

• Ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on respondents.

Comments that you submit in response to this notice are a matter of public record. Before including your address, phone number, email address, or other personal identifying information in your comment, you should be aware that your entire comment, including your personal identifying information, may be made publicly available at any time. While you can ask OMB in your comment to withhold your personal identifying information from public review, we cannot guarantee that it will be done.

Dated: February 25, 2014.

**Ramie Lynch,**

*Acting Information Collection Clearance Officer, National Park Service.*

[FR Doc. 2014-04446 Filed 2-27-14; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4310-EH-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

### National Park Service

[NPS-IMR-ROMO-14032; PPIMROMO60, PAN00AN53.NM0000]

### Grand Ditch Breach Restoration Final Environmental Impact Statement, Record of Decision, Rocky Mountain National Park, Colorado

**AGENCY:** National Park Service, Interior.

**ACTION:** Notice of availability.

**SUMMARY:** Pursuant to the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969, 42 U.S.C. 4332(2)(C), the National Park Service announces the availability of the Record of Decision for the Grand Ditch Breach Restoration, Rocky Mountain National Park, Colorado. On August 14, 2013, the Regional Director, Intermountain Region approved the Record of Decision for the project. As soon as practicable, the National Park Service will begin to implement the Preferred Alternative contained in the FEIS issued on May 31, 2013.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Ben Bobowski, Division Chief, 1000 US Highway 36, Estes Park, CO 80517-8937, Telephone (970) 586-1206, [romo\\_information@nps.gov](mailto:romo_information@nps.gov).

**ADDRESSES:** Copies of the Record of Decision can be obtained from the contact listed above or online at <http://parkplanning.nps.gov/romo> or by email at [romo\\_information@nps.gov](mailto:romo_information@nps.gov).

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The National Park Service (NPS) considered five alternatives for the restoration of the Grand Ditch breach. Alternative A, the no action alternative; Alternative B,

minimal restoration; Alternative C, high restoration; Alternative D, the NPS preferred alternative; and Alternative E, maximum restoration. Alternative D, the NPS preferred alternative, is the selected action and will emphasize the removal of large debris deposits at the confluence of Lulu Creek and the Colorado River and in the Lulu City wetland. Actions will be conducted to stabilize limited areas of unstable 2003 debris deposits along slopes and banks throughout the project area. Stabilization actions will be implemented in areas with steep slopes, where vegetation has not reestablished since the 2003 ditch breach occurred, and outside the channel and floodplain that are not exposed to high flows. These actions will enhance hydrologic conditions and remove debris sources that could erode and be transported downstream as sediment causing continued degradation. Sediment would also be removed in localized areas along the Colorado River to reconnect the river with some previously blocked floodplain locations. Hydrology through the Lulu City wetland will be restored in the historical central channel through removal of large, localized deposits of debris and sediment, relying on the historical channel to transport river flow. Channel restoration will achieve stream channels that are more hydrologically and hydraulically stable and provide streambed and channel dynamic stability. Small-scale motorized equipment may be employed for stabilization and revegetation activities, while larger equipment may be employed for excavation of large debris deposits. The selected action represents basic hydraulic engineering requirements to ensure that flows are naturally conveyed within the stream channel cross-sections and that the channels will maintain hydrologic function, while accommodating the natural range of overbank flooding of adjacent floodplains and wetlands. The Record of Decision includes a statement of the decision made, synopses of other alternatives considered, the basis for the decision, a description of the environmentally preferable alternative, a finding of no impairment of park resources and values, a listing of measures to minimize environmental harm, and an overview of public involvement in the decision-making process.

Dated: December 10, 2013.

**Colin Campbell,**

*Acting Regional Director, Intermountain Region, National Park Service.*

[FR Doc. 2014-04472 Filed 2-27-14; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4912-CB-P**

## INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 332-503]

### Earned Import Allowance Program: Evaluation of the Effectiveness of the Program for Certain Apparel From the Dominican Republic, Fifth Annual Review

**AGENCY:** United States International Trade Commission.

**ACTION:** Notice of opportunity to provide written comments in connection with the Commission's fifth annual review.

**SUMMARY:** The U.S. International Trade Commission (Commission) has announced its schedule, including deadlines for filing written submissions, in connection with the preparation of its fifth annual review in investigation No. 332-503, *Earned Import Allowance Program: Evaluation of the Effectiveness of the Program for Certain Apparel from the Dominican Republic, Fifth Annual Review*.

#### DATES:

*April 11, 2014:* Deadline for filing written submissions.

*July 25, 2014:* Transmittal of fifth report to House Committee on Ways and Means and Senate Committee on Finance.

**ADDRESSES:** All Commission offices, including the Commission's hearing rooms, are located in the United States International Trade Commission Building, 500 E Street SW., Washington, DC. All written submissions, including statements, and briefs, should be addressed to the Secretary, United States International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW., Washington, DC 20436. The public record for this investigation may be viewed on the Commission's electronic docket (EDIS) at <http://edis.usitc.gov>.

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Project Leader Laura Rodriguez (202-205-3499 or [laura.rodriguez@usitc.gov](mailto:laura.rodriguez@usitc.gov)) for information specific to this investigation. For information on the legal aspects of this investigation, contact William Gearhart of the Commission's Office of the General Counsel (202-205-3091 or [william.gearhart@usitc.gov](mailto:william.gearhart@usitc.gov)). The media should contact Margaret O'Laughlin, Office of External Relations (202-205-1819 or [margaret.olaughlin@usitc.gov](mailto:margaret.olaughlin@usitc.gov)). Hearing-impaired individuals may obtain information on this matter by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal at 202-205-1810. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its Web site (<http://www.usitc.gov>). Persons

with mobility impairments who will need special assistance in gaining access to the Commission should contact the Office of the Secretary at 202–205–2000.

