

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**

Michael Leslie, Environmental Engineer, Control Strategies Section (AR-18J), Air Programs Branch, Air and Radiation Division, United States Environmental Protection Agency, Region 5, 77 West Jackson Boulevard, Chicago, Illinois 60604, (312) 353-6680, [leslie.michael@epa.gov](mailto:leslie.michael@epa.gov).

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

Throughout this document, whenever

“we”, “us” or “our” is used, we mean EPA.

**Background**

Today’s notice is simply an announcement of a finding that we have already made. On March 13, 2015, EPA sent a letter to the Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources stating that the 2015 MVEBs contained in the Early Progress Plans for Kenosha and Sheboygan Counties in Wisconsin are

adequate for transportation conformity purposes. Receipt of these MVEBs was announced on EPA’s transportation conformity Web site, and no comments were submitted. The finding is available at EPA’s conformity Web site: <http://www.epa.gov/otaq/stateresources/transconf/adequacy.htm>.

The 2015 MVEBs, in tons per day (tpd), for VOCs and NO<sub>x</sub> for Kenosha and Sheboygan, Wisconsin areas are as follows:

Area	2015 NO <sub>x</sub> (tpd)	2015 VOCs (tpd)
Kenosha County .....	4.379	1.994
Sheboygan County .....	4.435	1.972

Transportation conformity is required by section 176(c) of the Clean Air Act. EPA’s conformity rule requires that transportation plans, programs, and projects conform to state air quality implementation plans and establishes the criteria and procedures for determining whether or not they do conform. Conformity to a State Implementation Plan (SIP) means that transportation activities will not produce new air quality violations, worsen existing violations, or delay timely attainment of the national ambient air quality standards.

The criteria by which we determine whether a SIP’s MVEBs are adequate for transportation conformity purposes are outlined in 40 CFR 93.118(e)(4). Please note that an adequacy review is separate from EPA’s completeness review, and it also should not be used to prejudge EPA’s ultimate approval of the SIP. Even if we find a budget adequate, the SIP could later be disapproved.

**Authority:** 42 U.S.C. 7401–7671q.

Dated: March 23, 2015.

**Susan Hedman,**

*Regional Administrator, Region 5.*

[FR Doc. 2015–07477 Filed 3–31–15; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 6560–50–P**

## ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[EPA–HQ–OPP–2015–0086; FRL–9925–03]

### EQI and POM SFIREG; Notice of Public Meeting

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** The Association of American Pesticide Control Officials (AAPCO)/State FIFRA Issues Research and Evaluation Group (SFIREG), Joint EQI

and POM Committee will hold a 2-day meeting, beginning on April 13, 2015 and ending April 14, 2015. This notice announces the location and times for the meeting and sets forth the tentative agenda topics.

**DATES:** The meeting will be held on Monday, April 13, 2015 from 8 a.m. to 5 p.m. and 8:30 a.m. to 3 p.m. on Tuesday April 14, 2015.

To request accommodation of a disability you should please contact the person listed in this notice under **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT**. Please contact EPA at least 10 days prior to the meeting, to give EPA as much time as possible to process your request

**ADDRESSES:** The meeting will be held at EPA, One Potomac Yard (South Bldg.) 2777 Crystal Dr., Arlington VA. 1st Floor South Conference Room.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Ron Kendall, Field and External Affairs Division (7506P), Office of Pesticide Programs, Environmental Protection Agency, 1200 Pennsylvania Ave. NW., Washington, DC 20460–0001; telephone number: (703) 305–5561; fax number: (703) 305–5884; email address: [kendall.ron@epa.gov](mailto:kendall.ron@epa.gov). or Amy Bamber, SFIREG Executive Secretary, at [aapco-sfireg@comcast.net](mailto:aapco-sfireg@comcast.net).

