

B7, ASTM A193 Grade B7M, ASTM A193 Grade B16, and ASTM A320 Grade L7.

Appendix 2—Subsidy Programs and Issues in the Issues and Decision Memorandum

- I. SUMMARY
- II. BACKGROUND
- III. SCOPE OF THE INVESTIGATION
- IV. SUBSIDY VALUATION INFORMATION
- A. Allocation Period
- B. Attribution of Subsidies
- C. Benchmarks and Discount Rates
- Short-Term and Long-Term Rupee Denominated Loans
 - Short-Term and Long-Term U.S. Dollar Denominated Loans
 - EPCGS Discount Rate
- D. Denominators
- V. USE OF FACTS OTHERWISE AVAILABLE AND ADVERSE INFERENCES
- Babu
- Selection of the Adverse Facts Available Rate
- Corroboration of Secondary Information
- VI. ANALYSIS OF PROGRAMS
- A. Programs Determined To Be Countervailable
- Pre- and Post-Shipment Export Financing
 - Duty Drawback (“DDB”)
 - Export Promotion of Capital Goods Scheme (“EPCGS”)
 - Focus Product Scheme (“FPS”)
 - Status Holder Incentive Scrip (“SHIS”)
- B. Program Determined To Be Terminated
- Duty Entitlement Passbook Scheme (“DEPS”)
- C. Programs Determined To Be Not Used by Mangal Steel During the POI
- Government of India Programs
 - Advance Licenses Program
 - GOI Loan Guarantees
 - State Government of Maharashtra Programs
 - Industrial Promotion Subsidy
 - Octroi Refund Scheme
 - Electricity Duty Exemption
 - Waiver of Stamp Duty
 - Incentives to Strengthen Micro-, Small-, and Medium-Sized Manufacturing Enterprises
 - Final AFA Rates Determined for Programs Used by Babu
- VII. CALCULATION OF THE ALL OTHERS RATE
- VIII. DISCUSSION OF THE ISSUES
- Comment 1: Manner in Which the Department Should Calculate the Benefit Under the Status Holder Incentive Scrip
- Comment 2: Manner in Which the Department Should Calculate the Benefit Under the Pre- and Post-Shipment Export Financing Program
- Comment 3: Manner in Which the Department Should Calculate the Benefits Under the Focus Product Scheme
- Comment 4: Whether the Indian Duty Drawback Program is Countervailable
- Comment 5: Whether the Countervailing Duty Subsidy Rate Applied to Babu Exports is Appropriate

Comment 6: Minor Corrections to Calculations for Export Promotion of Capital Goods Scheme

IX. RECOMMENDATION

[FR Doc. 2014–16421 Filed 7–11–14; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[C–570–015]

53-Foot Domestic Dry Containers from the People’s Republic of China: Postponement of Preliminary Determination in the Countervailing Duty Investigation

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, formerly Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Yasmin Nair at (202) 482–3813 or David Cordell at (202) 482–0408, AD/CVD Operations, Office VI, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On May 13, 2014, the Department of Commerce (the Department) initiated a countervailing duty investigation on 53-foot domestic dry containers from the People’s Republic of China (PRC).¹ Currently, the preliminary determination is due no later than July 17, 2014.

Postponement of the Preliminary Determination

Section 703(b)(1) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act), requires the Department to issue the preliminary determination in a countervailing duty investigation within 65 days after the date on which the Department initiated the investigation. However, if the petitioner makes a timely request for an extension in accordance with 19 CFR 351.205(e), section 703(c)(1)(A) of the Act allows the Department to postpone the preliminary determination until no later than 130 days after the date on which the Department initiated the investigation.

On June 18, 2014, the petitioner² submitted a timely request pursuant to section 703(c)(1)(A) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.205(e) to postpone the

¹ See *53-Foot Domestic Dry Containers From the People’s Republic of China: Initiation of Countervailing Duty Investigation*, 79 FR 28679 (May 19, 2014).

² Stoughton Trailers, LLC (the petitioner).

preliminary determination.³ Therefore, in accordance with section 703(c)(1)(A) of the Act, we are fully extending the due date for the preliminary determination to not later than 130 days after the day on which the investigation was initiated. As a result, the deadline for completion of the preliminary determination is now September 22, 2014.⁴

This notice is issued and published pursuant to section 703(c)(2) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.205(f)(1).

