# DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

# Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality

## Scientific Information Request on Imaging Tests for the Staging of Colorectal Cancer

**AGENCY:** Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality (AHRQ), HHS.

**ACTION:** Request for Scientific Information Submissions.

**SUMMARY:** The Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality (AHRQ) is seeking scientific information submissions on imaging tests for the staging of colorectal cancer (e.g., Chest x-ray, computed tomography, multidetector computed tomography (MD-CT), CT colonography, magnetic resonance imaging (MRI), transabdominal ultrasound (TUS), endoscopic ultrasound (EUS), transrectal ultrasound (TRUS), positron emission tomography (PET), positron emission tomography combined with computed tomography (PET/CT fusion), or positron emission tomography combined with magnetic resonance imaging (PET/MRI fusion)) from medical device manufacturers. Scientific information is being solicited to inform our Comparative Effectiveness Review of Imaging Tests for the Staging of Colorectal Cancer, which is currently being conducted by one of the Evidencebased Practice Centers for the AHRQ Effective Health Care Program. Access to published and unpublished pertinent scientific information on these devices will improve the quality of this comparative effectiveness review. AHRQ is requesting this scientific information and conducting this comparative effectiveness review pursuant to Section 1013 of the Medicare Prescription Drug, Improvement, and Modernization Act of 2003, Public Law 108-173, and Section 902(a) of the Public Health Service Act, 42 U.S.C. 299a(a)

**DATES:** Submission Deadline on or before July 29, 2013.

#### ADDRESSES:

Online submissions: http://effective healthcare.AHRQ.gov/index.cfm/submit scientific-information-packets/. Please select the study for which you are submitting information from the list to upload your documents.

Email submissions: SIPS@EPC-SRC.ORG.

Print submissions: Mailing Address:

Portland VA Research Foundation, Scientific Resource Center, ATTN: Scientific Information Packet Coordinator, PO Box 69539, Portland, OR 97239.

Shipping Address (FedEx, UPS, etc.):
Portland VA Research Foundation,
Scientific Resource Center, ATTN:
Scientific Information Packet
Coordinator, 3710 SW U.S. Veterans
Hospital Road, Mail Code: R&D 71,
Portland, OR 97239.

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Robin Paynter, Research Librarian, Telephone: 503–220–8262 ext. 58652 or Email: SIPS@EPC–SRC.ORG.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality has commissioned one of the Effective Health Care (EHC) Program Evidence-based Practice Centers to complete a comparative effectiveness review of the evidence for Imaging Tests for the Staging of Colorectal Cancer.

The EHC Program is dedicated to identifying as many studies as possible that are relevant to the questions for each of its reviews. In order to do so, we are supplementing the usual manual and electronic database searches of the literature by systematically requesting information (e.g., details of studies conducted) from medical device industry stakeholders through public information requests, including via the Federal Register and direct postal and/ or online solicitations. We are looking for studies that report on Imaging Tests for the Staging of Colorectal Cancer, including those that describe adverse events, as specified in the key questions detailed below. The entire research protocol, including the key questions, is also available online at: http:// www.effectivehealthcare.AHRQ.gov/ search-for-GUIDES-reviews-and-reports/ ?PAGEACTION=displayproduct& productID=1510.

This notice is a request for information about the following:

- A list of all completed studies your company has sponsored for this indication, and if the results are available on *ClinicalTrials.gov* along with the CT.gov trial number.
- For completed studies that do not have results on CT.gov, a summary that includes the following elements: study number, study period, design, methodology, indication and diagnosis, proper use instructions, inclusion and exclusion criteria, primary and secondary outcomes, baseline characteristics, number of patients screened/eligible/enrolled/lost to follow-up/withdrawn/analyzed, and effectiveness/efficacy and safety results.
- In addition, ongoing studies your company has sponsored for this indication. In the list, please provide the CT.gov trial number or, if the trial is not

registered, the protocol for the study including a study number, the study period, design, methodology, indication and diagnosis, proper use instructions, inclusion and exclusion criteria, and primary and secondary outcomes.

Your contribution is very beneficial to this program. The contents of all submissions will be available to the public upon request. Materials submitted must be publicly available or materials that can be made public. Materials that are considered confidential; marketing materials; pharmacoeconomic, pharmacokinetic or pharmacodynamic studies; study types not included in the review; or information on indications not included in the review cannot be used by the Effective Health Care Program. This is a voluntary request for information, and all costs for complying with this request must be borne by the submitter.

The draft of this review will be posted on AHRQ's EHC program Web site and available for public comment for a period of 4 weeks. If you would like to be notified when the draft is posted, please sign up for the email list at: http://effectivehealthcare.AHRQ.gov/index.cfm/join-the-email-list1/.

#### **Key Question 1**

What is the comparative effectiveness of imaging techniques for pretreatment staging of patients with primary and recurrent colorectal cancer?

