

Division, Lenoir, North Carolina (TA-W-85,578A), who became totally or partially separated from employment on or after October 7, 2013 through two years from the date of this certification, are eligible to apply for adjustment assistance under Section 223 of the Trade Act of 1974, and are eligible to apply for alternative trade adjustment assistance under Section 246 of the Trade Act of 1974.

Signed at Washington, DC, this 26th day of November, 2014.

Del Min Amy Chen,

Certifying Officer, Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

Editorial Note: This document was received for publication by the Office of the Federal Register on May 22, 2015.

[FR Doc. 2015-12881 Filed 5-27-15; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-FN-P

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-85,844]

A Schulman, Inc. Including Workers Whose Wages Are Reported Under Ferro Corp. Stryker, Ohio; Amended Certification Regarding Eligibility To Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance

In accordance with Section 223 of the Trade Act of 1974, as amended ("Act"), 19 U.S.C. 2273, the Department of Labor issued a Certification of Eligibility to Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance on March 18, 2015, applicable to workers from A Schulman, Inc., Stryker, Ohio. The Department's Notice of Determination was published in the **Federal Register** on April 13, 2015 (80 FR 19691).

At the request of a State Workforce Official, the Department reviewed the certification for workers of the subject firm. The workers were engaged in the production of plastic colorants.

The investigation confirmed that workers' wages were reported under Ferro Corp., FEIN 34-0217820. Based on these findings, the Department is amending this certification to include workers whose wages were reported under Ferro Corp., FEIN 34-0217820.

The amended notice applicable to TA-W-85,844 is hereby issued as follows:

All workers of A Schulman, Inc., including workers whose wages were reported under Ferro Corp., Stryker, Ohio, who became totally or partially separated from employment on or after February 19, 2014 through March 18, 2017, are eligible to apply for adjustment assistance under Chapter 2 of Title II of the Trade Act of 1974, as amended, and are also eligible to apply for alternative

trade adjustment assistance under Section 246 of the Trade Act of 1974, as amended.

Signed in Washington, DC, this 16th day of April, 2015.

Michael W. Jaffe,

Certifying Officer, Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. 2015-12880 Filed 5-27-15; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-FN-P

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-83,367]

Pixel Playground, Inc., Woodland Hills, California; Notice of Revised Determination on Reconsideration

On December 9, 2014, the Department of Labor issued a Notice of Negative Determination Regarding Eligibility to Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance applicable to workers and former workers of Pixel Playground, Inc., Woodland Hills, California (subject firm). The Department's Notice was published in the **Federal Register** on September 29, 2014 (79 FR 58383). Workers at the subject firm were engaged in employment related to the supply of digital augmentation services.

In an application dated January 26, 2015, a former worker via legal counsel requested administrative reconsideration of the negative determination applicable to workers and former workers of the subject firm. The request for reconsideration alleges that workers at the subject firm are eligible to apply for Trade Adjustment Assistance (TAA) under Section 222(b) of the Trade Act, 19 U.S.C. 2272(b).

A careful review of administrative record and additional investigation confirmed the following:

Section 222(b)(1) has been met because a significant number or proportion of the workers in such workers' firm have become totally or partially separated, or are threatened to become totally or partially separated.

Section 222(b)(2) has been met because Pixel Playground Inc., Woodland Hills, California is a Supplier to a firm that employed a group of workers who received a certification of eligibility under Section 222(a) of the Act, 19 U.S.C. 2272(a), and such supply is related to the service that was the basis for such certification.

Section 222(b)(3)(B) has been met because the loss of business by Pixel Playground Inc., Woodland Hills, California with the firm that employed a certified worker group contributed importantly to worker separations at

Pixel Playground Inc., Woodland Hills, California.

Conclusion

After careful review, I determine that workers and former workers of the subject firm, who are engaged in employment related to the supply of digital augmentation services, meet the worker group certification criteria under Section 222(b) of the Act, 19 U.S.C. 2272(b). In accordance with Section 223 of the Act, 19 U.S.C. 2273, I make the following certification:

All workers of Pixel Playground Inc., Woodland Hills, California, who became totally or partially separated from employment on or after April 23, 2012 through two years from the date of this certification, and all workers in the group threatened with total or partial separation from employment on the date of certification through two years from the date of certification, are eligible to apply for adjustment assistance under Chapter 2 of Title II of the Trade Act of 1974, as amended.

