietary information according to the Freedom of Information Act (5 U.S.C. 552) and DOI's implementing regulations (43 CFR 2); 30 CFR 250.197, *Data and information to be made available to the public or for limited inspection*; and 30 CFR part 252, *OCS Oil and Gas Information Program*. Responses are mandatory.

*Frequency:* Varies by section but is primarily on occasion.

*Description of Respondents:* Potential respondents comprise Federal oil, gas, or sulphur lessees and/or operators.

*Estimated Reporting and Recordkeeping Hour Burden:* The currently approved annual reporting

burden for this collection is 651,728 hours and \$9,444,000 non-hour cost burdens. In this submission, we are requesting a total of 2,238,164 burden hours and \$5,220,000 non-hour cost

burdens. The following chart details the individual components and respective hour burden estimates of this ICR. In calculating the burdens, we assumed that respondents perform certain

requirements in the normal course of their activities. We consider these to be usual and customary and took that into account in estimating the burden.

Citation 30 CFR 250 sub-part S	Reporting and recordkeeping requirement +	Hour burden	Average number of annual responses	Additional annual burden hours (rounded)
1900–1933 .....	High Activity Operator: Have a SEMS program, and maintain all documentation and records pertaining to your SEMS program, according to API RP 75, ISO 17011 in their entirety, the COS–2–01, 03, and 04 documents as listed in § 250.198, and all the requirements as detailed in 30 CFR 250, Subpart S. Make your SEMS available to BSEE upon request.	27,054	15 operators .....	405,810.
1900–1933 .....	Moderate Activity Operator: Have a SEMS program, and maintain all documentation and records pertaining to your SEMS program, according to API RP 75, the three COS documents in their entirety, and all the requirements as detailed in 30 CFR 250, Subpart S. Make your SEMS available to BSEE upon request.	11,625	40 operators .....	465,000.
1900–1933 .....	Low Activity Operator: Have a SEMS program, and maintain all documentation and records pertaining to your SEMS program, according to API RP 75, the three COS documents in their entirety, and all the requirements as detailed in 30 CFR 250, Subpart S. Make your SEMS available to BSEE upon request.	1,525	75 operators .....	114,375.
1911(b) .....	Immediate supervisor must conduct a JSA, sign the JSA, and ensure all personnel participating sign the JSA. The individual designated as being in charge of facility approves and signs all JSAs before job starts. NOTE: If activity is repeated, the 1st signed JSA is allowed.	15 mins.	130 operators × 365 days × 50 JSA's per day = 2,372,500 *.	593,125.
1914(e); 1928(d), (e); 1929.	Submit Form BSEE–0131. Maintain a contractor employee injury/illness log in the operation area, retain for 2 years, and make available to BSEE upon request (this requirement is included in the form burden). Inform contractors of hazards.	15	130 operators .....	1,950.
1920(a), (b); 1921 .....	ASP audit for High Activity Operator .....	15 operators × \$217,000 audit = \$3,255,000/3 = \$1,085,000.		
	ASP audit for Moderate Activity Operator .....	40 operators × \$108,000 audit = \$4,320,000/3 = \$1,440,000.		
	ASP audit for Low Activity Operator .....	75 operators × \$62,000 audit = \$4,650,000/3 = \$1,550,000.		
1920(b) .....	NOTE: An audit is done once every 3 years. Notify BSEE with audit plan/schedule 30 days prior to conducting your audit.	1	130 operators/once every 3 years = 44.	44 (rounded).
1920(c); 1925(a); .....	Submit to BSEE after completed audit, an audit report of findings and conclusions, including deficiencies and required supporting information/documentation.	4	44 operators .....	176.
1920(d); 1925(b); .....	Submit/resubmit a copy of your CAP that will address deficiencies identified in audit within 60 days of audit completion.	10	170 submissions ....	1,700.
1922(a) .....	Organization requests approval for AB; submits documentation for assessing, approving, maintaining, and withdrawing accreditation of ASP.	15	3 requests .....	45.
1922(b) .....	Make available to BSEE upon request, conflict of interest procedures.	20 mins.	12 requests .....	4.
1924(b) .....	Make available to BSEE upon request, evaluation documentation and supporting information relating to your SEMS.	5	130 operators .....	650.
1924(c) .....	Explain and demonstrate your SEMS during site visit if required; provide evidence supporting your SEMS implementation.	12	12 explanations ....	144.

