

complaints with the Court and challenged certain aspects of the *Final Results*. In *Navneet I*, the Court remanded the Department's *Final Results* with respect to the Department's calculation of the 11.01 percent non-selected rate assigned to 51 non-selected respondents. The Department based the non-selected rate on the simple average of the two mandatory respondents' zero rates and two (out of four) of the 22.02 percent adverse facts available (AFA) rates assigned to the uncooperative respondents, which failed to respond to the Department's quantity and value questionnaire.¹⁰

On July 22, 2014, the Court remanded the Department's *Final Results* and instructed the Department to reconsider the following two issues: (1) That the rate assigned to the non-selected companies should be supported by "substantial evidence," and (2) that the rate reflects the "economic reality" and "pricing behavior" of the non-selected respondents.¹¹

On December 4, 2014, the Department filed the Final Remand Results with the Court, in which it continued to find evidence of dumping during the POR, drew an inference that the behavior of uncooperative respondents reflects rational choice, and, thus, found it reasonable to assign an above *de minimis* margin to the non-selected respondents.¹² In the Final Remand Results, the Department explained that this approach complied with the Court's holding in *Navneet I* that the non-selected margin be tied to the relevant factual circumstances of the administrative review and the economic reality of the non-selected respondents.¹³ On May 4, 2015, the Court entered judgment sustaining the Final Remand Results.¹⁴

Timken Notice

In *Timken*, 893 F.2d at 341, as clarified by *Diamond Sawblades*, the CAFC held that, pursuant to section 516A(e) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act), the Department must publish a notice of a court decision that is not "in harmony" with a Department determination and must suspend liquidation of entries pending a "conclusive" court decision. The Court's judgment in *Navneet II* sustaining the Final Remand Results constitutes a final decision of the Court

that is not in harmony with the Department's *Final Results*. This notice is published in fulfillment of the publication requirement of *Timken*.

Amended Final Results

Because there is now a final court decision, the Department is amending the *Final Results* with respect to Navneet and the other non-selected, cooperative exporters that are plaintiffs in this case. The revised weighted-average dumping margins for these exporters during the period September 1, 2010, through August 31, 2011, are as follows:

WEIGHTED-AVERAGE DUMPING MARGIN FOR PLAINTIFF EXPORTERS

Producer/exporter	Weighted-average dumping margin (percent)
Lodha Offset Limited	0.50
Magic International Pvt Ltd	0.50
Marisa International	0.50
Navneet Education Ltd ¹⁵	0.50
Pioneer Stationery Pvt. Ltd	0.50
SGM Paper Products	0.50
Super Impex	0.50

Accordingly, the Department will continue the suspension of liquidation of the subject merchandise pending the expiration of the period of appeal or, if appealed, pending a final and conclusive court decision. In the event the Court's ruling is not appealed or, if appealed, upheld by the CAFC, the Department will instruct U.S. Customs and Border Protection to assess antidumping duties on unliquidated entries of subject merchandise exported by the above listed exporters at the rate listed above.

Cash Deposit Requirements

Since the *Final Results*, the Department has established a new cash deposit rate for Navneet Education Ltd. and Super Impex.¹⁶ Therefore, the cash deposit rate for these two companies does not need to be updated as a result of these amended final results. The cash deposit rate will be the rate listed above for the remaining five companies listed above and subject to this remand.

¹⁵ Navneet Education Ltd. is a successor in interest to Navneet Publications (India) Ltd. See *Navneet CCR Final Results*.

¹⁶ See *Certain Lined Paper Products From India: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2011–2012*, 79 FR 26205 (May 7, 2014); see also *Certain Lined Paper Products From India: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2012–2013*, 80 FR 19278 (April 10, 2015).

Notification to Interested Parties

This notice is issued and published in accordance with sections 516A(e), 751(a)(1), and 777(i)(1) of the Act.

Dated: May 14, 2015.

Paul Piquado,

Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.