Signed in Washington, DC, this 22nd day of April 2015.

Michael W. Jaffe,

Certifying Officer, Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. 2015-12883 Filed 5-27-15; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-FN-P

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

Notice of Determinations Regarding Eligibility To Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance and Alternative Trade Adjustment Assistance

In accordance with Section 223 of the Trade Act of 1974, as amended (19 U.S.C. 2273) the Department of Labor herein presents summaries of determinations regarding eligibility to apply for trade adjustment assistance for workers (TA-W) number and alternative trade adjustment assistance (ATAA) by (TA-W) number issued during the period of *April 13, 2015 through April 24, 2015*.

In order for an affirmative determination to be made for workers of a primary firm and a certification issued regarding eligibility to apply for worker adjustment assistance, each of the group eligibility requirements of Section 222(a) of the Act must be met.

I. Section (a)(2)(A) all of the following must be satisfied:

A. a significant number or proportion of the workers in such workers' firm, or an appropriate subdivision of the firm, have become totally or partially

separated, or are threatened to become totally or partially separated;

B. the sales or production, or both, of such firm or subdivision have decreased absolutely; and

C. increased imports of articles like or directly competitive with articles produced by such firm or subdivision have contributed importantly to such workers' separation or threat of separation and to the decline in sales or production of such firm or subdivision; or

II. Section (a)(2)(B) both of the following must be satisfied:

A. a significant number or proportion of the workers in such workers' firm, or an appropriate subdivision of the firm, have become totally or partially separated, or are threatened to become totally or partially separated;

B. there has been a shift in production by such workers' firm or subdivision to a foreign country of articles like or directly competitive with articles which are produced by such firm or subdivision; and

C. One of the following must be satisfied:

1. the country to which the workers' firm has shifted production of the articles is a party to a free trade agreement with the United States;

2. the country to which the workers' firm has shifted production of the articles to a beneficiary country under the Andean Trade Preference Act, African Growth and Opportunity Act, or the Caribbean Basin Economic Recovery Act; or

3. there has been or is likely to be an increase in imports of articles that are like or directly competitive with articles which are or were produced by such firm or subdivision.

Also, in order for an affirmative determination to be made for secondarily affected workers of a firm and a certification issued regarding eligibility to apply for worker adjustment assistance, each of the group eligibility requirements of Section 222(b) of the Act must be met.

(1) significant number or proportion of the workers in the workers' firm or an appropriate subdivision of the firm have become totally or partially separated, or are threatened to become totally or partially separated;

(2) the workers' firm (or subdivision) is a supplier or downstream producer to a firm (or subdivision) that employed a group of workers who received a certification of eligibility to apply for trade adjustment assistance benefits and such supply or production is related to the article that was the basis for such certification; and

(3) either—

(A) the workers' firm is a supplier and the component parts it supplied for the firm (or subdivision) described in paragraph (2) accounted for at least 20 percent of the production or sales of the workers' firm; or

(B) a loss or business by the workers' firm with the firm (or subdivision) described in paragraph (2) contributed importantly to the workers' separation or threat of separation.

In order for the Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance to issue a certification of eligibility to apply for Alternative Trade Adjustment Assistance (ATAA) for older workers, the group eligibility requirements of Section 246(a)(3)(A)(ii) of the Trade Act must be met.

1. Whether a significant number of workers in the workers' firm are 50 years of age or older.

2. Whether the workers in the workers' firm possess skills that are not easily transferable.

3. The competitive conditions within the workers' industry (*i.e.*, conditions within the industry are adverse).

Affirmative Determinations for Worker Adjustment Assistance

The following certifications have been issued. The date following the company name and location of each determination references the impact date for all workers of such determination.

None.

Affirmative Determinations for Worker Adjustment Assistance and Alternative Trade Adjustment Assistance

The following certifications have been issued. The date following the company name and location of each determination references the impact date for all workers of such determination.

The following certifications have been issued. The requirements of Section 222(a)(2)(A) (increased imports) and Section 246(a)(3)(A)(ii) of the Trade Act have been met.

