

ADDRESSES: A copy of this ICR with applicable supporting documentation; including a description of the likely respondents, proposed frequency of response, and estimated total burden may be obtained free of charge from the RegInfo.gov Web site at http://www.reginfo.gov/public/do/PRAViewICR?ref_nbr=201406-1250-001 (this link will only become active on the day following publication of this notice) or by contacting Michel Smyth by telephone at 202-693-4129, TTY 202-693-8064, (these are not toll-free numbers) or by email at DOL_PRA_PUBLIC@dol.gov.

Submit comments about this request by mail or courier to the Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Attn: OMB Desk Officer for DOL-OFCCP, Office of Management and Budget, Room 10235, 725 17th Street NW., Washington, DC 20503; by Fax: 202-395-5806 (this is not a toll-free number); or by email: OIRA_submission@omb.eop.gov. Commenters are encouraged, but not required, to send a courtesy copy of any comments by mail or courier to the U.S. Department of Labor-OASAM, Office of the Chief Information Officer, Attn: Departmental Information Compliance Management Program, Room N1301, 200 Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20210; or by email: DOL_PRA_PUBLIC@dol.gov.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Contact Michel Smyth by telephone at 202-693-4129, TTY 202-693-8064, (these are not toll-free numbers) or by email at DOL_PRA_PUBLIC@dol.gov.

Authority: 44 U.S.C. 3507(a)(1)(D).

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This ICR seeks to extend PRA authority for Office of Federal Contract Compliance Programs (OFCCP) construction recordkeeping and reporting requirements. The OFCCP administers three nondiscrimination and equal employment opportunity laws: Executive Order 11246, as amended; Rehabilitation Act of 1973, as amended section 503 (29 U.S.C. 793); and Vietnam Era Veterans' Readjustment Assistance Act of 1974, as amended section 4212 (38 U.S.C. 4212). These authorities prohibit employment discrimination and require covered Federal contractors to take affirmative action to ensure that equal employment opportunities are available regardless of race, sex, color, national origin, religion, or status as an individual with a disability or protected veteran. Recordkeeping and reporting by Federal and Federally assisted construction contractors and subcontractors is necessary to substantiate their

compliance with nondiscrimination and affirmative action contractual obligations.

This information collection is subject to the PRA. A Federal agency generally cannot conduct or sponsor a collection of information, and the public is generally not required to respond to an information collection, unless it is approved by the OMB under the PRA and displays a currently valid OMB Control Number. In addition, notwithstanding any other provisions of law, no person shall generally be subject to penalty for failing to comply with a collection of information that does not display a valid Control Number. See 5 CFR 1320.5(a) and 1320.6. The DOL obtains OMB approval for this information collection under Control Number 1250-0001.

OMB authorization for an ICR cannot be for more than three (3) years without renewal, and the current approval for this collection is scheduled to expire on December 31, 2014. The DOL seeks to extend PRA authorization for this information collection for three (3) more years, without any change to existing requirements. The DOL notes that existing information collection requirements submitted to the OMB receive a month-to-month extension while they undergo review. For additional substantive information about this ICR, see the related notice published in the **Federal Register** on September 2, 2014 (79 FR 52044).

Interested parties are encouraged to send comments to the OMB, Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs at the address shown in the **ADDRESSES** section within thirty (30) days of publication of this notice in the **Federal Register**. In order to help ensure appropriate consideration, comments should mention OMB Control Number 1250-0001. The OMB is particularly interested in comments that:

- Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility;
- Evaluate the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;
- Enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and
- Minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or

other forms of information technology, e.g., permitting electronic submission of responses.

Agency: DOL-OFCCP.

Title of Collection: Office of Federal Contract Compliance Programs Construction Record keeping and Reporting Requirements.

OMB Control Number: 1250-0001.

Affected Public: Private Sector—businesses or other for-profits and not-for-profit institutions.

Total Estimated Number of Respondents: 52,429.

Total Estimated Number of Responses: 52,429.

Total Estimated Annual Time Burden: 803,725 hours.

Total Estimated Annual Other Costs Burden: \$83,131.

Dated: December 16, 2014.

Michel Smyth,

Departmental Clearance Officer.

[FR Doc. 2014-29830 Filed 12-19-14; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-CM-P

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-85,468]

Comcast Cable Central Division Customer Care, Alpharetta, Georgia; Notice of Negative Determination Regarding Application for Reconsideration

By application dated October 21, 2014, workers requested administrative reconsideration of the Department of Labor's negative determination regarding eligibility to apply for Trade Adjustment Assistance (TAA) applicable to workers and former workers of Comcast Cable, Central Division Customer Care, Alpharetta, Georgia (subject firm). The determination was issued on September 22, 2014. The Department's Notice of determination was published in the **Federal Register** on October 21, 2014 (79 FR 62971).

Pursuant to 29 CFR 90.18(c) reconsideration may be granted under the following circumstances:

- (1) If it appears on the basis of facts not previously considered that the determination complained of was erroneous;
- (2) If it appears that the determination complained of was based on a mistake in the determination of facts not previously considered; or
- (3) If in the opinion of the Certifying Officer, a misinterpretation of facts or of the law justified reconsideration of the decision.

