

to the order. Although the HTSUS subheadings are provided for convenience and for customs purposes, the written description of the merchandise, as set forth in the order, is dispositive.²

Final Determination of No Shipments

In the *Preliminary Results*, we preliminarily determined that Shanghai Jinneng did not have any reviewable transactions during the POR. Shanghai Jinneng submitted a timely-filed certification that it had no sales of subject merchandise to the United States during the POR.³ Consistent with the Department's assessment practice in non-market economy ("NME") cases, we stated in the *Preliminary Results* that the Department would not rescind the review in these circumstances but, rather, would complete the review with respect to Shanghai Jinneng and issue appropriate instructions to U.S. Customs and Border Protection ("CBP") based on the final results of the review. As stated above, we did not receive any comments on our *Preliminary Results* nor did we receive information from CBP indicating that there were reviewable transactions from Shanghai Jinneng during the POR. Therefore, we continue to determine that Shanghai Jinneng had no reviewable transactions of subject merchandise during the POR. Consistent with our "automatic assessment" clarification, the Department will issue appropriate instructions to CBP based on our final results.⁴

Assessment

The Department will determine, and CBP shall assess, antidumping duties on all appropriate entries. The Department intends to issue assessment instructions to CBP 15 days after the date of publication of these final results of review. The Department recently announced a refinement to its assessment practice in NME cases.⁵ Pursuant to this refinement in practice, if the Department determines that an exporter under review had no shipments of the subject merchandise, any suspended entries that entered under that exporter's case number (*i.e.*, at that exporter's rate) will be liquidated at the NME-wide rate.⁶

² See *Silicon Metal From the People's Republic of China: Continuation of Antidumping Duty Order*, 77 FR 23660 (April 20, 2012).

³ See *Preliminary Results*, 78 FR at 13321.

⁴ See *Non-Market Economy Antidumping Proceedings: Assessment of Antidumping Duties*, 76 FR 65694 (October 24, 2011) ("Assessment Practice Refinement"). See also the "Assessment" section of this notice, below.

⁵ See *Assessment Practice Refinement*.

⁶ See *id.*, 76 FR at 65694.

Cash Deposit Requirements

The following cash deposit requirements will be effective upon publication of the final results of this administrative review for all shipments of the subject merchandise from the PRC entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the publication date, as provided by section 751(a)(2)(C) of the Act: (1) For Shanghai Jinneng, which claimed no shipments, the cash deposit rate will remain unchanged from the rate assigned to the company in the most recently completed review of the company; (2) for previously investigated or reviewed PRC and non-PRC exporters who are not under review in this segment of the proceeding but who have separate rates, the cash deposit rate will continue to be the exporter-specific rate published for the most recent period; (3) for all PRC exporters of subject merchandise that have not been found to be entitled to a separate rate the cash deposit rate will be the PRC-wide rate of 139.49 percent;⁷ and (4) for all non-PRC exporters of subject merchandise which have not received their own rate, the cash deposit rate will be the rate applicable to the PRC exporter(s) that supplied that non-PRC exporter. These deposit requirements, when imposed, shall remain in effect until further notice.

Notification to Importers Regarding the Reimbursement of Duties

This notice serves as a final reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f)(2) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this POR. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Department's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties has occurred and the subsequent assessment of doubled antidumping duties.

Administrative Protective Order

This notice also serves as a reminder to parties subject to the administrative protective order ("APO") of their responsibility concerning the disposition of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305(a)(3). Timely notification of the destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested.

⁷ For an explanation of the calculation of the PRC-wide rate, see *Final Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value: Silicon Metal from the People's Republic of China*, 56 FR 18570, 18571–2 (April 23, 1991).

Failure to comply with the regulations and the terms of an APO is a sanctionable violation.

We are issuing and publishing these results and this notice in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.213(d)(4).

Dated: May 14, 2013.

Paul Piquado,

Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. 2013–11968 Filed 5–17–13; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A–552–801]

Certain Frozen Fish Fillets From the Socialist Republic of Vietnam: Amended Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2010–2011

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce (the "Department") is amending the final results of the eighth administrative review and aligned new shipper reviews on certain frozen fish fillets ("fish fillets") from the Socialist Republic of Vietnam ("Vietnam") to correct certain ministerial errors.¹ The period of review ("POR") is August 1, 2010, through July 31, 2011.