[FR Doc. 2015–12337 Filed 5–20–15; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration

RIN 0648–XD935

Mid-Atlantic Fishery Management Council (MAFMC); Fisheries of the Northeastern United States; Scoping Process

AGENCY: National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS), National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA), Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of intent to prepare an environmental impact statement (EIS); notice of initiation of scoping process; notice of public scoping meetings; request for comments.

SUMMARY: The Mid-Atlantic Fishery Management Council announces its intent to prepare, in cooperation with NMFS, either an amendment to the fishery management plan for golden tilefish or a new fishery management plan. In either case, the reason for action is to develop conservation and management measures for bluefin tilefish off the Mid-Atlantic. To support this effort, the Council may prepare an environmental impact statement in accordance with the National Environmental Policy Act to analyze the impacts of any proposed management measures. This notice announces a public process for determining the scope of issues to be addressed, for identifying concerns and potential alternatives related to management of bluefin tilefish off the Mid-Atlantic, and for determining the appropriate level of environmental analysis. This notice alerts the interested public of the scoping process, the potential development of an environmental impact statement or environmental assessment as appropriate, and provides for public participation in that process. Five scoping hearings will be held in June 2015 for this action.

DATES: The meetings will be held between June 1, 2015, and June 18, 2015, as described below. Written

June 20, 2013, Riddhi Enterprises, Ltd. and SAB International were dismissed from the litigation.

¹⁰ See *Navneet I* at 19, referencing the Final Decision Memorandum at Comment 5.

¹¹ See *Navneet I* at 15.

¹² See Final Remand Results 14–15.

¹³ *Id.*

¹⁴ See *Navneet II* at 11.

comments must be received on or before July 6, 2015.

ADDRESSES: There will be five scoping meetings with the following dates/times/locations:

1. Monday June 1, 2015, 6:00 p.m.
Hyatt Place Long Island/East End, 451 E Main St, Riverhead, NY 11901.
Telephone: (631) 208-0002.
2. Tuesday June 2, 2015, 6:00 p.m.
Congress Hall Hotel, 251 Beach Ave, Cape May, NJ 08204. Telephone: (888) 944-1816.
3. Tuesday June 16, 2015, 6:00 p.m.
Dare County Administrative Building, Commissioners Meeting Room, 954 Marshall C. Collins Drive, Manteo, NC 27954. Telephone: (252) 475-5700.
4. Wednesday June 17, 2015, 6:00 p.m.
Hilton Virginia Beach Oceanfront, 3001 Atlantic Ave, Virginia Beach, VA 23451. Telephone: (757) 213-3000.
5. Thursday, June 18, 5:00 p.m. Ocean City Chamber of Commerce, Eunice Q. Sorin Visitor & Conference Center, 12320 Ocean Gateway, Ocean City, Maryland 21842. Telephone: (410) 213-0552.

Comment addresses: Written comments may be sent by any of the following methods:

- Email to the following address: jdiddin@mafmc.org; Include "Blueline Tilefish Scoping Comments" in the subject line (recommended); there will also be an online comment submission form at <http://www.mafmc.org/actions/blueline-tilefish>.
- Mail or hand-deliver to Dr. Christopher M. Moore, Executive Director, Mid-Atlantic Fishery Management Council, 800 North State Street, Suite 201, Dover, Delaware 19901. Mark the outside of the envelope "Blueline Tilefish Scoping Comments"; or
- Fax to (302) 674-5399.
- Comments may also be provided verbally at any of the public scoping meetings.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Christopher M. Moore, Ph.D., Executive Director, Mid-Atlantic Fishery Management Council; telephone: (302) 526-5255. The MAFMC's Web site, www.mafmc.org (see "Current Issues") also has details on the meeting locations and background materials. A scoping informational document and presentation recording will be posted to <http://www.mafmc.org/actions/blueline-tilefish> no later than May 25, 2015.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The South Atlantic Fishery Management Council (SAFMC) manages blueline tilefish south of the Virginia/North Carolina border. There are currently (as of May 11, 2015) no management measures for