**Background:** Section 404 of the Dominican Republic–Central America–United States Free Trade Agreement Implementation Act (DR–CAFTA Act) (19 U.S.C. 4112) required the Secretary of Commerce to establish an Earned Import Allowance Program (EIAP) and directed the Commission to conduct annual reviews of the program to evaluate its effectiveness and make recommendations for improvements. Section 404 of the DR–CAFTA Act authorizes certain apparel articles wholly assembled in an eligible country to enter the United States free of duty if accompanied by a certificate that shows evidence of the purchase of certain U.S. fabric. The term “eligible country” is defined to mean the Dominican Republic. More specifically, the program allows producers (in the Dominican Republic) that purchase a certain quantity of qualifying U.S. fabric to produce certain cotton bottoms in the Dominican Republic to receive a credit that can be used to ship a certain quantity of eligible apparel using third-country fabrics from the Dominican Republic to the United States free of duty.

Section 404(d) directs the Commission to conduct an annual review of the program to evaluate the effectiveness of the program and make recommendations for improvements. The Commission is required to submit its reports containing the results of its reviews to the House Committee on Ways and Means and the Senate Committee on Finance. Copies of the Commission’s first four annual reviews are available on the Commission’s Web site at [www.usitc.gov](http://www.usitc.gov), including the fourth annual review, which was published on July 26, 2013 (ITC Publication 4417). The Commission expects to submit its report on its fifth annual review by July 25, 2014.

The Commission instituted this investigation pursuant to section 332(g) of the Tariff Act of 1930 to facilitate docketing of submissions and also to facilitate public access to Commission records through the Commission’s EDIS electronic records system.

**Submissions:** Interested parties are invited to file written submissions concerning this fifth annual review. All written submissions should be addressed to the Secretary and must conform to the provisions of section 201.8 of the Commission’s *Rules of Practice and Procedure* (19 CFR 201.8). Section 201.8 and the Commission’s Handbook on Filing Procedures require

that interested parties file documents electronically on or before the filing deadline and submit eight (8) true paper copies by 12:00 p.m. eastern time on the next business day. If confidential treatment of a document is requested, interested parties must file, at the same time as the eight paper copies, at least four (4) additional true paper copies in which the confidential information must be deleted (see the following paragraph for further information regarding confidential business information). Persons with questions regarding electronic filing should contact the Secretary (202–205–2000).

Any submissions that contain confidential business information must also conform to the requirements of section 201.6 of the Commission’s *Rules of Practice and Procedure* (19 CFR 201.6). Section 201.6 of the rules requires that the cover of the document and the individual pages be clearly marked as to whether they are the “confidential” or “non-confidential” version, and that the confidential business information is clearly identified by means of brackets. All written submissions, except for confidential business information, will be made available for inspection by interested parties.

The Commission intends to publish only a public report in this review. Consequently, the report that the Commission sends to the committees will not contain any confidential business information. Any confidential business information received by the Commission in this investigation and used in preparing its report will not be published in a manner that would reveal the operations of the firm supplying the information.

By order of the Commission.

Issued: February 24, 2014.

**Lisa R. Barton,**

*Acting Secretary to the Commission.*

[FR Doc. 2014–04334 Filed 2–27–14; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 7020–02–P**

## INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation Nos. 731–TA–1140–1142 (Review)]

### Uncovered Innerspring Units From China, South Africa, and Vietnam; Scheduling of Expedited Five-Year Reviews Concerning the Antidumping Duty Orders on Uncovered Innerspring Units From China, South Africa, and Vietnam

**AGENCY:** United States International Trade Commission.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** The Commission hereby gives notice of the scheduling of expedited reviews pursuant to section 751(c)(3) of the Tariff Act of 1930 (19 U.S.C. 1675(c)(3)) (the Act) to determine whether revocation of the antidumping duty orders on uncovered innerspring units from China, South Africa, and Vietnam would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of material injury within a reasonably foreseeable time. For further information concerning the conduct of these reviews and rules of general application, consult the Commission’s Rules of Practice and Procedure, part 201, subparts A through E (19 CFR part 201), and part 207, subparts A, D, E, and F (19 CFR part 207).

**DATES:** *Effective Date:* February 4, 2014.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Joanna Lo (202–205–1888), Office of Investigations, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW., Washington, DC 20436. Hearing-impaired persons can obtain information on this matter by contacting the Commission’s TDD terminal on 202–205–1810. Persons with mobility impairments who will need special assistance in gaining access to the Commission should contact the Office of the Secretary at 202–205–2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its internet server (<http://www.usitc.gov>). The public record for these reviews may be viewed on the Commission’s electronic docket (EDIS) at <http://edis.usitc.gov>.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

**Background.**—On February 4, 2014, the Commission determined that the domestic interested party group response to its notice of institution (78 FR 65711, November 1, 2013) of the subject five-year reviews was adequate and that the respondent interested party group response was inadequate. The Commission did not find any other circumstances that would warrant conducting full reviews.<sup>1</sup> Accordingly, the Commission determined that it would conduct expedited reviews pursuant to section 751(c)(3) of the Act.<sup>2</sup>

**Staff report.**—A staff report containing information concerning the subject matter of the reviews will be placed in the nonpublic record on

<sup>1</sup> A record of the Commissioner’s votes, the Commission’s statement on adequacy, and any individual Commissioner’s statements will be available from the Office of the Secretary and at the Commission’s Web site.

<sup>2</sup> Commissioner Shara L. Aranoff did not participate.