The negative determination was based on the Department's findings that the subject firm does not produce an article within the meaning of Section 222(a) or Section 222(b) of the Act. In order to be considered eligible to apply for adjustment assistance under Section 223 of the Trade Act of 1974, the worker group seeking certification (or on whose behalf certification is being sought) must work for a "firm" or appropriate subdivision that produces an article. The definition of a firm includes an individual proprietorship, partnership, joint venture, association, corporation (including a development corporation), business trust, cooperative, trustee in bankruptcy, and receiver under decree of any court.

During the investigation, the Department obtained information that revealed that the subject firm did not produce an article; rather, the subject firm supplied services related to call center services.

In the request for reconsideration, the workers assert that their jobs were outsourced to foreign countries but did not provide information pertaining to the subject firm producing an article. 29 CFR 90.

The petitioners did not supply facts not previously considered; nor provide additional documentation indicating that there was either (1) a mistake in the determination of facts not previously considered or (2) a misinterpretation of facts or of the law justifying reconsideration of the initial determination. Based on these findings, the Department determines that 29 CFR 90.18(c) has not been met.

Conclusion

After careful review of the application and investigative findings, I conclude that there has been no error or misinterpretation of the law or of the facts which would justify reconsideration of the Department of Labor's prior decision. Accordingly, the application is denied.

Signed in Washington, DC, this 9th day of December, 2014

Michael W. Jaffe,

Certifying Officer, Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. 2014-29828 Filed 12-19-14; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-FN-P

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-85,013]

TRW Integrated Chassis Systems, LLC, North American Braking Division, a Subsidiary of TRW Automotive, Including On-Site Leased Workers From Adecco and DM Burr, Saginaw, Michigan; Notice of Negative Determination Regarding Application for Reconsideration

By application dated October 15, 2014, the United Automobile Workers (UAW), Local Union 467, requested administrative reconsideration of the Department of Labor's negative determination regarding eligibility to apply for worker adjustment assistance, applicable to workers and former workers of TRW Integrated Chassis Systems, LLC, North American Braking Division, a subsidiary of TRW Automotive, Saginaw, Michigan (subject firm). The subject firm is engaged in activities related to the production of rotor and knuckle components and brake corners. The subject worker group includes on-site leased workers from Adecco and DM Burr.

The denial notice was signed on February 26, 2014, and the Notice of Determination was published in the **Federal Register** on October 29, 2014 (79 FR 64415).

Pursuant to 29 CFR 90.18(c) reconsideration may be granted under the following circumstances:

(1) If it appears on the basis of facts not previously considered that the determination complained of was erroneous;

(2) If it appears that the determination complained of was based on a mistake in the determination of facts not previously considered; or

(3) If in the opinion of the Certifying Officer, a mis-interpretation of facts or of the law justified reconsideration of the decision.

The negative determination was based on the Department's findings that the subject firm did not shift the production of articles like or directly competitive with rotor and knuckle components and brake corners to a foreign country; that imports of articles like or directly competitive with the rotor and knuckle components and brake corners did not contribute importantly to the workers' separation or threat of separation and to the decline in sales or production of the firm; and that the subject firm is not a Supplier or Downstream Producer to a firm that employed a group of workers who received a certification of eligibility

under Section 222(a) of the Act, 19 U.S.C. 2272(a).

In the request for reconsideration, the UAW asserts that the workers of the subject firm should be eligible for TAA because industry imports into the United States increased in the first quarter of 2014. The UAW, however, did not provide new information pertaining to 2012 and 2013, which are the time periods under investigation. 29 CFR 90

The petitioner did not supply facts not previously considered; nor provide additional documentation indicating that there was either (1) a mistake in the determination of facts not previously considered or (2) a misinterpretation of facts or of the law justifying reconsideration of the initial determination. Based on these findings, the Department determines that 29 CFR 90.18(c) has not been met.

Conclusion

After careful review of the application and investigative findings, I conclude that there has been no error or misinterpretation of the law or of the facts which would justify reconsideration of the Department of Labor's prior decision. Accordingly, the application is denied.

Signed in Washington, DC, this 9th day of December, 2014.

Michael W. Jaffe,

Certifying Officer, Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. 2014-29824 Filed 12-19-14; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-FN-P

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Occupational Safety and Health Administration

[Docket Nos. OSHA-2014-0020, 0017, 0010, 0008, 0006, 0003, 0002, OSHA-2013-0014, 0001, OSHA-2012-0056, 0053, 0052, 0051, 0050, 0049, 0048, 0047, 0046, 0045, 0044, 0043, 0042, 0041, OSHA-2011-0093]

Authorization To Open Dockets of Denied Variance Applications for Public Access

AGENCY: Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA), Labor.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: In this notice, OSHA announces its intent to update the publication of the dockets of variance applications that it denied in the past. Because OSHA denied these applications, it did not publish them in the **Federal Register** for public review. OSHA is making this information available to the public to enhance