e.g., permitting electronic submissions of responses.

States will continue to use the State Plan Narrative to provide a general summary of the UI program in the state. Additionally, states are to include in the Narrative: (1) Performance in comparison to the Government Performance and Results Act (GPRA) goals; (2) results of customer satisfaction surveys (optional); and (3) actions planned to correct deficiencies regarding UI programs and reporting requirements. Actions planned to correct deficiencies for Secretary Standards, Core Measures, and the Data Validation (DV) program are expected to be addressed in corrective action plans. Currently, the Employment and Training Administration is soliciting comments concerning the extension of ET Handbook No. 336.

III. Current Actions

Type of Review: extension without

Title: Unemployment Insurance State Quality Service Plan (SQSP). OMB Number: 1205–0132. Affected Public: State Workforce

Total Annual Respondents: 53. Reporting Frequency: Biannual,

annual, and quarterly.

Estimated Total Annual Responses:

Average Time per Response: 2.86

Estimated Total Annual Burden Hours: 1530 hours.

Total Estimated Annual Other cost Burden: \$0.

Comments submitted in response to this comment request will be summarized and/or included in the request for OMB approval of the ICR; they will also become a matter of public record.

Eric M. Seleznow,

Acting Assistant Secretary for Employment and Training, Labor.

[FR Doc. 2014-09748 Filed 4-28-14; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4510-FW-P

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

Comment Request for Information Collection for ETA 9165, **Unemployment Insurance** Supplemental Budget Request **Activities; New Collection**

AGENCY: Employment and Training Administration, Labor.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The Department of Labor, as part of its continuing effort to reduce paperwork and respondent burden, conducts a preclearance consultation program to provide the general public and Federal agencies with an opportunity to comment on proposed and/or continuing collection of information in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (PRA95) [44 U.S.C. 3506(c)(2)(A)]. This program helps to ensure that requested data can be provided in the desired format, reporting burden (time and financial resources) is minimized, collection instruments are clearly understood, and the impact of collection requirements on respondents can be properly assessed.

Currently, the Employment and Training Administration is soliciting comments concerning the new collection of data on the ETA 9165, Supplemental Budget Request Activities. The new ETA 9165 will be used by the National and regional offices to monitor the progress of State Workforce Agencies in successfully implementing projects funded through Supplemental Budget Requests. This information will include the funded project title and purpose, the project timeline and milestones, and a narrative description of the project implementation status. It will also include explanations of any delays in implementation, proposals for addressing any problems that caused the delay and new project timelines if applicable, a self-reported designation of the implementation status (i.e. complete/ahead of schedule/on schedule/or behind schedule), and a discussion of identified technical assistance needs for the successful completion of the project.

DATES: Submit written comments to the office listed in the addresses section below on or before June 30, 2014.

ADDRESSES: Send written comments to Brad Wiggins, U.S. Department of Labor, **Employment and Training** Administration, Office of Unemployment Insurance, 200 Constitution Avenue NW., Frances Perkins Bldg. Room S-4524, Washington, DC 20210, telephone number (202) 693-3029 (this is not a toll-free number) or by email: wiggins.brad@dol.gov. Individuals with hearing or speech impairments may access the telephone number above via TTY by calling the toll-free Federal Information Relay Service at 1-877-889-5627 (TTY/TDD). To obtain a copy of the proposed information collection request (ICR), please contact the person listed above.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. Background

The new ETA 9165 report will contain information on activities funded by the Employment and Training Administration through Unemployment Insurance Supplemental Budget Requests, including the funded project/ activity, the targeted start and completion dates for the project/ activity, and the quarterly implementation status. These data are needed for budget preparation and control, program planning and evaluation, personnel assignment, program oversight and assessment, actuarial and program research, and for accounting to Congress and the public.

II. Review Focus

The Department is particularly interested in comments which:

- * Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary to describe the quarterly status of funded Supplemental Budget Request activities, including whether the information will have practical utility;
- * Evaluate the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;
- * Enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and
- * Minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, e.g., permitting electronic submissions of responses.

III. Current Actions

Type of Review: New collection. Title: Unemployment Insurance Supplemental Budget Request Activities.

OMB Number: 1205-0NEW. Affected Public: state governments. Cite/Reference/Form/etc: ETA 9165. Estimated Total Annual Respondents:

Annual Frequency: Quarterly. Estimated Total Annual Responses:

Estimated Total Annual Burden Hours: 1,590 hours.

Total Estimated Annual Other Costs Burden: \$0.

Comments submitted in response to this notice will be summarized and/or included in the request for OMB

approval of the ICR; they will also become a matter of public record.

Eric M. Seleznow,

Acting Assistant Secretary for Employment and Training, Labor.