85,826, *Safariland, LLC, Ontario, California. February 10, 2014.*

85,859, *Pfizer—Rouses Point, Rouses Point, New York. April 26, 2015.*

85,859A, *Leased Workers From Atrium, Rouses Point, New York. February 27, 2014.*

85,872, *Concurrent Manufacturing Solutions, LLC, Ozark, Missouri. March 10, 2014.*

85,873, *John Deere Waterloo Works, Waterloo, Iowa. March 5, 2014.*

85,886, *Instrumentation and Specialty Controls (ISC), West Chicago, Illinois. March 18, 2014.*

85,886A, *Instrumentation and Specialty Controls (ISC), Grand Junction, Colorado. March 18, 2014.*

85,888, *General Mills, New Albany, Indiana. March 18, 2014.*

85,900, *Fort Dearborn Company, Bowling Green, Kentucky. March 25, 2014.*

85,906, *Finisar Corporation, Horsham, Pennsylvania. May 18, 2015.*

85,922, *Chromalloy Gas Turbine, LLC, Gardena, California. April 9, 2015.*

85,924, *AstraZeneca LP, Westborough, Massachusetts. March 31, 2014.*

85,926, *KIK Custom Products, Inc., Memphis, Tennessee. April 7, 2014.*

85,931, *Mage Solar USA, Dublin, Georgia. March 30, 2014.*

85,938, *Technicolor Videocassette of Michigan Inc., Livonia, Michigan. May 16, 2015.*

85,938A, *Leased Workers from Employment Plus, Livonia, Michigan. April 2, 2014.*

83,367, *Pixel Playground, Inc., Woodland Hills, California. April 23, 2012.*

Negative Determinations for Alternative Trade Adjustment Assistance

In the following cases, it has been determined that the requirements of 246(a)(3)(A)(ii) have not been met for the reasons specified.

None.

Negative Determinations for Worker Adjustment Assistance and Alternative Trade Adjustment Assistance

In the following cases, the investigation revealed that the eligibility criteria for worker adjustment assistance have not been met for the reasons specified.

Because the workers of the firm are not eligible to apply for TAA, the workers cannot be certified eligible for ATAA.

The investigation revealed that criteria (a)(2)(A)(I.A.) and (a)(2)(B)(II.A.) (employment decline) have not been met.

85,781, *Asahi America, Inc., Lawrence, Massachusetts.*

The investigation revealed that criteria (a)(2)(A)(I.C.) (increased imports) and (a)(2)(B)(II.B.) (shift in production to a foreign country) have not been met.

85,790, *Corsa Coal Corporation, Friedens, Pennsylvania.*

85,898, *Siemens Energy Inc., Mount Vernon, Ohio.*

The workers' firm does not produce an article as required for certification under Section 222 of the Trade Act of 1974.

85,788, *Engineered Polymer Solutions, Garland, Texas.*

85,832, *BpRex Healthcare Brookville, Inc., Brookville, Pennsylvania.*
 85,835, *S4 Carlisle Publishing Services, Dubuque, Iowa.*
 85,871, *Multiband Corporation, Richmond, Kentucky.*
 85,878, *MicroTelecom Systems LLC, Uniondale, New York.*
 85,882, *The Nielsen Company (US), LLC, Shelton, Connecticut.*

Determinations Terminating Investigations of Petitions for Worker Adjustment Assistance

After notice of the petitions was published in the **Federal Register** and on the Department's Web site, as required by Section 221 of the Act (19 U.S.C. 2271), the Department initiated investigations of these petitions.

The following determinations terminating investigations were issued because the petitioner has requested that the petition be withdrawn.

85,883, *Schlumberger, Anchorage, Alaska.*
 85,917, *CP Medical Inc., Portland, Oregon.*

The following determinations terminating investigations were issued because the petitioning groups of workers are covered by active certifications. Consequently, further investigation in these cases would serve no purpose since the petitioning group of workers cannot be covered by more than one certification at a time.

85,696, *Hewlett Packard Company, Omaha, Nebraska.*
 85,853, *Hewlett Packard Company, Omaha, Nebraska.*

The following determinations terminating investigations were issued because the Department issued a negative determination on petitions related to the relevant investigation period applicable to the same worker group. The duplicative petitions did not present new information or a change in circumstances that would result in a reversal of the Department's previous negative determination, and therefore, further investigation would duplicate efforts and serve no purpose.

