#### **Background**

On March 22, 2013, the Department published the preliminary results of the administrative review of the antidumping duty order on PSF from Taiwan. We invited interested parties to comment on the *Preliminary Results*. None were received.

The Department has conducted this administrative review in accordance with section 751(a) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act).

#### Scope of the Order

The product covered by the order is PSF. PSF is defined as synthetic staple fibers, not carded, combed or otherwise processed for spinning, of polyesters measuring 3.3 decitex (3 denier, inclusive) or more in diameter. This merchandise is cut to lengths varying from one inch (25 mm) to five inches (127 mm). The merchandise subject to the order may be coated, usually with a silicon or other finish, or not coated. PSF is generally used as stuffing in sleeping bags, mattresses, ski jackets, comforters, cushions, pillows, and furniture. Merchandise of less than 3.3 decitex (less than 3 denier) currently classifiable in the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS) at subheading 5503.20.00.20 is specifically excluded from the order. Also specifically excluded from the order are PSF of 10 to 18 denier that are cut to lengths of 6 to 8 inches (fibers used in the manufacture of carpeting). In addition, low-melt PSF is excluded from the order. Low-melt PSF is defined as a bi-component fiber with an outer sheath that melts at a significantly lower temperature than its inner core.

The merchandise subject to the order is currently classifiable in the HTSUS at subheadings 5503.20.00.40, 5503.20.00.45, 5503.20.00.60, and 5503.20.00.65. Although the HTSUS subheadings are provided for convenience and customs purposes, the written description of the merchandise subject to the order is dispositive.

# **Final Determination of No Shipments**

For the final results of this review, we determine that Nan Ya had no shipments during the POR.

# Final Results of the Review

The Department made no changes to its calculations announced in the *Preliminary Results.* As a result of our review, we determine that a weighted-

average dumping margin of 0.00 percent exists for FENC for the POR.

#### **Assessment Rates**

In accordance with the *Final Modification*,<sup>2</sup> the Department will instruct U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) to liquidate entries for FENC without regard to antidumping duties.

The Department clarified its "automatic assessment" regulation on May 6, 2003.³ This clarification will apply to entries of subject merchandise during the POR produced by FENC for which it did not know its merchandise was destined for the United States. In such instances, we will instruct CBP to liquidate unreviewed entries at the allothers rate if there is no rate for the intermediate company(ies) involved in the transaction.

Consistent with the Assessment Policy Notice, because we continue to find that Nan Ya had no shipments of subject merchandise to the United States, we will instruct CBP to liquidate any applicable entries of subject merchandise at the all-others rate if there is no rate for the intermediate company(ies) involved in the transaction.

We intend to issue instructions to CBP 15 days after publication of the final results of this review.

# **Cash Deposit Requirements**

The following deposit requirements will be effective upon publication of the notice of final results of administrative review for all shipments of PSF from Taiwan entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the date of publication as provided by section 751(a)(2) of the Act: (1) The cash deposit rate for FENC will be 0.00 percent, the weighted average dumping margin established in the final results of this administrative review; (2) for Nan Ya and previously reviewed or investigated companies not listed above, the cash deposit rate will continue to be the company-specific rate published for the most recently completed segment of this proceeding; (3) if the exporter is not a firm covered in this review, a prior review, or the original investigation but the manufacturer is, the cash deposit rate will be the rate established for the manufacturer of the merchandise for the

most recently completed segment of this proceeding; (4) the cash deposit rate for all other manufacturers or exporters will continue to be 7.31 percent, the allothers rate established in the Notice of Amended Final Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value: Certain Polyester Staple Fiber From the Republic of Korea and Antidumping Duty Orders: Certain Polyester Staple Fiber From the Republic of Korea and Taiwan, 65 FR 33807 (May 25, 2000). These cash deposit requirements, when imposed, shall remain in effect until further notice.

# **Notification to Importers**

This notice serves as a final reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f)(2) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Secretary's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties.

This notice also serves as a reminder to parties subject to administrative protective order (APO) of their responsibility concerning the destruction of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305(a)(3). Timely written notification of the return or destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and terms of an APO is a sanctionable violation.

The Department is issuing and publishing these final results of administrative review in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Act

Dated: June 20, 2013.

#### Ronald K. Lorentzen,

Acting Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. 2013–15448 Filed 6–27–13; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

# **DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**

# International Trade Administration [A-570-905]

Certain Polyester Staple Fiber From the People's Republic of China: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2011–2012

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> See Polyester Staple Fiber From Taiwan: Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2011–2012, 78 FR 17637 (March 22, 2013) (Preliminary Results).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> See Antidumping Proceedings: Calculation of the Weighted-Average Dumping Margin and Assessment Rate in Certain Antidumping Duty Proceedings; Final Modification, 77 FR 8101, 8102 (February 14, 2012) (Final Modification).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> For a full discussion of this clarification, see Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Proceedings: Assessment of Antidumping Duties, 68 FR 23954 (May 6, 2003) (Assessment Policy Notice).