Dated: June 19, 2014.

Ronald K. Lorentzen,

Acting Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.

[FR Doc. 2014–16418 Filed 7–11–14; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A–533–855]

Steel Threaded Rod From India: Final Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value and Final Affirmative Determination of Critical Circumstances, in Part; 2012–2013

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce (“Department”) determines that steel threaded rod (“STR”) from India is being, or is likely to be, sold in the United States at less than fair value (“LTFV”), as provided in section 735 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (“the Act”). The final weighted-average dumping margins of sales at LTFV are shown in the “Final Determination” section of this notice.

DATES: *Effective Date:* July 14, 2014.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Paul Stolz or Raquel Silva, AD/CVD Operations, Office III, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–4474 or (202) 482–6475, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

³ See Letter from the petitioner, entitled “53-Foot Domestic Dry Containers from the People’s Republic of China,” dated June 18, 2014.

⁴ The actual deadline based on a 65-day extension is September 20, 2014, which is a Saturday. Department practice dictates that where a deadline falls on a weekend or federal holiday, the appropriate deadline is the next business day. See *Notice of Clarification: Application of “Next Business Day” Rule for Administrative Determination Deadlines Pursuant to the Tariff Act of 1930, As Amended*, 70 FR 24533 (May 10, 2005).

Background

The Department published its *Preliminary Determination* on February 18, 2014.¹ On May 27, 2014, we received case briefs from All America Threaded Products Inc., Bay Standard Manufacturing Inc., and Vulcan Threaded Products Inc. (collectively, “Petitioners”), and Mangal Steel Enterprises Limited (“Mangal”). On June 2, 2014, Petitioners and Mangal submitted rebuttal briefs. On June 9, 2014, the Department conducted a hearing.

Period of Investigation

The period of investigation (“POI”) is April 1, 2012, through March 31, 2013.

Scope of the Investigation

The merchandise covered by this investigation is steel threaded rod. Steel threaded rod is certain threaded rod, bar, or studs, of carbon quality steel, having a solid, circular cross section, of any diameter, in any straight length, that have been forged, turned, cold-drawn, cold-rolled, machine straightened, or otherwise cold-finished, and into which threaded grooves have been applied. In addition, the steel threaded rod, bar, or studs subject to these investigations are nonheaded and threaded along greater than 25 percent of their total length. A variety of finishes or coatings, such as plain oil finish as a temporary rust protectant, zinc coating (*i.e.*, galvanized, whether by electroplating or hot-dipping), paint, and other similar finishes and coatings, may be applied to the merchandise.

Included in the scope of this investigation are steel threaded rod, bar, or studs, in which: (1) Iron predominates, by weight, over each of the other contained elements; (2) the carbon content is 2 percent or less, by weight; and (3) none of the elements listed below exceeds the quantity, by weight, respectively indicated:

- 1.80 percent of manganese, or
- 1.50 percent of silicon, or
- 1.00 percent of copper, or
- 0.50 percent of aluminum, or
- 1.25 percent of chromium, or
- 0.30 percent of cobalt, or
- 0.40 percent of lead, or
- 1.25 percent of nickel, or
- 0.30 percent of tungsten, or
- 0.012 percent of boron, or
- 0.10 percent of molybdenum, or
- 0.10 percent of niobium, or
- 0.41 percent of titanium, or

¹ See *Steel Threaded Rod from India: Preliminary Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value, Affirmative Preliminary Determination of Critical Circumstances, in Part, and Postponement of Final Determination*, 79 FR 9164 (February 18, 2014) (“*Preliminary Determination*”).

- 0.15 percent of vanadium, or
- 0.15 percent of zirconium.

Steel threaded rod is currently classifiable under subheadings 7318.15.5051, 7318.15.5056, 7318.15.5090 and 7318.15.2095 of the *Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States* (“HTSUS”). Although the HTSUS subheadings are provided for convenience and customs purposes, the written description of the merchandise is dispositive.

