Privacy Act

Anyone is able to search the electronic form of all comments received into any of our dockets by the name of the individual submitting the comment (or signing the comment, if submitted on behalf of an association, business, labor union, etc.). You may review DOT's complete Privacy Act Statement in the **Federal Register** published on April 11, 2000 (Volume 65, Number 70; Pages 19477–78).

By Order of the Maritime Administrator. Dated: March 20, 2014.

Julie P. Agarwal,

Secretary, Maritime Administration. [FR Doc. 2014–06972 Filed 3–27–14; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4910–81–P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Maritime Administration

[Docket No. MARAD-2014 0047]

Requested Administrative Waiver of the Coastwise Trade Laws: Vessel IMPOSSIBLE DREAM; Invitation for Public Comments

AGENCY: Maritime Administration, Department of Transportation. **ACTION:** Notice.

SUMMARY: As authorized by 46 U.S.C. 12121, the Secretary of Transportation, as represented by the Maritime Administration (MARAD), is authorized to grant waivers of the U.S.-build requirement of the coastwise laws under certain circumstances. A request for such a waiver has been received by MARAD. The vessel, and a brief description of the proposed service, is listed below.

DATES: Submit comments on or before April 28, 2014.

ADDRESSES: Comments should refer to docket number MARAD-2014-0047. Written comments may be submitted by hand or by mail to the Docket Clerk, U.S. Department of Transportation, Docket Operations, M-30, West Building Ground Floor, Room W12–140, 1200 New Jersey Avenue SE., Washington, DC 20590. You may also send comments electronically via the Internet at *http://www.regulations.gov* All comments will become part of this docket and will be available for inspection and copying at the above address between 10 a.m. and 5 p.m., E.T., Monday through Friday, except federal holidays. An electronic version of this document and all documents entered into this docket is available on the World Wide Web at http:// www.regulations.gov.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Linda Williams, U.S. Department of Transportation, Maritime Administration, 1200 New Jersey Avenue SE., Room W23–453, Washington, DC 20590. Telephone 202– 366–0903, Email *Linda.Williams*@ *dot.gov.*

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: $\ensuremath{\mathrm{As}}$

described by the applicant the intended service of the vessel IMPOSSIBLE DREAM is:

Intended Commercial Use Of Vessel: "Day, Multiple Day, Week, Multiple week charters"

Geographic Region: "Texas, Louisiana, Mississippi, Alabama, Florida, Georgia, South Carolina, North Carolina, Virginia, Maryland, Delaware, Washington DC, Delaware, New Jersey, New York, Rhode Island, Connecticut, Massachusetts, New Hampshire, Maine"

The complete application is given in DOT docket MARAD-2014-0047 at http://www.regulations.gov Interested parties may comment on the effect this action may have on U.S. vessel builders or businesses in the U.S. that use U.S.flag vessels. If MARAD determines, in accordance with 46 U.S.C. 12121 and MARAD's regulations at 46 CFR part 388, that the issuance of the waiver will have an unduly adverse effect on a U.S.vessel builder or a business that uses U.S.-flag vessels in that business, a waiver will not be granted. Comments should refer to the docket number of this notice and the vessel name in order for MARAD to properly consider the comments. Comments should also state the commenter's interest in the waiver application, and address the waiver criteria given in § 388.4 of MARAD's regulations at 46 CFR part 388.

Privacy Act

Anyone is able to search the electronic form of all comments received into any of our dockets by the name of the individual submitting the comment (or signing the comment, if submitted on behalf of an association, business, labor union, etc.). You may review DOT's complete Privacy Act Statement in the **Federal Register** published on April 11, 2000 (Volume 65, Number 70; Pages 19477–78).

By Order of the Maritime Administrator. Dated: March 20, 2014.

Julie P. Agarwal,

Secretary, Maritime Administration. [FR Doc. 2014–06969 Filed 3–27–14; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4910–81–P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Maritime Administration

[Docket No. MARAD-2014-0048]

Requested Administrative Waiver of the Coastwise Trade Laws: Vessel GONE WITH THE WIND; Invitation for Public Comments

AGENCY: Maritime Administration, Department of Transportation. **ACTION:** Notice.

