

other personal identifying information in your comment, you should be aware that your entire comment—including your personal identifying information—may be made publicly available at any time. While you can ask us in your comment to withhold your personal identifying information from public review, we cannot guarantee that we will be able to do so. All comments will be made part of the public record and will be electronically distributed to all Commission members.

Dated: December 16, 2013.

Alma Ripps,

Chief, Office of Policy

[FR Doc. 2013–30786 Filed 12–24–13; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310–WV–P

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

National Park Service

[NPS–NERO–GATE–14426; PPNEGATEB0, PPMVSCS1Z.Y00000]

Notice of January 10, 2014, Meeting of the Fort Hancock 21st Century Advisory Committee

AGENCY: National Park Service, Interior.

ACTION: Meeting notice.

SUMMARY: This notice sets forth the date of the seventh meeting of the Fort Hancock 21st Century Advisory Committee.

DATES: The public meeting of the Fort Hancock 21st Century Advisory Committee will be held on January 10, 2014, at 9:00 a.m. and adjourn 5:30 p.m. (Eastern) or earlier if meeting objectives are met.

ADDRESSES: The Committee will meet at The Chapel at Sandy Hook, Hartshorne Drive, Middletown, NJ 07732. Please check www.forthancock21stcentury.org for additional information. Written comments may be sent to John Warren, Park Ranger, Gateway National Recreation Area, 26 Hudson Road, Fort Hancock, NJ 07732, or submitted by email to: forthancock21stcentury@yahoo.com.

Agenda: Committee meeting will consist of the following:

1. Welcome and Introductory Remarks
2. Update on Working Group Progress
3. Assessment of Committee Needs
4. Potential Frameworks and Reuse Scenarios
5. Development of Committee Work Plan
6. Future Committee Activities and Meeting Schedule
7. Public Comment
8. Adjournment

The final agenda will be posted on www.forthancock21stcentury.org prior to each meeting.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Further information concerning the meeting may be obtained from John Warren, Park Ranger, Gateway National Recreation Area, 26 Hudson Road, Fort Hancock, NJ 07732, at (732) 872–5908 or email: forthancock21stcentury@yahoo.com, or visit the Committee Web site at www.forthancock21stcentury.org.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

In accordance with section 9(a)(2) of the Federal Advisory Committee Act (5 U.S.C. Appendix 1–16), the purpose of the Committee is to provide advice to the Secretary of the Interior, through the Director of the National Park Service, on the development of a reuse plan and on matters relating to future uses of certain buildings at Fort Hancock within Gateway National Recreation Area.

The meeting is open to the public. Interested members of the public may present, either orally or through written comments, information for the Committee to consider during the public meeting. Attendees and those wishing to provide comment are strongly encouraged to preregister through the contact information provided. The public will be able to comment on January 10, 2014, from 1:00 p.m. to 1:45 p.m. Written comments will be accepted prior to, during, or after the meeting. Due to time constraints during the meeting, the Committee is not able to read written public comments submitted into the record. Individuals or groups requesting to make oral comments at the public committee meeting will be limited to no more than 5 minutes per speaker.

Before including your address, telephone number, email address, or other personal identifying information in your written comments, you should be aware that your entire comment including your personal identifying information may be made publicly available. While you can ask us in your comment to withhold your personal identifying information from public review, we cannot guarantee that we will be able to do so. All comments will be made part of the public record and will be electronically distributed to all committee members.

Dated: December 16, 2013.

Alma Ripps,

Chief, Office of Policy.

[FR Doc. 2013–30791 Filed 12–24–13; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310–WV–P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 731–TA–1114 (Review)]

Steel Nails From China; Determination

On the basis of the record¹ developed in the subject five-year review, the United States International Trade Commission (Commission) determines, pursuant to section 751(c) of the Tariff Act of 1930 (19 U.S.C. 1675(c)), that revocation of the antidumping duty order on steel nails from China would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of material injury to an industry in the United States within a reasonably foreseeable time.

Background

The Commission instituted this review on July 1, 2013 (78 FR 40172) and determined on October 21, 2013 that it would conduct an expedited review (78 FR 68472, November 14, 2013).

The Commission completed and filed its determination in this review on December 19, 2013. The views of the Commission are contained in USITC Publication 4442 (December 2013), entitled *Steel Nails from China: Investigation No. 731–TA–1114 (Review)*.

By order of the Commission.

Issued: December 19, 2013.

Lisa R. Barton,

Acting Secretary to the Commission.

[FR Doc. 2013–30754 Filed 12–24–13; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020–02–P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 337–TA–841]

Certain Computers and Computer Peripheral Devices, and Components Thereof, and Products Containing Same; Commission Determination Terminating the Investigation With a Finding of No Violation of Section 337

AGENCY: U.S. International Trade Commission.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that the U.S. International Trade Commission has determined to terminate the above-captioned investigation with a finding of no violation of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, 19 U.S.C. 1337.

¹ The record is defined in sec. 207.2(f) of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR 207.2(f)).