Excluded from the scope of this investigation are: (a) Threaded rod, bar, or studs which are threaded only on one or both ends and the threading covers 25 percent or less of the total length; and (b) threaded rod, bar, or studs made to American Society for Testing and Materials (“ASTM”) A193 Grade B7, ASTM A193 Grade B7M, ASTM A193 Grade B16, and ASTM A320 Grade L7. The HTSUS subheadings are provided for convenience and customs purposes only; the written description of the scope of this investigation is dispositive.

Verification

As provided in section 782(i) of the Act, the Department verified the information submitted by Mangal for use in the final determination. The Department used standard verification procedures, including examination of relevant accounting and production records and original source documents provided by the respondent.

Analysis of Comments Received

All issues raised in the case and rebuttal briefs for this investigation are addressed in the Issues and Decision Memorandum.² A list of the issues which parties raised and to which we responded in the Issues and Decision Memorandum is attached to this notice as an Appendix. The Issues and Decision Memorandum is a public document and is on file electronically via Enforcement and Compliance’s Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Centralized Electronic Service System (“IA ACCESS”). Access to IA ACCESS is available to registered users at <http://iaaccess.trade.gov> and is available to all parties in the Central Records Unit, Room 7046 of the main Department of Commerce building. In addition, a complete version of the Issues and Decision Memorandum can be accessed directly at <http://enforcement.trade.gov/frn>. The paper copy and electronic version of the Issues

² See the memorandum “Issues and Decision Memorandum for the Final Determination in the Antidumping Duty Investigation of Steel Threaded Rod from India,” dated concurrently with this notice (“Issues and Decision Memorandum”).

and Decision Memorandum are identical in content.

Changes Since the Preliminary Determination

- We corrected certain U.S. postal zip codes reported in Mangal’s U.S. sales database based on verification findings for purposes of our differential pricing analysis.³
- We applied partial neutral facts available to account for minor unreported sales found at verification, pursuant to section 776(a) of the Act.⁴
- We treated sales made from WCP’s inventory as CEP sales, in accordance with section 772(b) of the Act.⁵

Final Determination

For the final determination, the following margins exist for the following entities for the POI:

Exporter and/or producer	Weighted-average dumping margin (percent)
Mangal Steel Enterprises Limited	16.74
Babu Exports	119.87
All Others	16.74

Critical Circumstances

In the *Preliminary Determination*, for mandatory respondent Babu Exports, in accordance with sections 776(a) and (b) of the Act, we applied facts available with an adverse inference to determine that critical circumstances exist with respect to its exports of STR to the United States.⁶ Parties submitted no additional information or comments on the Department’s preliminary critical circumstances determination. Thus, we made no changes to our critical circumstances analysis announced in the *Preliminary Determination*.⁷ Therefore, pursuant to section 735(a)(3) of the Act, we continue to find that critical circumstances exist with respect to imports of STR from India from mandatory respondent Babu Exports. We continue to find that critical circumstances do not exist with respect to imports of STR from India from mandatory respondent, Mangal Steel, and “all other” exporters or producers.

³ See the CEP Verification Report at item IV. A.

⁴ *Id.* at item VIII and “Analysis Memorandum, Final Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value,” (“Analysis Memo”) dated concurrently with this notice.

⁵ See the Analysis Memo and the Issues and Decision Memorandum at Comment 2.

⁶ See *Preliminary Determination*, 79 FR at 9165, and accompanying Preliminary Decision Memo, at pages 9–13.

⁷ *Id.*

Disclosure

We intend to disclose to parties in this proceeding the calculations performed within five days of the date of publication of this notice in accordance with 19 CFR 351.224(b).

Continuation of Suspension of Liquidation

As noted above, the Department found that critical circumstances exist with respect to imports of the merchandise under consideration from Babu Exports. Therefore, in accordance with section 735(c)(4) of the Act, we will instruct U.S. Customs and Border Protection (“CBP”) to continue to suspend liquidation of all entries of STR from India from Babu Exports that were entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the date 90 days prior to publication of the *Preliminary Determination* in the **Federal Register** and require a cash deposit for such entries as noted below. Because we did not find that critical circumstances exist with respect to Mangal and “all other” exporters or producers, in accordance with section 735(c)(1) of the Act, we will instruct CBP to continue to suspend liquidation of all other entries of STR from India entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the date of publication of the *Preliminary Determination* in the **Federal Register**. These suspension of liquidation instructions will remain in effect until further notice.