85,794, *Weyant Trucking, LLC, Friedens, Pennsylvania.*

I hereby certify that the aforementioned determinations were issued during the period of *April 13, 2015 through April 24, 2015*. These determinations are available on the Department's Web site www.tradeact/taa/taa_search_form.cfm under the searchable listing of determinations or by calling the Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance toll free at 888-365-6822.

Signed at Washington, DC, this 30th day of April 2015.

Michael W. Jaffe,
Certifying Officer, Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. 2015-12882 Filed 5-27-15; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-FN-P

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-85,726]

Hewlett-Packard Co. HP Enterprise Group Americas Supply Chain Houston Manufacturing Including On-Site Leased Workers From Advantage Technical Resourcing, Bucher and Christian Consulting, Inc., CBSI LLC, Manpower, National Employment Service, Pinnacle Technical Resources, Inc., and Staff Management (a Subsidiary of Seaton, LLC) Houston, Texas; Amended Certification Regarding Eligibility To Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance

In accordance with Section 223 of the Trade Act of 1974, as amended ("Act"), 19 U.S.C. 2273, the Department of Labor issued a Certification of Eligibility to Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance on March 2, 2015, applicable to workers of Hewlett-Packard Co., HP Enterprise Group, Americas Supply Chain Houston Manufacturing, including on-site leased workers from Advantage Technical Resourcing, Bucher and Christian Consulting, Inc., CBSI LLC, Manpower, National Employment Service, Pinnacle Technical Resources, Inc., and Staff Management, Houston, Texas. The workers were engaged in activities related to the production of server cabinets and parts.

At the request of a state workforce official to clarify the worker group, the Department reviewed the certification for workers of the subject firm. The company reports that the leased worker agency, Staff Management, is a subsidiary of Seaton, LLC.

Based on these findings, the Department is amending this certification to include workers leased from Staff Management (a subsidiary of Seaton, LLC) working on-site at the Houston, Texas location of Hewlett-Packard Co., HP Enterprise Group, Americas Supply Chain Houston Manufacturing.

The amended notice applicable to TA-W-85,726 is hereby issued as follows:

All workers of Hewlett-Packard Co., HP Enterprise Group, Americas Supply Chain

Houston Manufacturing, including on-site leased workers from Advantage Technical Resourcing, Bucher and Christian Consulting, Inc., CBSI LLC, Manpower, National Employment Service, Pinnacle Technical Resources, Inc., and Staff Management (a subsidiary of Seaton, LLC), Houston, Texas, who became totally or partially separated from employment on or after December 15, 2013 through March 2, 2017, and all workers in the group threatened with total or partial separation from employment on the date of certification through two years from the date of certification, are eligible to apply for adjustment assistance under Chapter 2 of Title II of the Trade Act of 1974, as amended.

Signed in Washington, DC, this 23rd day of April 2015.

Del Min Amy Chen,
Certifying Officer, Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. 2015-12879 Filed 5-27-15; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-FN-P

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-85,674]

Levi Strauss & Company Eugene, Oregon; Notice of Negative Determination on Reconsideration

On March 10, 2015, the Department of Labor issued an Affirmative Determination Regarding Application for Reconsideration for the workers and former workers of Levi Strauss and Company, Eugene, Oregon. The notice was published in the **Federal Register** on March 31, 2015 (80 FR 17080).

Pursuant to 29 CFR 90.18(c), reconsideration may be granted under the following circumstances:

(1) If it appears on the basis of facts not previously considered that the determination complained of was erroneous;

(2) If it appears that the determination complained of was based on a mistake in the determination of facts not previously considered; or

(3) If in the opinion of the Certifying Officer, a misinterpretation of facts or of the law justified reconsideration of the decision.

The initial investigation resulted in a negative determination based on findings that the worker separations at Levi Strauss & Co., Eugene, Oregon are not attributable to increased imports of articles or a shift in production of articles to a foreign country. The investigation also confirmed that the subject firm is not a Supplier or Downstream Producer.

The request for reconsideration asserts that the workers perform