

submitted to rebut, clarify, or correct factual information already on the record, to provide an explanation identifying the information already on the record that the factual information seeks to rebut, clarify, or correct. The final rule also modified 19 CFR 351.301 so that, rather than providing general time limits, there are specific time limits based on the type of factual information being submitted. These modifications are effective for all segments initiated on or after May 10, 2013. Please review the final rule, available at <http://enforcement.trade.gov/frn/2013/1304frn/2013-08227.txt>, prior to submitting factual information in this segment.

Any party submitting factual information in an antidumping duty or countervailing duty proceeding must certify to the accuracy and completeness of that information.<sup>5</sup> Parties are hereby reminded that revised certification requirements are in effect for company/government officials as well as their representatives. Ongoing segments of any antidumping duty or countervailing duty proceedings initiated on or after March 14, 2011 should use the formats for the revised certifications provided at the end of the *Interim Final Rule*.<sup>6</sup> All segments of any antidumping duty or countervailing duty proceedings initiated on or after August 16, 2013, should use the formats for the revised certifications provided at the end of the *Final Rule*.<sup>7</sup> The Department intends to reject factual submissions in any proceeding segments if the submitting party does not comply with applicable revised certification requirements.

### Revised Extension of Time Limits Regulation

On September 20, 2013, the Department modified its regulation concerning the extension of time limits for submissions in antidumping and countervailing duty proceedings: *Final Rule*, 78 FR 57790 (September 20, 2013). The modification clarifies that parties may request an extension of time limits

before a time limit established under Part 351 expires, or as otherwise specified by the Secretary. In general, an extension request will be considered untimely if it is filed after the time limit established under Part 351 expires. For submissions which are due from multiple parties simultaneously, an extension request will be considered untimely if it is filed after 10:00 a.m. on the due date. Examples include, but are not limited to: (1) Case and rebuttal briefs, filed pursuant to 19 CFR 351.309; (2) factual information to value factors under 19 CFR 351.408(c), or to measure the adequacy of remuneration under 19 CFR 351.511(a)(2), filed pursuant to 19 CFR 351.301(c)(3) and rebuttal, clarification and correction filed pursuant to 19 CFR 351.301(c)(3)(iv); (3) comments concerning the selection of a surrogate country and surrogate values and rebuttal; (4) comments concerning U.S. Customs and Border Protection data; and (5) quantity and value questionnaires. Under certain circumstances, the Department may elect to specify a different time limit by which extension requests will be considered untimely for submissions which are due from multiple parties simultaneously. In such a case, the Department will inform parties in the letter or memorandum setting forth the deadline (including a specified time) by which extension requests must be filed to be considered timely. This modification also requires that an extension request must be made in a separate, stand-alone submission, and clarifies the circumstances under which the Department will grant untimely-filed requests for the extension of time limits. These modifications are effective for all segments initiated on or after October 21, 2013. Please review the final rule, available at <http://www.gpo.gov/fdsys/pkg/FR-2013-09-20/html/2013-22853.htm>, prior to submitting factual information in these segments.

These initiations and this notice are in accordance with section 751(a) of the Act (19 U.S.C. 1675(a)) and 19 CFR 351.221(c)(1)(i).

Dated: September 22, 2014.

**Christian Marsh,**

*Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations.*

[FR Doc. 2014-23290 Filed 9-29-14; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

[A-570-983]

### Drawn Stainless Steel Sinks From the People's Republic of China: Partial Rescission of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

**AGENCY:** Enforcement and Compliance, Department of Commerce

**DATES:** *Effective date:* September 30, 2014.

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Brian Smith or Brandon Custard, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-1766 or (202) 482-1823, respectively.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