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:****I. General Information***A. Does this action apply to me?*

You may be potentially affected by this action if you are interested in pesticide regulation issues affecting States and any discussion between EPA and SFIREG on FIFRA field implementation issues related to human health, environmental exposure to pesticides, and insight into EPA’s decision-making process. You are invited and encouraged to attend the meetings and participate as appropriate. Potentially affected entities may

include, but are not limited to, persons who are or may be required to conduct testing of chemical substances under the Federal Food, Drug and Cosmetics Act (FFDCA), or the Federal Insecticide, Fungicide, and Rodenticide Act (FIFRA) and those who sell, distribute or use pesticides, as well as any non-government organization. If you have any questions regarding the applicability of this action to a particular entity please consult the person in this notice listed under **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT**.

*B. How can I get copies of this document and other related information?*

The docket for this action, identified by docket identification (ID) number EPA–HQ–OPP–2015–0086, is available at <http://www.regulations.gov> or at the Office of Pesticide Programs Regulatory Public Docket (OPP Docket) in the Environmental Protection Agency Docket Center (EPA/DC), West William Jefferson Clinton Bldg., Rm. 3334, 1301 Constitution Ave. NW., Washington, DC 20460–0001. The Public Reading Room is open from 8:30 a.m. to 4:30 p.m., Monday through Friday, excluding legal holidays. The telephone number for the Public Reading Room is (202) 566–1744, and the telephone number for the OPP Docket is (703) 305–5805. Please review the visitor instructions and additional information about the docket available at <http://www.epa.gov/dockets>.

**II. Tentative Agenda Topics**

1. OPP/OECA program updates.
2. Emergence of Unmanned Aerial Vehicles (UVA) for agricultural applications.
3. State updates on environmental quality issues.
4. Present results of the SFIREG Pesticides of Interest Tracking System (POINTS) evaluation.

5. Aggregation of National Endangered Species Act (ESA) data by the FIFRA Endangered Species Task Force.
6. States use of EPA developed benchmarks for pesticides in water.
7. State managed pollinator protection plan measures.
8. Endocrine Disruptor Screening Program.
9. Design for the Environment (DfE) survey results.
10. Oregon neonicotinoid ban.
11. Drift Reduction Technology (DRT) Program and the Spray Drift PR Notice.
12. Respirator label language in the label review manual.

### III. How can I request to participate in this meeting?

This meeting is open for the public to attend. You may attend the meeting without further notification.

**Authority:** 7 U.S.C. 136 *et seq.*

**Dated:** March 25, 2015.

**Patricia L. Parrott,**

*Acting Director, Field and External Affairs Division, Office of Pesticide Protection.*

[FR Doc. 2015-07494 Filed 3-31-15; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 6560-50-P**

## ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[FRL-9925-68-OAR]

### Clean Air Act Advisory Committee (CAAAC): Notice of Meeting

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Notice of meeting.

**SUMMARY:** The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) announces upcoming public meetings of the Clean Air Act Advisory Committee (CAAAC). The EPA established the CAAAC on November 19, 1990, to provide independent advice and counsel to EPA on policy issues associated with implementation of the Clean Air Act of 1990. The Committee advises on economic, environmental, technical, scientific and enforcement policy issues.

**Dates & Addresses:** Pursuant to 5 U.S.C. App. 2 Section 10(a) (2), notice is hereby given that the CAAAC will hold its next face-to-face meeting on April 22, 2015, tentatively from 8:30 a.m. to 4:00 p.m. at the Crowne Plaza Washington National Airport hotel, 1480 Crystal Drive, Arlington, VA 22202.

**Inspection of Committee Documents:** The committee agenda, confirmed times

for the meetings, and any documents prepared for these meetings will be publicly available on the CAAAC Web site at <http://www.epa.gov/oar/caaac/> prior to the meeting. Thereafter, these documents, together with CAAAC meeting minutes, will also be available on the CAAAC Web site or by contacting the Office of Air and Radiation Docket and requesting information under docket EPA-HQ-OAR-2004-0075. The docket office can be reached by email at: [a-and-r-Docket@epa.gov](mailto:a-and-r-Docket@epa.gov) or FAX: 202-566-9744.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** For more information about the CAAAC, please contact Jim Ketcham-Colwill, Interim Designated Federal Officer (DFO), Office of Air and Radiation, U.S. EPA by email at [ketcham-colwill.jim@epa.gov](mailto:ketcham-colwill.jim@epa.gov) or by telephone at (202) 564-1676. Additional information about this meeting, CAAAC, and its subcommittees can be found on the CAAAC Web site: <http://www.epa.gov/oar/caaac/>.