blueline tilefish in Federal waters north of North Carolina. Virginia and Maryland have instituted regulations for state waters, but catches in any Federal waters north of North Carolina may be landed from Delaware north without restriction. Blueline tilefish are likely susceptible to overfishing due to their life history (relatively long-lived, sedentary, slow growing, and late maturing) so the MAFMC is considering developing conservation and management measures. These measures could be considered via an amendment to the MAFMC's Golden Tilefish Fishery Management Plan (FMP), or a new FMP for blueline tilefish and/or other deep-water fish such as sand tilefish, snowy grouper, and black-bellied rosefish. Management measures could include a definition of the management unit, as well as acceptable biological catches, annual catch limits, essential fish habitat, trip limits and/or minimum fish sizes for the commercial or recreational fisheries, etc.

For waters north of North Carolina, in response to recent catch increases, the MAFMC has already requested NMFS take emergency action to implement a 300-lb (136-kg) (whole weight) commercial trip limit and a seven-fish per person recreational possession limit. This request was the result of a February 25, 2015, MAFMC meeting, the details of which may be found at: <http://www.mafmc.org/briefing/2015/february-2014-blueline-tilefish-webinar-meeting>. These emergency measures are intended to prevent depletion of blueline tilefish off the Mid-Atlantic on an interim basis (for a maximum of 366 days) while the Council develops long-term management measures through the normal Magnuson-Stevens Act process.

The SAFMC has also requested that NMFS (via an emergency rule) extend management measures recently enacted in the Southeastern Region (March 30, 2015; 80 FR 16583) north to apply to all Federal waters off the U.S. East Coast. Because any emergency rule can only be in effect for a maximum of 366 days, the MAFMC is moving ahead with scoping for an amendment or new FMP to develop long-term management and conservation measures for blueline tilefish off the Mid-Atlantic.

This is the first and best opportunity for members of the public to raise concerns related to the scope of issues that will be considered in the Council's action. The MAFMC needs your input both to identify management issues and develop effective alternatives. Potential management measures could include a definition of the management unit, as well as acceptable biological catches, annual catch limits, essential fish

habitat, trip limits and/or minimum fish sizes for the commercial or recreational fisheries, and/or other measures that may be deemed appropriate. Your comments early in the FMP/amendment development process will help us address issues of public concern in a thorough and appropriate manner. Comment topics could include the scope of issues in the FMP or amendment, concerns and potential alternatives related to blueline tilefish management. Comments can be made during the scoping hearings as detailed above or in writing. After scoping, the MAFMC plans to develop a range of management alternatives to be considered and prepare a draft environmental impact statement (EIS) and/or other appropriate environmental analyses. A new FMP would require an EIS, while an amendment to the existing Golden Tilefish FMP may require an EIS or an Environmental Assessment. These analyses will consider the impacts of the management alternatives being considered, as required by National Environmental Policy Act. Following a review of any comments on the draft analyses, the MAFMC will then choose preferred management measures for submission with a Final EIS or Environmental Assessment to the Secretary of Commerce for review and consideration for approval. Approved management measures would be implemented through publication of proposed and final rules, which include additional opportunity for public comment.

Special Accommodations

These meetings are physically accessible to people with disabilities. Requests for sign language interpretation or other auxiliary aid should be directed to M. Jan Saunders, (302) 526-5251, at least 5 days prior to the meeting date.

Dated: May 14, 2015.

Emily H. Menashes,

Acting Director, Office of Sustainable Fisheries, National Marine Fisheries Service.

[FR Doc. 2015-12261 Filed 5-20-15; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-22-P

BUREAU OF CONSUMER FINANCIAL PROTECTION

[Docket No. CFPB-2015-0021]

Request for Information Regarding Student Loan Servicing

AGENCY: Bureau of Consumer Financial Protection.