#### Background

On April 1, 2014, the Department of Commerce (the Department) published in the **Federal Register** a notice of “Opportunity to Request Administrative Review” of the antidumping duty order on drawn stainless steel sinks from the People's Republic of China (PRC) for the period of review (POR) of October 4, 2012, through March 31, 2014.<sup>1</sup> The Department received a timely request from the petitioner, Elkay Manufacturing Company, to conduct an administrative review of Foshan Success Imp. & Exp. Co., Ltd. (Foshan Success), an exporter of drawn stainless steel sinks from the PRC.<sup>2</sup> Between April 16 and April 30, 2014, Feidong Import & Export Co., Ltd. (Feidong), Foshan Zhaoshun Trade Co., Ltd. (Zhaoshun), Guangdong Dongyuan Kitchenware Industrial Co., Ltd. (Dongyuan), Guangdong New Shichu Import and Export Company Limited (New Shichu), Guangdong Yingao Kitchen Utensils Co., Ltd. (Yingao), Shunde Native Produce Import and Export Co., Ltd. of Guangdong (Shunde Native Produce), Yuyao Afa Kitchenware Co., Ltd. (Yuyao), Zhongshan Newecan Enterprise Development Corporation Limited (Newecan), Zhongshan Silk Imp. & Exp. Group Co., Ltd. of Guangdong (Zhongshan Silk), and Zhongshan Superte Kitchenware Co., Ltd. (Superte),

<sup>1</sup> See *Antidumping or Countervailing Duty Order, Finding, or Suspended Investigation; Opportunity to Request Administrative Review*, 79 FR 18260 (April 1, 2014).

<sup>2</sup> See Letter from the petitioner to the Secretary of Commerce, “Drawn Stainless Steel Sinks From The People's Republic Of China: Request For Administrative Review,” dated April 30, 2014.

<sup>5</sup> See section 782(b) of the Act.

<sup>6</sup> See *Certification of Factual Information to Import Administration During Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Proceedings: Interim Final Rule*, 76 FR 7491 (February 10, 2011) (“*Interim Final Rule*”), amending 19 CFR 351.303(g)(1) and (2); *Certification of Factual Information to Import Administration during Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Proceedings: Supplemental Interim Final Rule*, 76 FR 54697 (September 2, 2011).

<sup>7</sup> See *Certification of Factual Information To Import Administration During Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Proceedings*, 78 FR 42678 (July 17, 2013) (“*Final Rule*”); see also the frequently asked questions regarding the *Final Rule*, available at [http://enforcement.trade.gov/lei/notices/factual\\_info\\_final\\_rule\\_FAQ\\_07172013.pdf](http://enforcement.trade.gov/lei/notices/factual_info_final_rule_FAQ_07172013.pdf).

each filed timely requests for review.<sup>3</sup> Additionally, on April 30, 2014, the Department received a timely request from Hajoca Corporation (Hajoca), a United States importer of the subject merchandise, to conduct a review of its entries of the subject merchandise during the POR produced and exported by Yingao.<sup>4</sup>

On May 29, 2014, the Department published in the **Federal Register** a notice of initiation of an administrative review of the antidumping duty order on drawn stainless steel sinks from the PRC with respect to the above-named companies.<sup>5</sup>

On August 27, 2014, the petitioner timely withdrew its request for review of Foshan Success.<sup>6</sup>

#### Partial Rescission of Review

Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.213(d)(1), the Department will rescind an administrative review, in whole or in part, if a party who requested the review withdraws the request within 90 days of the date of publication of notice of initiation of the requested review. We received the petitioner's withdrawal request within the 90-day deadline. Therefore, in response to the withdrawal request and pursuant to 19

CFR 351.213(d)(1), we are rescinding this administrative review with regard to Foshan Success. The instant review will continue with respect to Dongyuan, Feidong, Newecan, New Shichu, Shunde Native Produce, Superte, Yingao, Yuyao, Zhaoshun, and Zhongshan Silk.<sup>7</sup>

#### Assessment

The Department will instruct U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) to assess antidumping duties on all appropriate entries. For the company for which this review is rescinded, antidumping duties shall be assessed at rates equal to the cash deposit of estimated antidumping duties required at the time of entry, or withdrawal from warehouse, for consumption, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.212(c)(1)(i). As the company for which this review is rescinded is also subject to an ongoing new shipper review of the antidumping duty order on drawn stainless steel sinks from the PRC covering the period October 4, 2012, through October 14, 2013, the Department's assessment instructions as a result of this rescission will only cover the period October 15, 2013, through March 31, 2014. The Department intends to issue appropriate assessment instructions directly to CBP 15 days after the date of publication of this notice in the **Federal Register**.

#### Notification to Importers

This notice serves as the only reminder to importers of their responsibility, under 19 CFR 351.402(f)(2), to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Secretary's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties.

#### Notification Regarding Administrative Protective Order

This notice serves as the only reminder to parties subject to administrative protective order (APO) of

their responsibility concerning the disposition of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305(a)(3). Timely written notification of return/destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and the terms of an APO is a sanctionable violation.

