information requested includes the name of the Office Director/Regional Administrator or Chairman, as appropriate, the traveler's identifying information, purpose of travel, listing of the trip coordinators, other NRC's travelers and contractors attending the same meeting, and a proposed itinerary. Revisions to NRC's Management Directives 14.1 and 5.13 require each traveler to obtain a briefing on the most recent status of the threat environment prior to travel, and to requisition government issued communication devices such as cell phones and laptops for use while travelling. These and other procedural changes necessitated a redesign of NRC Form 445.

Submit, by September 2, 2014, comments that address the following

questions:

- 1. Is the proposed collection of information necessary for the NRC to properly perform its functions? Does the information have practical utility?
 - 2. Is the burden estimate accurate?3. Is there a way to enhance the
- quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected?
- 4. How can the burden of the information collection be minimized, including the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology?

The public may examine and have copied for a fee, publicly-available documents, including the draft supporting statement, at the NRC's Public Document Room, Room O–1F21, One White Flint North, 11555 Rockville Pike, Rockville, Maryland 20852. The OMB clearance requests are available at the NRC's Web site: http://www.nrc.gov/public-involve/doc-comment/omb/. The document will be available on the NRC's home page site for 60 days after the signature date of this notice.

Comments submitted in writing or in electronic form will be made available for public inspection. Because vour comments will not be edited to remove any identifying or contact information, the NRC cautions you against including any information in your submission that you do not want to be publicly disclosed. Comments submitted should reference Docket No. NRC-2014-0105. You may submit your comments by any of the following methods. Electronic comments go to http:// www.regulations.gov and search for Docket No. NRC-2014-0105. Mail comments to the Acting NRC Clearance Officer, Brenda Miles (T-5 F53), U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555-0001.

Questions about the information collection requirements may be directed to the Acting NRC Clearance Officer, Brenda Miles (T–5 F53), U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555–0001; telephone: 301–415– 7884, or by email to

INFOCOLLECTS.Resource@NRC.GOV.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 26th day of June, 2014.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission. **Brenda Miles**,

Acting NRC Clearance Officer, Office of Information Services.

[FR Doc. 2014–15445 Filed 7–1–14; 8:45 am] **BILLING CODE 7590–01–P**

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

Advisory Committee on the Medical Uses of Isotopes: Meeting Notice

AGENCY: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

ACTION: Notice of Meeting.

SUMMARY: The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) will convene a teleconference meeting of the Advisory Committee on the Medical Uses of Isotopes (ACMUI) on August 20, 2014, to discuss the revisions to the ACMUI bylaws. Meeting information, including a copy of the agenda and handouts, will be available at http://www.nrc.gov/reading-rm/doc-collections/acmui/meetings/2014.html. The agenda and handouts may also be obtained by contacting Ms. Sophie Holiday using the information below.

DATES: The teleconference meeting will be held on Wednesday, August 20, 2014, 1:30 p.m. to 3:00 p.m. Eastern Daylight Time (EDT).

Public Participation: Any member of the public who wishes to participate in the teleconference should contact Ms. Holiday using the contact information below.

Contact Information: Sophie Holiday, email: sophie.holiday@nrc.gov, telephone: (301) 415–7865.

Conduct of the Meeting

Dr. Bruce Thomadsen, ACMUI Chairman, will preside over the meeting. Dr. Thomadsen will conduct the meeting in a manner that will facilitate the orderly conduct of business. The following procedures apply to public participation in the meeting:

1. Persons who wish to provide a written statement should submit an electronic copy to Ms. Holiday at the contact information listed above. All submittals must be received by August 15, 2014, three business days prior to the meeting, and must pertain to the topic on the agenda for the meeting.

- 2. Questions and comments from members of the public will be permitted during the meetings, at the discretion of the Chairman.
- 3. The draft transcript and meeting summary will be available on ACMUI's Web site http://www.nrc.gov/reading-rm/doc-collections/acmui/meetings/2014.html on or about October 1, 2014.

This meeting will be held in accordance with the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended (primarily Section 161a); the Federal Advisory Committee Act (5 U.S.C. App); and the Commission's regulations in Title 10 of the Code of Federal Regulations, Part 7.

Dated: June 26, 2014.

Andrew L. Bates,

Advisory Committee Management Officer. [FR Doc. 2014–15575 Filed 7–1–14; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590-01-P

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

[NRC-2012-0237]

Regulatory Treatment of Non-Safety Systems for Passive Advanced Light Water Reactors

AGENCY: Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

ACTION: Standard review plan; issuance.

SUMMARY: The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) is issuing a final revision to the following section of NUREG-0800, "Standard Review Plan for the Review of Safety Analysis Reports for Nuclear Power Plants: LWR Edition" Section 19.3, "Regulatory Treatment of Non-Safety Systems (RTNSS) for Passive Advanced Light Water Reactors."

DATES: The effective date of this Standard Review Plan (SRP) update is August 1, 2014.

ADDRESSES: Please refer to Docket ID NRC–2012–0237 when contacting the NRC about the availability of information regarding this document. You may obtain publicly-available information related to this document using any of the following methods:

- using any of the following methods:
 Federal Rulemaking Web site: Go to http://www.regulations.gov and search for Docket ID NRC-2012-0237. Address questions about NRC dockets to Carol Gallagher; telephone: 301-287-3422; email: Carol.Gallagher@nrc.gov. For technical questions, contact the individual listed in the FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT section of this document.
- NRC's Agencywide Documents Access and Management System (ADAMS): You may access publicly-

available documents online in the ADAMS Public Documents collection at http://www.nrc.gov/reading-rm/ adams.html. To begin the search, select "ADAMS Public Documents" and then select "Begin Web-based ADAMS Search." For problems with ADAMS, please contact the NRC's Public Document Room (PDR) reference staff at 1-800-397-4209, 301-415-4737, or by email to pdr.resource@nrc.gov. The final revision for Section 19.3, "Regulatory Treatment of Non-Safety Systems (RTNSS) for Passive Advanced Light Water Reactors." is available under ADAMS Accession No ML14035A149.