SUMMARY: On March 6, 2013, the Department of Commerce (the "Department") published the *Preliminary Results* of the 2011–2012 administrative review of the antidumping duty order on certain polyester staple fiber ("PSF") from the People's Republic of China ("PRC").¹ The period of review ("POR") is June 1, 2011, through May 31, 2012. We received no comments from interested parties. The final dumping margin is listed in the "Final Results of Review" section below.

**DATES:** Effective Date: June 28, 2013. **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Steven Hampton, AD/CVD Operations, Office 9, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–0116.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

#### **Background**

On March 6, 2013, the Department published the *Preliminary Results* of the administrative review of the antidumping duty order on certain polyester staple fiber from the PRC. We invited interested parties to comment on the *Preliminary Results*. None were received. The Department has conducted this administrative review in accordance with section 751(a) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended ("the Act").

# Scope of the Order

The merchandise subject to the order is synthetic staple fibers, not carded, combed or otherwise processed for spinning, of polyesters measuring 3.3 decitex (3 denier, inclusive) or more in diameter. This merchandise is cut to lengths varying from one inch (25 mm) to five inches (127 mm). The subject merchandise may be coated, usually with a silicon or other finish, or not coated. PSF is generally used as stuffing in sleeping bags, mattresses, ski jackets, comforters, cushions, pillows, and furniture.

The following products are excluded from the scope of the order: (1) PSF of less than 3.3 decitex (less than 3 denier) currently classifiable in the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States ("HTSUS") at 5503.20.0025 and known to the industry as PSF for spinning and generally used in woven and knit applications to produce textile and

apparel products; (2) PSF of 10 to 18 denier that are cut to lengths of 6 to 8 inches and that are generally used in the manufacture of carpeting; and (3) lowmelt PSF defined as a bi-component fiber with an outer, non-polyester sheath that melts at a significantly lower temperature than its inner polyester core (classified at HTSUS 5503.20.0015).

Certain PSF is classifiable under the HTSUS numbers 5503.20.0045 and 5503.20.0065. Although the HTSUS numbers are provided for convenience and customs purposes, the written description of the merchandise under the order is dispositive.

# **PRC-Wide Entity**

In the Preliminary Results, the Department noted that Far Eastern Industries (Shanghai) Ltd. and Far Eastern Polychem Industries (collectively "Far Eastern") does not have a separate rate, and, therefore, it is under review as part of the PRC-wide entity.2 Also in the Preliminary Results, the Department determined that Huvis Sichuan Chemical Fiber Corp. and Huvis Sichuan Polyester Fiber Ltd. (collectively "Huvis Sichuan") failed to demonstrate its continued eligibility for a separate rate, and is considered to be part of the PRC-wide entity. After issuing the *Preliminary Results*, the Department received no comments from interested parties. Therefore, for these final results, in accordance with section 776(a) and (b) of the Act, and as explained in more detail in the Preliminary Results, the Department continues to find that Far Eastern and Huvis Sichuan are part of the PRC-wide entity.

#### **Final Results of Review**

The Department has made no changes to the *Preliminary Results*. As a result of our review, we determine that a dumping margin of 44.3 percent exists for the PRC-wide entity <sup>3</sup> for the POR.

# Assessment

Upon issuance of the final results, the Department will determine, and U.S. Customs and Border Protection ("CBP") shall assess, antidumping duties on all appropriate entries covered by this review. The Department intends to issue assessment instructions to CBP 15

days after the publication date of the final results of this review. The Department announced a refinement to its assessment practice in non-market economy ("NME") cases. 5 Pursuant to this refinement in practice, for entries that were not reported in the U.S. sales databases submitted by companies individually examined during this review, the Department will instruct CBP to liquidate such entries at the NME-wide rate. In addition, if the Department determines that an exporter under review had no shipments of the subject merchandise, any suspended entries that entered under that exporter's case number (i.e., at that exporter's rate) will be liquidated at the NME-wide rate.<sup>6</sup> For the PRC-wide entity, we will instruct CBP to assess antidumping duties at an ad valorem rate equal to the dumping margin published above.

# **Cash Deposit Requirements**

The following cash deposit requirements will be effective upon publication of the final results of this administrative review for shipments of the subject merchandise from the PRC entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the publication date of the final results, as provided by sections 751(a)(2)(C) of the Act: (1) For previously investigated or reviewed PRC and non-PRC exporters not listed above that received a separate rate in a prior segment of this proceeding, the cash deposit rate will continue to be the existing exporterspecific rate published for the most recently completed segment of this proceeding in which that exporter participated; (2) for all PRC exporters of subject merchandise that have not been found to be entitled to a separate rate, the cash deposit rate will be the rate for the PRC-wide entity, 44.30 percent; and (3) for all non-PRC exporters of subject merchandise which have not received their own rate, the cash deposit rate will be the rate applicable to the PRC exporter that supplied that non-PRC exporter. These deposit requirements, when imposed, shall remain in effect until further notice.

#### **Notifications**

This notice serves as a final reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f)(2) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> See Certain Polyester Staple Fiber From the People's Republic of China: Preliminary Results and Rescission in Part of the 2011–2012 Antidumping Duty Administrative Review, 78 FR 14512 (March 6, 2013) ("Preliminary Results").