#### Notification to Interested Parties

This notice is issued and published in accordance with sections 751 and 777(i)(1) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, and 19 CFR 351.213(d)(4).

Dated: September 24, 2014.

**Christian Marsh,**

*Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations.*

[FR Doc. 2014-23281 Filed 9-29-14; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

[A-570-970]

#### Multilayered Wood Flooring From the People's Republic of China: Final Results of Changed Circumstances Review

**AGENCY:** Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**SUMMARY:** On August 15, 2014, the Department of Commerce (the "Department") published its initiation and preliminary results of a changed circumstances review<sup>1</sup> of the antidumping duty ("AD") order on multilayered wood flooring ("MLWF") from the People's Republic of China ("PRC").<sup>2</sup> The Department preliminarily determined that Linyi Youyou Wood Co., Ltd. ("Youyou") is the successor-in-interest to Shanghai Lizhong Wood Products Co., Ltd./The Lizhong Industry Limited Company of Shanghai ("Lizhong") for purposes of the antidumping duty order on multilayered wood flooring from the PRC and, as such, is entitled to Lizhong's cash deposit rate with respect to entries of subject merchandise. We invited interested parties to comment on the *Preliminary Results*. As no parties

<sup>3</sup> See Letter from Zhaoshun and Superte to the Secretary of Commerce, "Drawn Stainless Steel Sinks from China; Administrative Review Request," dated April 16, 2014; Letter from Newecan to the Secretary of Commerce, "Drawn Stainless Steel Sinks from China; Administrative Review Request," dated April 21, 2014; Letter from Yuyao to the Secretary of Commerce, "Drawn Stainless Steel Sinks from the People's Republic of China: Review Request," dated April 21, 2014; Letter from New Shichu to the Secretary of Commerce, "Drawn Stainless Steel Sinks from the People's Republic of China Request for Administrative Review," dated April 28, 2014; Letter from Zhongshan Silk to the Secretary of Commerce, "Drawn Stainless Steel Sinks from the People's Republic of China Request for Administrative Review," dated April 30, 2014; Letter from Shunde Native Produce to the Secretary of Commerce, "Drawn Stainless Steel Sinks from the People's Republic of China Request for Administrative Review," dated April 30, 2014; Letter from Yingao to the Secretary of Commerce, "Drawn Stainless Steel Sinks from the People's Republic of China Request for Administrative Review," dated April 30, 2014; Letter from Dongyuan to the Secretary of Commerce, "Drawn Stainless Steel Sinks from the People's Republic of China Request for Administrative Review," dated April 30, 2014; and Letter from Feidong to the Secretary of Commerce, "Administrative Review Request Concerning Drawn Stainless Steel Sinks from China," dated April 28, 2014.

<sup>4</sup> See Letter from Hajoca to the Secretary of Commerce, "Drawn Stainless Steel Sinks from the People's Republic of China: Hajoca Corporation's Request For Review," dated April 30, 2014.

<sup>5</sup> See *Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews*, 79 FR 30809 (May 29, 2014). (*Notice of Initiation*)

<sup>6</sup> See Letter from the petitioner to the Secretary of Commerce, "Drawn Stainless Steel Sinks From The People's Republic Of China: Withdrawal Of Request For Administrative Review," dated August 27, 2014.

<sup>7</sup> On August 27 and August 28, 2014, Zhongshan Silk submitted withdrawals of its request for review through the Department's electronic filing system IA ACCESS. The filings, however, were rejected because they were submitted under an incorrect case number and segment date/POR, respectively. Zhongshan Silk was notified of the rejections and advised to refile its review request withdrawal, but, as of the date of this notice, has not done so. See Memorandum to the File, "Zhongshan Silk Imp. & Exp. Group Co., Ltd. of Guangdong's Submission of Withdrawal of Request for Administrative Review," dated September 10, 2014.

<sup>1</sup> See *Initiation and Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Changed Circumstances Review: Multilayered Wood Flooring From the People's Republic of China*, 79 FR 48117 (August 15, 2014) ("Preliminary Results").

<sup>2</sup> See *Multilayered Wood Flooring From the People's Republic of China: Amended Final Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value and Antidumping Duty Order*, 76 FR 76690 (December 8, 2011) ("Order").