- NRC's PDR: You may examine and purchase copies of public documents at the NRC's PDR, Room O1–F21, One White Flint North, 11555 Rockville Pike Rockville, Maryland 20852.
- The NRC posts its issued staff guidance on the NRC's external Web page at http://www.nrc.gov/reading-rm/doc-collections/nuregs/staff/sr0800/.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Jonathan DeGange, Office of New Reactors, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555–0001; telephone: 301–415–6992 or email: Jonathan.Degange@nrc.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. Background

On October 12, 2012 (77 FR 62270) the NRC staff published for public comment the initial issuance of Section 19.3, "Regulatory Treatment of Non-Safety Systems (RTNSS) for Passive Advanced Light Water Reactors," (ADAMS Accession No. ML12128A405).

The NRC staff received comment submissions on the proposed revision. The NRC staff made several changes to the proposed revision after consideration of the comments. Additionally, in July 2013 (78 FR 41436) the staff re-noticed the draft SRP section to include a revised position on treatment of the high winds external hazard for certain RTNSS structures, systems and components. Comments from both the original request for comment and subsequent re-issuance are documented alongside the NRC staff's respective response in ADAMS under Accession No. ML14035A148. A redline strikeout comparing the proposed draft and final revisions can be found in ADAMS under Accession No. ML14035A146.

II. Backfitting and Issue Finality

This SRP provides guidance to the staff for reviewing applications for a construction permit and an operating license under part 50 of Title 10 of the Code of Federal Regulations (10 CFR) with respect to the regulatory treatment of non-safety systems. The draft SRP would also provide guidance for reviewing an application for a standard design approval, a standard design certification, a combined license, and a manufacturing license under 10 CFR part 52 with respect to these same subject matters.

Issuance of this final SRP section does not constitute backfitting as defined in 10 CFR 50.109 (the Backfit Rule) and is not otherwise inconsistent with the issue finality provisions in 10 CFR part 52. The NRC staff's position is based upon the following considerations:

1. The SRP positions do not constitute backfitting, inasmuch as the SRP is internal guidance directed at the NRC staff with respect to their regulatory responsibilities.

The SRP provides guidance to the staff on how to review an application for NRC regulatory approval in the form of licensing. Changes in internal staff guidance are not matters for which either nuclear power plant applicants or licensees are protected under either the Backfit Rule or the issue finality provisions of 10 CFR part 52.

2. Backfitting and issue finality—with certain exceptions discussed below—do not protect current or future applicants.

Applicants and potential applicants are not, with certain exceptions, protected by either the Backfit Rule or any issue finality provisions under 10 CFR part 52. This is because neither the Backfit Rule nor the issue finality provisions were intended to apply to every NRC action which substantially changes the expectations of current and future applicants.

The exceptions to the general principle are applicable whenever an applicant references a 10 CFR part 52 license (e.g., an early site permit) and/ or NRC regulatory approval (e.g., a design certification rule) with specified issue finality provisions. The staff does not currently intend to impose the positions represented in this SRP section in a manner that is inconsistent with any issue finality provisions of 10 CFR part 52. If in the future the NRC staff does indeed intend to impose positions inconsistent with these issue finality provisions, the NRC staff must address the regulatory criteria for avoiding issue finality.

The staff notes that with respect to economic simplified boiling water reactor (ESBWR) design certification application currently under consideration by the NRC, the NRC staff does not intend to reevaluate the adequacy of RTNSS SSCs, because for the ESBWR design already meets the guidance discussed in this SRP Section.

3. The NRC staff has no intention to impose the SRP positions on existing nuclear power plant licenses or regulatory approvals either now or in the future (absent a voluntary request for change from the licensee, holder of a regulatory approval, or a design certification applicant).

The staff does not intend to impose or apply the positions described in the SRP section to existing (already issued) licenses (e.g., operating licenses and combined licenses) and regulatory approvals—in this case, design certifications and combined licenses. Hence, the issuance of this SRP guidance even if considered guidance which is within the purview of the issue finality provisions in 10 CFR part 52need not be evaluated as if it were a backfit or as being inconsistent with issue finality provisions. If, in the future, the staff seeks to impose a position in the SRP on holders of already issued licenses in a manner which does not provide issue finality as described in the applicable issue finality provision, then the staff must make the showing as set forth in the Backfit Rule, or address the criteria for avoiding issue finality as described in the applicable issue finality provision.

III. Congressional Review Act

This action is a rule as defined in the Congressional Review Act (5 U.S.C. 801–808). However, the Office of Management and Budget has not found it to be a major rule as defined in the Congressional Review Act.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 23rd day of June, 2014.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission. **Joseph Colaccino, Chief,**

 $Policy \ Branch, Division \ of \ Advanced \ Reactors \\ and \ Rule making, \ Of fice \ of \ New \ Reactors.$

[FR Doc. 2014–15572 Filed 7–1–14; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 7590–01–P

POSTAL SERVICE

Transfer of Inbound Surface Parcel Post (at UPU Rates) to Competitive Product List

AGENCY: Postal ServiceTM.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The Postal Service hereby provides notice that it has filed a request with the Postal Regulatory Commission to transfer Inbound Surface Parcel Post (at UPU rates) from the market-dominant product list to the competitive product list.

DATES: Effective date: July 2, 2014. **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Caroline Brownlie, 202–268–3010.