[FR Doc. 2014–09749 Filed 4–28–14; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4510–FW–P

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-83,317]

Wind Clean Corporation; Coleman, Texas; Notice of Negative Determination Regarding Application for Reconsideration

By application dated March 10, 2014, a Trade Adjustment Assistance (TAA) Coordinator requested administrative reconsideration of the Department of Labor's negative determination regarding eligibility to apply for TAA applicable to workers and former workers of the subject firm. The negative determination was issued on February 24, 2014.

Pursuant to 29 CFR 90.18(c) reconsideration may be granted under the following circumstances:

- (1) If it appears on the basis of facts not previously considered that the determination complained of was erroneous:
- (2) If it appears that the determination complained of was based on a mistake in the determination of facts not previously considered; or
- (3) If in the opinion of the Certifying Officer, a misinterpretation of facts or of the law justified reconsideration of the decision.

The request for reconsideration asserts that because "Wind Clean provides coating services to Trinity Structural Towers in Coleman, Texas" and workers of Trinity Structural Towers are eligible to apply for TAA, Section 222(b) of the Trade Act, as amended, has been met.

Section 222(b) of the Trade Act, 19 U.S.C. § 2272(b), requires that the workers' firm be a Supplier or Downstream Producer (as the case may be) to a firm that employed a worker group eligible to apply for TAA under Section 222(a) of the Trade Act and that the supply or production (as the case may be) is related to the article or service that was the basis for the Section 222(a) certification.

Workers and former workers of Trinity Structural Towers, Coleman, Texas (TA–W–83,318) are eligible to apply for TAA because Section 222(e) of the Trade Act, as amended, was met.

The petitioner did not supply facts not previously considered; nor provide additional documentation indicating that there was either (1) a mistake in the determination of facts not previously considered or (2) a misinterpretation of facts or of the law justifying reconsideration of the initial determination. Based on these findings, the Department determines that 29 CFR 90.18(c) has not been met.

Conclusion

After careful review of the application and investigative findings, I conclude that there has been no error or misinterpretation of the law or of the facts which would justify reconsideration of the Department of Labor's prior decision. Accordingly, the application is denied.

Signed in Washington, DC, this 8th day of April 2014.

Del Min Amy Chen,

Certifying Officer, Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. 2014–09754 Filed 4–28–14; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4510–FN–P

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-83,194]

Merck Sharp & Dohme Corp., (MSD), a Subsidiary of Merck & Co., Inc., West Point, Pennsylvania; Notice of Affirmative Determination Regarding Application for Reconsideration

By application dated March 10, 2014, the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania requested administrative reconsideration of the negative determination regarding workers' eligibility to apply for Trade Adjustment Assistance (TAA) applicable to workers and former workers of the subject firm. The determination was issued on February 18, 2014 and the Department's Notice of determination was published in the Federal Register on March 14, 2014 (79 FR 14543). Workers at the subject firm are engaged in activities related to the production of pharmaceuticals and vaccines for human use.

The negative determination was based on the Department's findings that the subject firm did not shift production of pharmaceuticals and vaccines to a foreign country (or acquire such production from a foreign country) and that imports of articles like or directly competitive with the pharmaceuticals and vaccines produced by the workers did not increase during the period under investigation.

Pursuant to 29 CFR 90.18(c) reconsideration may be granted under the following circumstances:

- (1) If it appears on the basis of facts not previously considered that the determination complained of was erroneous;
- (2) If it appears that the determination complained of was based on a mistake in the determination of facts not previously considered; or
- (3) If in the opinion of the Certifying Officer, a misinterpretation of facts or of the law justified reconsideration of the decision.

The request for reconsideration included information that indicates that the determination was based on facts not previously considered. The request for reconsideration stated that the worker group at the subject facility consists of three separately identifiable worker sub-groups (research and development, manufacturing, and global support networks), that the scope of the initial investigation was "overly narrow" because workers in the research and development sub-group and/or the global support networks subgroup "may be engaged in activities totally separate and unrelated from' activities of the manufacturing subgroup. The request for reconsideration included supporting documents.

The Department has carefully reviewed the request for reconsideration, including the attachments, and the existing record, and has determined that the Department will conduct further investigation to determine if the workers meet the eligibility requirements of the Trade Act of 1974, as amended.

Conclusion

After careful review of the application, I conclude that the claim is of sufficient weight to justify reconsideration of the U.S. Department of Labor's prior decision. The application is, therefore, granted.

Signed at Washington, DC, this 8th day of April, 2014.

Del Min Amy Chen,

Certifying Officer, Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. 2014-09753 Filed 4-28-14; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-FN-P