

duty orders on China, the Commission found that the domestic group response was adequate and that the respondent group response was inadequate, but that circumstances warranted full reviews. A record of the Commissioners' votes, the Commission's statement on adequacy, and any individual Commissioner's statement will be available from the Office of the Secretary and at the Commission's Web site.

Authority: These reviews are being conducted under authority of title VII of the Tariff Act of 1930; this notice is published pursuant to section 207.62 of the Commission's rules.

Issued: July 15, 2014.

By order of the Commission.

Lisa R. Barton,

Secretary to the Commission.

[FR Doc. 2014-16930 Filed 7-17-14; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020-02-P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 337-TA-868]

Certain Wireless Devices With 3G and/or 4G Capabilities and Components Thereof; Commission Decision Not To Review an Initial Determination Regarding Substitution of Respondents

AGENCY: U.S. International Trade Commission.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that the U.S. International Trade Commission has determined not to review the presiding administrative law judge's ("ALJ") initial determination ("ID") (Order No. 116), substituting Microsoft Mobility OY ("MMO") for one of the two Nokia respondents.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Sidney A. Rosenzweig, Office of the General Counsel, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 708-2532. Copies of non-confidential documents filed in connection with this investigation are or will be available for inspection during official business hours (8:45 a.m. to 5:15 p.m.) in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 205-2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its Internet server at <http://www.usitc.gov>. The public record for this investigation may be viewed on the Commission's electronic docket (EDIS) at <http://edis.usitc.gov>. Hearing-impaired

persons are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on (202) 205-1810.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Commission instituted this investigation on February 5, 2013, based on a complaint filed by InterDigital Communications, Inc. of King of Prussia, Pennsylvania, as well as InterDigital Technology Corporation, IPR Licensing, Inc., and InterDigital Holdings, Inc., each of Wilmington, Delaware (collectively, "InterDigital"). 78 FR 8191 (Feb. 5, 2013). The complaint alleged violations of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended 19 U.S.C. 1337, by reason of the infringement of certain claims from seven United States Patents. The notice of institution named ten respondents including Nokia, Inc. of White Plains, New York; and Nokia Corp. of Espoo, Finland (collectively, "Nokia").

On September 2, 2013, Microsoft Corp. ("Microsoft"), through an affiliate, entered into a Stock and Asset Purchase Agreement with Nokia Corp. ("the Purchase Agreement"). Pursuant to the Purchase Agreement, Microsoft subsidiary Microsoft Mobility OY ("MMO") acquired substantially all of Nokia's Devices & Services Business, which includes all of Nokia's mobile device business, including smartphones. Those assets include the entirety of respondent Nokia, Inc. as well as substantial assets from Nokia Corp., which maintains other lines of business, including network equipment and mapping technology. Microsoft also agreed to acquire all liabilities of Nokia Corp. from pending litigations including this investigation. Microsoft has assumed control of defending this investigation.

On May 20, 2014, Nokia and MMO moved to substitute MMO for Nokia, Inc. and Nokia Corp. On May 30, 2013, InterDigital and the Commission investigative attorney ("IA") filed responses in opposition.

On June 13, 2014, the ALJ issued the subject ID (Order No. 116), which substituted MMO for Nokia, Inc. but not for Nokia Corp.

On June 23, 2014, the respondents (Nokia, Inc.; Nokia Corp.; and MMO) filed a petition for review of Order No. 116, seeking substitution as to Nokia Corp. as well. On June 30, 2014, InterDigital and the IA filed oppositions to the respondents' petition.

The Commission has determined not to review the ID. The Commission notes that pursuant to Commission Rule 210.21(c), 19 CFR 210.21(c), Nokia Corp. may enter into a consent order to

terminate its participation in this investigation.

The authority for the Commission's determination is contained in section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1337), and in Part 210 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR Part 210).

By order of the Commission.

Issued: July 14, 2014.

Lisa R. Barton,

Secretary to the Commission.

[FR Doc. 2014-16881 Filed 7-17-14; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020-02-P

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

[OMB Number 1122-NEW]

Agency Information Collection Activities; Proposed eCollection eComments Requested; New Collection

AGENCY: Office on Violence Against Women, Department of Justice.

ACTION: 30-day notice.

SUMMARY: The Department of Justice (DOJ), Office on Violence Against Women, will be submitting the following information collection request to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review and approval in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995. The proposed information collection was previously published in the **Federal Register** Volume 79, Number 86, pages 25619-25620, on May 5, 2014, allowing for a 60 day comment period.