For information on access or services for individuals with disabilities, please contact Lorraine Reddick at (202) 564-1293 or [reddick.lorraine@epa.gov](mailto:reddick.lorraine@epa.gov), preferably at least 10 days prior to the meeting to give EPA as much time as possible to process your request.

**Dated:** March 25, 2015.

**Jim Ketcham-Colwill,**

*Interim Designated Federal Officer, Clean Air Act Advisory Committee, Office of Air and Radiation.*

[FR Doc. 2015-07491 Filed 3-31-15; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 6560-50-P**

## FEDERAL RESERVE SYSTEM

### Change in Bank Control Notices; Acquisitions of Shares of a Bank or Bank Holding Company

The notificants listed below have applied under the Change in Bank Control Act (12 U.S.C. 1817(j)) and § 225.41 of the Board's Regulation Y (12 CFR 225.41) to acquire shares of a bank or bank holding company. The factors that are considered in acting on the notices are set forth in paragraph 7 of the Act (12 U.S.C. 1817(j)(7)).

The notices are available for immediate inspection at the Federal Reserve Bank indicated. The notices also will be available for inspection at the offices of the Board of Governors. Interested persons may express their views in writing to the Reserve Bank indicated for that notice or to the offices of the Board of Governors. Comments must be received not later than April 16, 2015.

A. Federal Reserve Bank of Minneapolis (Jacquelyn K. Brunmeier, Assistant Vice President) 90 Hennepin Avenue, Minneapolis, Minnesota 55480-0291:

1. *Joel Sanders, Oakland, California, and James N. Sanders, Plymouth, Minnesota, as trustees of the Joel Sanders GRAT dated December 1, 2014, Oakland, California (GRAT); Sheva Sanders, Minneapolis, Minnesota, as a voting member of Rifkind Sanders, LLC, Minneapolis, Minnesota (LLC); Miriam Sanders, Minneapolis, Minnesota, as trustee of the Disclaimer Trust, Minneapolis, Minnesota (Disclaimer Trust); and Jerel Shapiro and Judith Shapiro, both of Minneapolis, Minnesota, as trustees of both the Judith T. Shapiro GST Trust, Minneapolis, Minnesota (JTS Trust), and the Jonathan J. Tychman Non-Exempt Trust, Minneapolis, Minnesota (JJT Trust), for retroactive permission for the GRAT, LLC, Disclaimer Trust, JTS Trust, and JJT Trust to join the Tychman/Sanders group, which controls 25 percent or more of The Tysan Corporation, Minneapolis, Minnesota, and thereby indirectly gain control of Lake Community Bank, Long Lake, Minnesota, and Pine Country Bank, Little Falls, Minnesota.*

In addition, Jerel Shapiro and Judith Shapiro individually, and as trustees of several Tychman/Sanders Group Trusts, to retain 25 percent or more of the shares of The Tysan Corporation, Minneapolis, Minnesota.

Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, March 27, 2015.

**Michael J. Lewandowski,**

*Assistant Secretary of the Board.*

[FR Doc. 2015-07445 Filed 3-31-15; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 6210-01-P**

## FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION

[File No. 132 3285]

### National Payment Network, Inc.; Proposed Consent Order To Aid Public Comment

**AGENCY:** Federal Trade Commission.

**ACTION:** Proposed Consent Agreement.

**SUMMARY:** The consent agreement in this matter settles alleged violations of federal law prohibiting unfair or deceptive acts or practices. The attached Analysis to Aid Public Comment describes both the allegations in the draft complaint and the terms of the consent order—embodied in the consent agreement—that would settle these allegations.