SUMMARY: As authorized by 46 U.S.C. 12121, the Secretary of Transportation, as represented by the Maritime Administration (MARAD), is authorized to grant waivers of the U.S.-build requirement of the coastwise laws under certain circumstances. A request for such a waiver has been received by MARAD. The vessel, and a brief description of the proposed service, is listed below.

DATES: Submit comments on or before April 28, 2014.

ADDRESSES: Comments should refer to docket number MARAD-2014-0048. Written comments may be submitted by hand or by mail to the Docket Clerk, U.S. Department of Transportation, Docket Operations, M-30, West Building Ground Floor, Room W12-140, 1200 New Jersey Avenue SE., Washington, DC 20590. You may also send comments electronically via the Internet at http://www.regulations.gov. All comments will become part of this docket and will be available for inspection and copying at the above address between 10 a.m. and 5 p.m., E.T., Monday through Friday, except federal holidays. An electronic version of this document and all documents entered into this docket is available on the World Wide Web at *http://* www.regulations.gov.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Linda Williams, U.S. Department of Transportation, Maritime Administration, 1200 New Jersey Avenue SE., Room W23–453, Washington, DC 20590. Telephone 202– 366–0903, Email *Linda.Williams@ dot.gov.*

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: $\ensuremath{\mathrm{As}}$

described by the applicant the intended service of the vessel GONE WITH THE WIND is:

Intended Commercial Use Of Vessel: "Captained Charter."

Geographic Region: "Florida, Maryland, Puerto Rico."

The complete application is given in DOT docket MARAD–2014–0048 at *http://www.regulations.gov.* Interested parties may comment on the effect this

action may have on U.S. vessel builders or businesses in the U.S. that use U.S.flag vessels. If MARAD determines, in accordance with 46 U.S.C. 12121 and MARAD's regulations at 46 CFR part 388, that the issuance of the waiver will have an unduly adverse effect on a U.S.vessel builder or a business that uses U.S.-flag vessels in that business, a waiver will not be granted. Comments should refer to the docket number of this notice and the vessel name in order for MARAD to properly consider the comments. Comments should also state the commenter's interest in the waiver application, and address the waiver criteria given in § 388.4 of MARAD's regulations at 46 CFR Part 388.

Privacy Act

Anyone is able to search the electronic form of all comments received into any of our dockets by the name of the individual submitting the comment (or signing the comment, if submitted on behalf of an association, business, labor union, etc.). You may review DOT's complete Privacy Act Statement in the **Federal Register** published on April 11, 2000 (Volume 65, Number 70; Pages 19477–78).

By Order of the Maritime Administrator. Dated: March 20, 2014.

Julie P. Agarwal,

Secretary, Maritime Administration. [FR Doc. 2014–06971 Filed 3–27–14; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4910–81–P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

National Highway Traffic Safety Administration

[Docket No. NHTSA-2013-0065; Notice 2]

PACCAR Incorporated, Grant of Petition for Decision of Inconsequential Noncompliance

AGENCY: National Highway Traffic Safety Administration (NHTSA), Department of Transportation (DOT). **ACTION:** Grant of Petition.

SUMMARY: PACCAR Incorporated (PACCAR) has determined that certain model year (MY) 2013 Kenworth and Peterbilt brand chassis cab incomplete vehicles do not fully comply with paragraph S3.1.3 of Federal Motor Vehicle Safety Standard (FMVSS) No. 102, *Transmission Shift Position Sequence, Starter Interlock, and Transmission Braking Effect.* PACCAR has filed an appropriate revised report dated March 1, 2013, pursuant to 49 CFR part 573, *Defect and Noncompliance Responsibility and Reports.* **ADDRESSES:** For further information on this decision contact Vince Williams, Office of Vehicle Safety Compliance, the National Highway Traffic Safety Administration (NHTSA), telephone (202) 366–2319, facsimile (202) 366–5930.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. *PACCAR's Petition:* Pursuant to 49 U.S.C. 30118(d) and 30120(h) and the rule implementing those provisions at 49 CFR part 556, PACCAR has petitioned for an exemption from the notification and remedy requirements of 49 U.S.C. Chapter 301 on the basis that this noncompliance is inconsequential to motor vehicle safety.