- a. What is the test performance of the imaging techniques used (singly, in combination, or in a specific sequence) to stage colorectal cancer when compared with a reference standard?
- b. What is the impact of alternative imaging techniques on intermediate outcomes, including stage reclassification and changes in therapeutic management?
- c. What is the impact of alternative imaging techniques on clinical outcomes?
- d. What are the adverse effects or harms associated with using imaging techniques, including harms of testdirected management?
- e. How is the comparative effectiveness of imaging techniques modified by the following factors:
- i. Patient-level characteristics (e.g., age, sex, body mass index)
- ii. Disease characteristics (e.g., tumor grade)
- iii. Imaging technique or protocol characteristics (e.g., use of different tracers or contrast agents, radiation dose of the imaging modality, slice thickness, timing of contrast)

#### **Key Question 2**

What is the comparative effectiveness of imaging techniques for restaging patients with primary and recurrent colorectal cancer after initial treatment?

a. What is the test performance of the imaging techniques used (singly, in combination, or in a specific sequence) to restage colorectal cancer when compared with a reference standard?

b. What is the impact of alternative imaging techniques on intermediate outcomes, including stage reclassification and changes in therapeutic management?

c. What is the impact of alternative imaging techniques on clinical outcomes?

d. What are the adverse effects or harms associated with using imaging techniques, including harms of testdirected management?

e. How is the comparative effectiveness of imaging techniques modified by the following factors:

i. Patient-level characteristics (e.g., age, sex, body mass index)

ii. Disease characteristics (e.g., tumor grade)

iii. Imaging technique or protocol characteristics (e.g., use of different tracers or contrast agents, radiation dose of the imaging modality, slice thickness, timing of contrast)

PICOTS Criteria (Population, Intervention, Comparator, Outcomes, Timing, Setting)

# **Populations**

- Adult patients with an established diagnosis of primary colorectal cancer
- Adult patients with an established diagnosis of recurrent colorectal cancer

### Interventions

Noninvasive imaging using the following tests (alone or in combination) to assess the stage of colorectal cancer:

- CT
- PET/CT
- MRI
- Endoscopic ultrasound

Combinations of particular interest include endoscopic ultrasound to evaluate the T stage combined with PET/CT or CT to evaluate the N and M stages.

### Reference Standards To Assess Test Performance

- Histopathological examination of tissue
  - Intraoperative findings
  - Clinical followup

Histopathology of surgically resected specimens is the reference standard for pretherapy staging. In patients undergoing surgery, the nodal (N) stage and spread of the tumor to nearby regional structures and other organs is assessed intraoperatively, either by palpation or ultrasound. However, in patients with metastatic disease who undergo palliative care, a combination of initial biopsy results and clinical followup serves as the reference standard.

Clinicians use the results from the imaging modality or modalities to arrive at a stage determination that is compared against the stage established by the reference standard. These comparisons tell us how many people were correctly classified in the various stages of the disease and allow us to calculate the test performance metrics of sensitivity, specificity, and accuracy. The selection of the reference standard is important in evaluating the true performance of an imaging modality for staging.

## Comparators

• Any direct comparisons of the imaging tests of interest

• Any direct comparisons of variations of any of the imaging tests of interest (e.g., diffusion-weighted MRI vs. T2-weighted MRI)

Comparators thought to be of particular clinical interest are listed below:

• For colon cancer: a contrastenhanced CT of the chest, abdomen, and pelvis versus whole-body PET/CT versus a contrast-enhanced MRI of the chest, abdomen, and pelvis

• For rectal cancer: a contrastenhanced CT of the abdomen and pelvis versus an MRI of the abdomen and pelvis

• For rectal cancer: endoscopic ultrasound versus MRI

 For suspected liver metastasis: CT scan versus MRI or PET/CT of the abdomen

• For suspected widespread metastasis, CT of the chest, abdomen, and pelvis versus whole-body PET/CT or contrast-enhanced MRI of the chest, abdomen, and pelvis

We note that this list is based on a preliminary literature search and discussions with a limited number of clinicians and the Technical Expert Panel (TEP). Thus, we do not anticipate that the listed items cover all of the comparisons of interest. We expect that additional comparisons will be identified during the literature review.

#### **Outcomes**

- Test performance outcomes
  - Test performance (e.g., sensitivity, specificity, understaging, and overstaging) against a reference standard test (pathological

examination, intraoperative findings, clinical followup)

- Intermediate outcomes
  - Stage reclassification
  - Changes in therapeutic management
- Clinical outcomes
  - Overall mortality
  - Colorectal cancer-specific mortality
  - Quality of life and anxiety
  - Need for additional staging tests, including invasive procedures
  - Need for additional treatment, including surgery, radiotherapy, or chemotherapy
  - Resource utilization related to testing and treatment (when reported in the included studies)
- Adverse effects and harms
  - Harms of testing per se (e.g., radiation exposure)
  - Harms from test-directed treatments (e.g., overtreatment, undertreatment)

#### Timing

- · Primary staging
- Interim restaging
- Duration of followup will vary by outcome (e.g., from no followup for test performance measurements to many years for mortality)

#### Setting

• Any setting will be considered Dated: June 13, 2013.

## Carolyn M. Clancy,

AHRQ, Director.

[FR Doc. 2013–15288 Filed 6–26–13; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4160-90-P

# DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

# **Food and Drug Administration**

[Docket No. FDA-2013-N-0001]

## Cardiovascular and Renal Drugs Advisory Committee; Notice of Meeting

**AGENCY:** Food and Drug Administration, HHS.

**ACTION:** Notice.

This notice announces a forthcoming meeting of a public advisory committee of the Food and Drug Administration (FDA). The meeting will be open to the public.

Name of Committee: Cardiovascular and Renal Drugs Advisory Committee.

General Function of the Committee: To provide advice and recommendations to the Agency on FDA's regulatory issues.

Date and Time: The meeting will be held on August 6, 2013, from 8 a.m. to 4 p.m.

Location: FDA White Oak Campus, 10903 New Hampshire Ave., Building