In the final determination of the companion countervailing duty investigation on STR from India, the Department determined that certain companies benefitted from export subsidies.⁸ Pursuant to sections 735(c)(1) and 772(c)(1)(C) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.210(d), the Department will instruct CBP to require cash deposits⁹ equal to the weighted-average dumping margins indicated in the table above, adjusted where appropriate for export subsidies. These cash deposit instructions will remain in effect until further notice.

International Trade Commission (“ITC”) Notification

In accordance with section 735(d) of the Act, we will notify the ITC of our final affirmative determination of sales

⁸ See *Steel Threaded Rod from India: Final Affirmative Countervailing Duty Determination and Partial Final Affirmative Determination of Critical Circumstances*, dated concurrently with this notice.

⁹ See *Modification of Regulations Regarding the Practice of Accepting Bonds During the Provisional Measures Period in Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Investigations*, 76 FR 61042 (October 3, 2011).

at LTFV. Because the final determination in this proceeding is affirmative, in accordance with section 735(b)(2) of the Act, the ITC will make its final determination as to whether the domestic industry in the United States is materially injured, or threatened with material injury, by reason of imports of STR from India no later than 45 days after our final determination. If the ITC determines that material injury or threat of material injury does not exist, the proceeding will be terminated and all securities posted will be refunded or canceled. If the ITC determines that such injury does exist, the Department will issue an antidumping duty order directing CBP to assess antidumping duties on all imports of the merchandise under investigation entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the effective date of the suspension of liquidation.

Notification Regarding Administrative Protective Orders (“APO”)

This notice also serves as a reminder to the parties subject to APO of their responsibility concerning the disposition of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305. Timely written notification of return or destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and the terms of an APO is a sanctionable violation.

This determination and notice are issued and published in accordance with sections 735(d) and 777(i)(1) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.210(c).

Dated: July 3, 2014.

Ronald K. Lorentzen,

Acting Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.

Appendix—List of Topics Discussed in the Issues and Decision Memorandum

Summary
Background
Scope of the Investigation
Discussion of the Issues
Comment 1: Whether to Collapse Mangal and Corona
Comment 2: Whether Mangal’s Sales are Constructed Export Price Sales or Export Price Sales
Comment 3: Whether the Department’s Targeted Dumping Regulation was Unlawfully Withdrawn and Must be Employed in This Investigation
Comment 4: Application of the Alternative Methodology
Recommendation

[FR Doc. 2014–16419 Filed 7–11–14; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

United States Travel and Tourism Advisory Board: Meeting of the United States Travel and Tourism Advisory Board

AGENCY: International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of an Open Meeting.

SUMMARY: The United States Travel and Tourism Advisory Board (Board) will hold its second meeting of the term on Tuesday, July 29, 2014. The Board was re-chartered in August 2013, to advise the Secretary of Commerce on matters relating to the travel and tourism industry. At the meeting, members will discuss the May 22 presidential memorandum establishing a national goal and developing airport specific action plans to enhance the entry process for international travelers to the United States, available at the White House Web site at <http://www.whitehouse.gov/the-press-office/2014/05/22/presidential-memorandum-establishing-national-goal-and-developing-airpor>. The Board’s newly established subcommittees will also present initial reports and draft work plans on the Board’s anticipated work examining entry, visa, infrastructure, Brand USA, cultural and natural heritage and data. The Board will deliberate the plans so that subcommittee work may begin. The agenda may change to accommodate Board business. The final agenda will be posted on the Department of Commerce Web site for the Board at <http://trade.gov/ttab>, at least one week in advance of the meeting.

DATES: Tuesday, July, 29, 2014, 10:00 a.m.–1:00 p.m. Central Daylight Time and open for public comments.

ADDRESSES: Radisson Blu, 2100 Killebrew Drive, Bloomington, MN 55425.

The meeting room will be provided upon request.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Jennifer Pilat, the United States Travel and Tourism Advisory Board, Room 4043, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230, telephone: 202–482–4501, email: jennifer.pilat@trade.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background: The Board advises the Secretary of Commerce on matters relating to the U.S. travel and tourism industry.

Public Participation: The meeting will be open to the public and will be