DATES: Comments are encouraged and will be accepted for an additional 30 days until August 18, 2014.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: If you have comments especially on the estimated public burden or associated response time, suggestions, or need a copy of the proposed information collection instrument with instructions or additional information, please contact Cathy Poston, Attorney Advisor, Office on Violence Against Women, 145 N Street NE., Washington, DC 20530 (phone:202-514-5430). Written comments and/or suggestions can also be directed to the Office of Management and Budget, Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Attention Department of Justice Desk Officer, Washington DC 20503 or send email to OIRA_submission@omb.eop.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This process is conducted in accordance with 5 CFR 1320.10. Written comments and suggestions from the public and affected

agencies concerning the proposed collection of information are encouraged. Your comments should address one or more of the following four points:

- Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility;
- Evaluate the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;
- Enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and
- Minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, e.g., permitting electronic submission of responses.

Overview of This Information Collection

(1) *Type of Information Collection:* New Collection.

(2) *The Title of the Form/Collection:* OVW Peer Reviewer Database.

(3) *The agency form number, if any, and the applicable component of the Department sponsoring the collection:* The form number is 1122-XXXX. The applicable component within the Department of Justice is the Office on Violence Against Women.

(4) *Affected public who will be asked or required to respond, as well as a brief abstract:*

The affected public includes individuals whom OVW has identified as potential peer reviewers and invited to submit information to the Peer Reviewer Database. Every year, OVW posts solicitations for numerous grant programs authorized by the Violence Against Women Act to enable communities to increase their capacity to respond to crimes of domestic violence, dating violence, sexual assault, and stalking. In order to carefully consider which grant applications to recommend for funding, OVW assembles peer review panels comprised of experts and practitioners to help evaluate and score grant applications based on the requirements outlined in the different solicitations for the OVW grant programs. OVW assembles peer review panels by inviting experts and practitioners to serve as peer reviewers. Participation in the peer review program is completely

voluntary; however, in order to be considered a peer reviewer, the prospective reviewer must enroll in the Database by entering their information online (contact information, resume/curriculum vitae (CV), and other self-identified information, such as employee type, education levels, job categories, ethnicity, expertise areas, and availability). A reviewer can only access, view, and modify their own individual record. OVW staff can access the Database to perform searches and review peer reviewer profiles in order to select an individual to review applications for a particular OVW grant program.

(5) *An estimate of the total number of respondents and the amount of time estimated for an average respondent to respond:* An estimated 200 individuals participate in the OVW Peer Reviewer Database.

An estimate of the total public burden (in hours) associated with the collection: The estimated public burden associated with this collection is 15 minutes. It is estimated that respondents will take less than 15 minutes to complete periodic and infrequent submissions and updates to the database. The burden hours for collecting respondent data is 50 hours (200 respondents \times .25 hours = 50 hours).

If additional information is required contact: Jerri Murray, Department Clearance Officer, United States Department of Justice, Justice Management Division, Policy and Planning Staff, Two Constitution Square, 145 N Street NE., Room 3E.405B, Washington, DC 20530.

Dated: July 15, 2014.

Jerri Murray,
Department Clearance Officer for PRA, U.S.
Department of Justice.

[FR Doc. 2014-16899 Filed 7-17-14; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410-FX-P

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

[OMB Number 1110-NEW]

Agency Information Collection Activities; Proposed eCollection eComments Requested; Approval of an Existing Collection in Use Without an OMB Control Number; CJIS Name Check Form (1-791)

AGENCY: Federal Bureau of Investigation, Criminal Justice Information Services Division, Department of Justice.

ACTION: 30-day notice.

SUMMARY: The Department of Justice (DOJ), Federal Bureau of Investigation

(FBI), Criminal Justice Information Services (CJIS) Division will be submitting the following information collection request to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review and approval in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995. The proposed information collection was previously published in the **Federal Register** Volume 79, Number 82, page 24007, on April 29, 2014, allowing for a 60 day comment period.

DATES: Comments are encouraged and will be accepted for an additional 30 days until August 18, 2014.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: If you have comments, especially on the estimated public burden or associated response time, suggestions, or need a copy of the proposed information collection instrument with instructions or additional information, please contact the Office of Management and Budget, Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Attention Department of Justice Desk Officer, Washington, DC, 20503. Additionally, comments may be submitted via email to OIRA_submission@omb.eop.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This process is conducted in accordance with 5 CFR 1320.10. Written comments and suggestions from the public and affected agencies concerning the proposed collection of information are encouraged. Your comments should address one or more of the following four points:

- Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility;
- Evaluate the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;
- Enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and
- Minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, e.g., permitting electronic submission of responses.

Overview of This Information Collection

(1) *Type of Information Collection:* Approval of existing collection in use without an OMB control number.