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Sidney A. Rosenzweig, Office of the General Counsel, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 708-2532. Copies of non-confidential documents filed in connection with this investigation are or will be available for inspection during official business hours (8:45 a.m. to 5:15 p.m.) in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 205-2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its Internet server at <http://www.usitc.gov>. The public record for this investigation may be viewed on the Commission's electronic docket (EDIS) at <http://edis.usitc.gov>. Hearing-impaired persons are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on (202) 205-1810.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Commission instituted this investigation on May 2, 2012, based on a complaint filed by Technology Properties Limited, LLC ("TPL") of Cupertino, California. 77 FR 26041 (May 2, 2012). The complaint alleged violations of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, 19 U.S.C. 1337, by reason of infringement of certain claims of U.S. Patent Nos. 6,976,623 ("the '623 patent"), 7,162,549 ("the '549 patent"), 7,295,443 ("the '443 patent"), 7,522,424 ("the '424 patent"), 6,438,638 ("the '638 patent"), and 7,719,847 ("the '847 patent"). The complaint further alleged the existence of a domestic industry. The notice of investigation named twenty-one respondents, some of whom have since settled from the investigation. As a result of these settlements, the '638 patent is no longer at issue, as it has not been asserted against the remaining respondents. The remaining respondents are Acer Inc. of New Taipei City, Taiwan; Canon Inc. of Tokyo, Japan; Hewlett-Packard Company of Palo Alto, California; HiTi Digital, Inc. of New Taipei City, Taiwan; Kingston Technology Company, Inc. of Fountain Valley, California; Newegg, Inc. and Rosewill Inc., both of City of Industry, California; and Seiko Epson Corporation of Nagano, Japan.

On October 4, 2012, the ALJ issued a *Markman* order construing disputed claim terms of the asserted patents. Order No. 23. On January 7-11, 2013, the ALJ conducted an evidentiary hearing, and on August 2, 2013, the ALJ issued the final ID. The ALJ found that TPL demonstrated the existence of a domestic industry, as required by 19

U.S.C. 1337(a)(2), through TPL's licensing investment under 19 U.S.C. 1337(a)(3)(C). ID at 152-55. The ALJ rejected TPL's domestic-industry showing based upon OnSpec Electronic, Inc.'s research and development, and engineering investments under section 337(a)(3)(C), as well as subsections (a)(3)(A) and (a)(3)(B). *Id.* at 155-57.

The ALJ found that the respondents had not shown that any of the asserted patent claims are invalid. However, the ALJ found that TPL demonstrated infringement of the '623 patent, and not the other patents. With respect to the '623 patent, the ALJ found that TPL demonstrated direct infringement of the asserted apparatus claims (claims 1-4 and 9-12). Accordingly, the ALJ found a violation of section 337 by the four respondents accused of infringing these apparatus claims.

On August 19, 2013, the parties filed petitions for review, and on August 27, 2013, the parties filed responses to each other's petitions.

On October 24, 2013, the Commission issued a notice that determined to review the ID in its entirety. The Commission notice invited briefing from the parties on five enumerated topics, and briefing from the parties and written submissions on remedy, the public interest, and bonding. On November 7, 2013, the parties filed opening briefs and written submissions, and non-party Intel Corp. filed a submission on remedy and the public interest. On November 15, 2013, the parties filed responses to each other's filings.

On December 11, 2013, TPL and Acer filed a joint motion to terminate the investigation as to Acer on the basis of a settlement agreement. Having examined the record of this investigation, including the December 11, 2013 motion and exhibits thereto, the Commission has determined to grant the motion to terminate the investigation as to Acer. *See* 19 CFR 210.21. The Commission finds that settlements are generally within the public interest and that terminating Acer will not cause an adverse effect on the public health and welfare, competitive conditions in the U.S. economy, the production of like or directly competitive articles in the United States, or U.S. consumers. *See* 19 CFR 210.50(b)(2).

Having examined the record of this investigation, including the ALJ's final ID, the petitions for review, and the responses thereto, and the briefing in response to the notice of review, the Commission has determined to terminate the investigation with a finding of no violation of section 337.

The Commission has determined to find no violation of section 337 for the following reasons. For the '623 patent, the Commission adopts the respondents' proposed construction of "accessible in parallel." The Commission therefore reverses the ID's finding of infringement as to that patent. Based upon that claim construction, the Commission also finds that TPL has not demonstrated the existence of an article protected by the '623 patent. The Commission finds that the Federal Circuit's decisions in *InterDigital Communications, LLC v. ITC*, 690 F.3d 1318 (Fed. Cir. 2012), 707 F.3d 1295 (Fed. Cir. 2013) and *Microsoft Corp. v. ITC*, 731 F.3d 1354 (Fed. Cir. 2013), require a complainant to make such a demonstration regardless of whether the domestic industry is alleged to exist under 19 U.S.C. 1337(a)(3)(A), (B), or (C).

For the '443, '424, and '847 patents, the Commission affirms the ID's determination that TPL failed to demonstrate that the accused products infringe the asserted claims. The Commission also finds for these three patents that TPL failed to demonstrate the existence of a domestic industry because it failed to demonstrate the existence of articles practicing these patents.

TPL did not raise the '549 patent in its petition for review. 19 CFR 210.43(b)(2). The Commission affirms the ID's noninfringement finding, and its finding that TPL failed to show that its domestic industry products meet certain claim limitations.

The reasons for the Commission's determinations will be set forth more fully in the Commission's opinion.

Commissioner Aranoff dissents from the Commission's finding that TPL was required to demonstrate the existence of articles practicing the asserted patents in order to show a domestic industry based on licensing under 19 U.S.C. 1337(a)(3)(C).

The authority for the Commission's determination is contained in section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1337), and in sections 210.42-46, and 210.50 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR 210.42-46, 210.50).

By order of the Commission.

Issued: December 19, 2013.

Lisa R. Barton,

Acting Secretary to the Commission.

[FR Doc. 2013-30753 Filed 12-24-13; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020-02-P