Citation 30 CFR 250 subpart S	Reporting and recordkeeping requirement +	Hour burden	Average number of annual responses	Additional annual burden hours (rounded)
1925(a); .....	Pay for all costs associated with BSEE directed ASP audit approximately 10 percent per operator per category: 1 required audit for high operator (\$217,000 per audit × 1 audit = \$217,000); 4 required audits for moderate operator (\$108,000 per audit × 4 audits = \$432,000; and 8 required audits for low operator (\$62,000 per audit per 8 audits = \$496,000) = 13 required audits per year.	13 BSEE directed ASP audits—for a total of \$1,145,000.		
1928 .....	(1) Document and keep all SEMS audits for 6 years (at least two full audit cycles) at an onshore location. (2) JSAs must have documented results in writing and kept onsite for 30 days or until release of the MODU; retain records for 2 years. (3) All MOC records (API RP Sec 4) must be documented, dated, and retained for 2 years. (4) SWA documentation must be kept onsite for 30 days; retain records for 2 years. (5) Documentation of employee participation must be retained for 2 years. (6) All documentation included in this requirement must be made available to BSEE upon request.	6  62 hrs/mo × 12 mos/yr = 744 hrs	130 operators .....  838 manned facilities.	780.  623,472.
1930(c) .....	Document decision to resume SWA activities .....	2  8	1,620 unmanned facilities.  130 operators once every 2 wks = 130 × 52/2 = 3,380.	3,240.  27,040.
1933(a) .....	Personnel reports unsafe practices and/or health violations	Burden covered under 30 CFR 250, Subpart A 1014–0022		0.
1933(c) .....	Post notice where personnel can view their rights for reporting unsafe practices.	15 mins.	2,435 facilities .....	609 (rounded).
Total Subpart S .....	.....	.....	2,381,721 Responses.	2,238,164 Hours.
			\$5,220,000 Non-Hour Cost Burdens	

\*We calculated operators conducting 50 JSAs a day (25 JSAs for each 12 hour shift). Some contractors may perform none for a particular day, whereas others may conduct more than 50 per day. This estimate is an average. Also, in Alaska, the Alaska Safety Handbook or ASH is followed on the North Slope, which is a book containing both safety standards and the permit to work process for North Slope operations. The ASH includes work permits which include a hazards analysis and mitigation measures section on the back of the permit.

+ In the future, BSEE may require electronic filing of some submissions.

### Estimated Reporting and Recordkeeping Non-Hour Cost Burden

We have identified four non-hour cost burdens:

§ 250.1925(a)—Pay for all costs associated with a BSEE directed audit due to deficiencies.

§ 250.1920(a)—ASP audits for High, Moderate, and Low Activity Operator.

We estimate a total reporting non-hour cost burden to industry of \$5,220,000 for this collection of information. We have not identified any other non-hour cost burdens associated with this collection of information.

**Public Disclosure Statement:** The PRA (44 U.S.C. 3501, *et seq.*) provides that an agency may not conduct or sponsor a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number. Until OMB approves a collection of information, you are not obligated to respond.

**Comments:** Before submitting an ICR to OMB, PRA section 3506(c)(2)(A) requires each agency “. . . to provide notice . . . and otherwise consult with

members of the public and affected agencies concerning each proposed collection of information . . .”.

Agencies must specifically solicit comments to: (a) Evaluate whether the collection is necessary or useful; (b) evaluate the accuracy of the burden of the proposed collection of information; (c) enhance the quality, usefulness, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (d) minimize the burden on the respondents, including the use of technology.

Agencies must also estimate the non-hour paperwork cost burdens to respondents or recordkeepers resulting from the collection of information. Therefore, if you have other than hour burden costs to generate, maintain, and disclose this information, you should comment and provide your total capital and startup cost components or annual operation, maintenance, and purchase of service components. For further information on this burden, refer to 5 CFR 1320.3(b)(1) and (2), or contact the

Bureau representative listed previously in this notice.

We will summarize written responses to this notice and address them in our submission for OMB approval. As a result of your comments, we will make any necessary adjustments to the burden in our submission to OMB.

**Public Comment Procedures:** Before including your address, phone number, email address, or other personal identifying information in your comment, you should be aware that your entire comment—including your personal identifying information—may be made publicly available at any time. While you can ask us in your comment to withhold your personal identifying information from public review, we cannot guarantee that we will be able to do so.

Dated: July 1, 2015.

**Robert W. Middleton,**

*Deputy Chief, Office of Offshore Regulatory Programs.*

[FR Doc. 2015-16675 Filed 7-7-15; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-VH-P

## DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

### Drug Enforcement Administration

[Docket No. DEA-418P]

#### **Proposed Adjustments to the Aggregate Production Quotas for Schedule I and II Controlled Substances and Assessment of Annual Needs for the List I Chemicals Ephedrine, Pseudoephedrine, and Phenylpropanolamine for 2015**

**AGENCY:** Drug Enforcement Administration, Department of Justice.

**ACTION:** Notice with request for comments.

**SUMMARY:** The Drug Enforcement Administration proposes to adjust the 2015 aggregate production quotas for several controlled substances in schedules I and II of the Controlled Substances Act and the assessment of annual needs for the list I chemicals ephedrine, pseudoephedrine, and phenylpropanolamine.