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> See Preliminary Results, 78 FR at 14513, and accompanying Decision Memorandum for Preliminary Results and Rescission in Part of the 2011–2012 Antidumping Duty Administrative Review: Certain Polyester Staple Fiber from the People's Republic of China at 5.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>The PRC-wide entity includes Far Eastern and Huvis Sichuan.

<sup>4</sup> See 19 CFR 351.212(b)(1).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> See Non-Market Economy Antidumping Proceedings: Assessment of Antidumping Duties, 76 FR 65694 (October 24, 2011).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> See id.

during this POR. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Department's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties has occurred and the subsequent assessment of doubled antidumping duties.

This notice also serves as a reminder to parties subject to the administrative protective order ("APO") of their responsibility concerning the disposition of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305(a)(3). Timely written notification of the return or destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and the terms of an APO is a sanctionable violation.

The Department is issuing and publishing these final results of administrative review in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Act.

Dated: June 20, 2013.

#### Ronald K. Lorentzen,

Acting Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. 2013–15459 Filed 6–27–13; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

### DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

# **International Trade Administration**

[A-533-847]

1-Hydroxyethylidene-1, 1-Diphosphonic Acid From India: Rescission of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2012–2013

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

# FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Brandon Custard or David Goldberger, AD/CVD Operations, Office 2, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC, 20230; telephone: (202) 482–1823 or (202) 482– 4136, respectively.

# SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

# Background

On April 2, 2013, the Department of Commerce (the Department) published in the **Federal Register** a notice of "Opportunity to Request Administrative Review" of the antidumping duty order on 1-hydroxyethylidene-1, 1-diphosphonic acid (HEDP) from India

for the period of review (POR) of April 1, 2012, through March 31, 2013.

On April 29, 2013, in accordance with section 751(a)(1) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act), and 19 CFR 351.213(b)(2), the Department received a timely request from Aquapharm Chemicals Pvt Ltd (Aquapharm), a producer and exporter of subject merchandise, to conduct an administrative review of its exports to the United States during the POR.<sup>2</sup> No other interested party requested an administrative review of the antidumping duty order on HEDP from India for the POR.

On June 3, 2013, the Department published in the **Federal Register** a notice of initiation of an administrative review of the antidumping duty order on HEDP from India.<sup>3</sup> On June 4, 2013, Aquapharm timely withdrew its request for a review.<sup>4</sup>

#### **Rescission of Review**

Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.213(d)(1), the Department will rescind an administrative review, in whole or in part, if the parties that requested a review withdraw the request within 90 days of the date of publication of notice of initiation of the requested review. Aquapharm withdrew its request for review before the 90-day deadline, and no other party requested an administrative review of the antidumping duty order. Therefore, pursuant to 19 CFR 351.213(d)(1), we are rescinding this review in whole.

# Assessment

The Department will instruct U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) to assess antidumping duties on all appropriate entries. Antidumping duties shall be assessed at rates equal to the cash deposit of estimated antidumping duties required at the time of entry, or withdrawal from warehouse, for consumption, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.212(c)(1)(i). The Department intends to issue appropriate assessment instructions directly to CBP 15 days after the date of publication of this notice in the **Federal Register**.

# **Notification to Importers**

This notice serves as the only reminder to importers of their responsibility, under 19 CFR 351.402(f)(2), to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Secretary's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties.

# Notification Regarding Administrative Protective Order

This notice serves as the only reminder to parties subject to administrative protective order (APO) of their responsibility concerning the disposition of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305(a)(3). Timely written notification of the return or destruction of APO materials, or conversion to judicial protective order, is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and the terms of an APO is a sanctionable violation.

This notice is published in accordance with section 751 of the Act and 19 CFR 351.213(d)(4).

Dated: June 24, 2013.

# Christian Marsh,

Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations.

[FR Doc. 2013–15547 Filed 6–27–13; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

#### **DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**

# International Trade Administration [A–570–863]

Honey From the People's Republic of China: Preliminary Results and Partial Rescission of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2011–2012

AGENCY: Import Administration,
International Trade Administration,
Department of Commerce.
SUMMARY: As discussed below, the U.S.
Department of Commerce ("the
Department") preliminarily determines
that none of the companies remaining
under review following the partial
rescission of this administrative review
have demonstrated their eligibility for a
separate rate.¹ As such, they remain part
of the People's Republic of China
("PRC")-wide entity. If we adopt these
preliminary results in the final results of
review, the Department will instruct

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> See Antidumping or Countervailing Duty Order, Finding, or Suspended Investigation; Opportunity to Request Administrative Review, 78 FR 19645, 19646 (April 2, 2013).

 $<sup>^2\,</sup>See$  April 29, 2013, letter from Aquapharm to the Department.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> See Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews and Request for Revocation in Part, 78 FR 33052, 33054 (June 3, 2013).

 $<sup>^4\,</sup>See$  June 4, 2013, letter from Aquapharm to the Department.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> See Attachment 1 to this notice.