Notice of receipt of the petition was published, with a 30-day public comment period, on September 26, 2013 in the **Federal Register** (78 FR 59419). No comments were received. To view the petition and all supporting documents log onto the Federal Docket Management System (FDMS) Web site at: *http://www.regulations.gov/*. Then follow the online search instructions to locate docket number "NHTSA–2013– 0065."

II. *Chassis Cabs Involved:* Affected are approximately 452 Kenworth MY 2013 model K270 and model K370 chassis cabs that were manufactured between March 29, 2012 and November 2, 2012, and MY 2013 Peterbilt model 210 and model 220 chassis cabs that were manufactured between March 21, 2012 and November 6, 2012. Hereafter these vehicles are referred to as trucks.

III. Noncompliance: PACCAR explains that the noncompliance is that the starter interlock in the affected automatic transmission trucks does not conform to paragraph S3.1.3 of FMVSS No. 102 because the starter interlock is based on a system that differs from the system specified in the standard. Although the starter interlock on these trucks prevents the transmission from propelling the vehicle and, therefore, is effective in preventing truck "roll away," the engineering of the starter interlock is not consistent with the specification prescribed in paragraph \$3.1.3 of FMVSS No. 102.

IV. Summary of PACCAR'S Analyses: PACCAR stated its belief that the subject noncompliance is inconsequential to motor vehicle safety for the following reasons:

S3.1.3 of FMVSS No. 102 provides, in pertinent part: ". . . the engine starter shall be inoperative when the transmission shift position is in a forward or reverse drive position." Assuming that the term "transmission shift position" refers to the position of the gear selector (as opposed to the

position of the transmission itself), the subject trucks do not comply with this provision as written. That is because the starter interlock system in these vehicles, which is an electronic system that was originally used in PACCAR's European trucks, differs from the system specified in S3.1.3. PACCAR's starter interlock system effectively achieves the objectives of S3.1.3 by precluding the possibility of a powered rollaway or lurching when the vehicle is started. However, the manner in which the system functions is not consistent with the design that is prescribed in the standard.

The engine in the subject trucks can be started with the gear selector in any position, thus creating what appears to be a technical noncompliance with S3.1.3. However, even if the engine is started when the gear selector indicates a forward or reverse gear, the transmission itself will remain in neutral, and the message "Gearshift Inhibited" will be prominently displayed to the driver. The transmission can be shifted into a forward or reverse gear only after the gear selector is first moved into the neutral position and then moved back into gear while the service brake is applied. At that point, the "Gearshift Inhibited" message will be replaced by a "Transmission Warning" message, which will remain illuminated until the engine is turned off and then restarted.

As NHTSA explained in a 2005 Final Rule that amended FMVSS No. 102 to allow idle stop technology, "The purpose of [S3.1.3] is to prevent injuries and death from the unexpected motion of a vehicle when the driver starts the vehicle with the transmission inadvertently in a forward or reverse gear." 70 FR 38040 (July 1, 2005). The agency also referred to "S3.1.3's underlying purpose of ensuring that the vehicle will not lurch forward or backward during driver activation of the system in the subject vehicles completely prevents any possibility of "unexpected motion" or vehicle "lurching" because the transmission remains neutralized, even if the engine is started with the gear selector indicating a forward or reverse gear. Thus, the PACCAR system, which has been used successfully for more than three years in PACCAR's European vehicles, fully satisfies the purposes of S3.1.3 and achieves the same level of safety as that provision. Moreover, PACCAR is unaware of any consumer complaints, accidents, or injuries related to this design.