**DATES:** Interested persons may file written comments on this notice in accordance with 21 CFR 1303.13(c) and 1315.13(d). Electronic comments must be submitted, and written comments must be postmarked, on or before August 7, 2015. Commenters should be aware that the electronic Federal Docket Management System will not accept comments after 11:59 p.m. Eastern Time on the last day of the comment period.

**ADDRESSES:** To ensure proper handling of comments, please reference "Docket No. DEA-418P" on all correspondence, including any attachments. The Drug Enforcement Administration encourages that all comments be submitted electronically through the Federal eRulemaking Portal which provides the ability to type short comments directly into the comment field on the Web page or attach a file for lengthier comments. Please go to <http://www.regulations.gov> and follow the online instructions at that site for submitting comments. Upon completion of your submission you will receive a Comment Tracking Number for your comment. Please be aware that submitted comments are not instantaneously available for public view on Regulations.gov. If you have received a Comment Tracking Number, your comment has been successfully

submitted and there is no need to resubmit the same comment. Paper comments that duplicate electronic submissions are not necessary and are discouraged. Should you wish to mail a paper comment *in lieu* of an electronic comment, it should be sent via regular or express mail to: Drug Enforcement Administration, Attention: DEA Federal Register Representative/ODL, 8701 Morrisette Drive, Springfield, Virginia 22152.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** John R. Scherbenske, Office of Diversion Control, Drug Enforcement Administration, 8701 Morrisette Drive, Springfield, Virginia 22152, Telephone: (202) 598-6812.

#### **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

##### **Posting of Public Comments**

Please note that all comments received in response to this docket are considered part of the public record and will be made available for public inspection online at <http://www.regulations.gov>. Such information includes personal identifying information (such as your name, address, etc.) voluntarily submitted by the commenter.

The Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) applies to all comments received. If you want to submit personal identifying information (such as your name, address, etc.) as part of your comment, but do not want it to be posted online or made available in the public docket, you must include the phrase "PERSONAL IDENTIFYING INFORMATION" in the first paragraph of your comment. You must also place all the personal identifying information you do not want made publicly available in the first paragraph of your comment and identify what information you want redacted.

If you want to submit confidential business information as part of your comment, but do not want it to be made publicly available, you must include the phrase "CONFIDENTIAL BUSINESS INFORMATION" in the first paragraph of your comment. You must also prominently identify the confidential business information to be redacted within the comment. If a comment has so much confidential business information that it cannot be effectively redacted, all or part of that comment may not be made available in the public docket. Comments containing personal identifying information or confidential business information identified as directed above will be made publicly available in redacted form.

An electronic copy of this document is available at <http://www.regulations.gov> for easy reference.

#### **Legal Authority and Background**

Section 306 of the Controlled Substances Act (CSA), 21 U.S.C. 826, requires the Attorney General to determine the total quantity and establish aggregate production quotas for each basic class of controlled substance listed in schedules I and II and for the list I chemicals ephedrine, pseudoephedrine, and phenylpropanolamine. This responsibility has been delegated to the Administrator of the DEA. 28 CFR 0.100(b).

The DEA established the 2015 aggregate production quotas for substances in schedules I and II and the assessment of annual needs for the list I chemicals ephedrine, pseudoephedrine, and phenylpropanolamine on September 8, 2014 (79 FR 53216). That notice stipulated that, in accordance with 21 CFR 1303.13 and 1315.13, all aggregate production quotas and assessments of annual need are subject to adjustment.

#### **Analysis for Proposed Adjusted 2015 Aggregate Production Quotas and Assessment of Annual Needs**

The DEA proposes to adjust the established 2015 aggregate production quotas for certain schedule I and II controlled substances to be manufactured in the United States in 2015 to provide for the estimated medical, scientific, research, and industrial needs of the United States, lawful export requirements, and the establishment and maintenance of reserve stocks. These quotas do not include imports of controlled substances for use in industrial processes. The DEA is not proposing to adjust the established 2015 assessment of annual needs for the list I chemicals ephedrine, pseudoephedrine, and phenylpropanolamine to be manufactured in and imported into the United States in 2015 to provide for the estimated medical, scientific, research, and industrial needs of the United States, lawful export requirements, and the establishment and maintenance of reserve stocks.

In proposing the adjustment, the DEA has taken into account the criteria that the DEA is required to consider in accordance with 21 CFR 1303.13 and 21 CFR 1315.13. The DEA determines whether to propose an adjustment of the aggregate production quotas for basic classes of schedule I and II controlled substances and assessment of annual needs for ephedrine, pseudoephedrine,