DATES: *Effective Date:* May 20, 2013.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Paul Walker (Anvifish), Susan Pulongbarit (Vinh Hoan), Alex Montoro (An Phu and GODACO) or Seth Isenberg (Docifish), AD/CVD Operations, Office 9, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone 202–482–0413, 202–482–4031, 202–482–0238, or 202–482–0588, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On March 15, 2013 the Department disclosed to interested parties its calculations for the *Final Results*. Between March 20, and March 25, 2013, we received ministerial error comments

¹ See *Certain Frozen Fish Fillets from the Socialist Republic of Vietnam: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review and New Shipper Reviews; 2010–2011*, 78 FR 17350 (March 21, 2013) ("Final Results").

and rebuttal comments from interested parties.²

Scope of the Order

For a full description of the products covered by the antidumping duty order, see Memorandum to Paul Piquado, Assistant Secretary for Import Administration, through Gary Taverman, Senior Advisor for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations, from James C. Doyle, Director, Office 9, "Eighth Administrative Review and Aligned New Shipper Reviews of Certain Frozen Fish Fillets from the Socialist Republic of Vietnam: Ministerial Error Allegation Memorandum," dated concurrently with this notice ("Ministerial Error Memo"), which is incorporated by reference.

Ministerial Errors

Section 751(h) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the "Act"), and 19 CFR 351.224(f) define a "ministerial error" as an error "in addition, subtraction, or other arithmetic function, clerical error resulting from inaccurate copying, duplication, or the like, and any similar type of unintentional error which the Secretary considers ministerial." After analyzing interested parties' ministerial error comments, we have determined, in accordance with section 751(h) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.224(e), that we made the following ministerial errors in our calculations for the *Final Results*: (a) We unintentionally mislabeled Anvifish's whole fish usage rate; (b) we inadvertently miscalculated Docifish's diesel fuel consumption; and (c) we unintentionally included returned sales in Anvifish's and Vinh Hoan's margin calculations. For a detailed discussion of all alleged ministerial errors, as well as the Department's analysis, see the Ministerial Error Memo.

In accordance with section 751(h) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.224(e), we are amending the *Final Results* of the administrative review of fish fillets from Vietnam. The revised weighted-average dumping margins are detailed below.

Amended Final Results of the Administrative Review

The amended weighted-average dumping margins for the administrative review are as follows:

Exporter	Weighted-average margin (USD/kg) ³
Vinh Hoan Corporation ⁴	0.19
Anvifish Joint Stock Company ⁵	2.39
An Giang Agriculture and Food Import-Export Joint Stock Company	1.29
Asia Commerce Fisheries Joint Stock Company	1.29
Binh An Seafood Joint Stock Company	1.29
Cadovimex II Seafood Import-Export and Processing Joint Stock Company	1.29
Hiep Thanh Seafood Joint Stock Company	1.29
Hung Vuong Corporation	1.29
Nam Viet Corporation	1.29
NTSF Seafoods Joint Stock Company	1.29
QVD Food Company Ltd. ⁶	1.29
Saigon Mekong Fishery Co., Ltd.	1.29
Southern Fisheries Industries Company Ltd.	1.29
Vinh Quang Fisheries Corporation	1.29
Vietnam-Wide Rate ⁷	⁸ 2.11

³ In the third administrative review of the order, the Department determined that it would calculate per-unit assessment and cash deposit rates for all future reviews. See *Certain Frozen Fish Fillets from the Socialist Republic of Vietnam: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review and Partial Rescission*, 73 FR 15479, 15480–81 (March 24, 2008).

⁴ This rate is applicable to the Vinh Hoan Group, which includes Vinh Hoan, Van Duc Food Export Joint Company and Van Duc Tien Giang. In the sixth review of the order, the Department found Vinh Hoan, Van Duc, and VDTG to be a single entity. See *Certain Frozen Fish Fillets from the Socialist Republic of Vietnam: Notice of Preliminary Results and Partial Rescission of the Sixth Antidumping Duty Administrative Review and Sixth New Shipper Review*, 75 FR 56062, 56068 (September 15, 2010). Because there has been no evidence submitted since that review which would call this determination into question, we continue to find these companies to be part of a single entity. Therefore, we will assign this rate to the companies in the single entity.

⁵ Includes the trade name Anvifish Co., Ltd.

⁶ This rate is also applicable to QVD Dong Thap Food Co., Ltd. ("Dong Thap") and Thuan Hung Co., Ltd. ("THUFICO"). In the second review of this order, the Department found QVD Food Company Limited, Dong Thap and THUFICO to be a single entity and, because there have been no changes to this determination since that administrative review, we continue to find these companies to be part of a single entity. Therefore, we will assign this rate to the companies in the single entity. See *Certain Frozen Fish Fillets from the Socialist Republic of Vietnam: Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review*, 71 FR 53387 (September 11, 2006).

⁷ The Vietnam-wide rate includes the following companies which are under review, but which did not submit a separate rate application or certification: Nam Viet Company Limited; East Sea Seafoods Joint Venture Co., Ltd.; and Vinh Hoan Company, Ltd.

⁸ The rate for the Vietnam-wide entity did not change from the *Final Results*.

Disclosure

We will disclose the calculations performed for these amended final results to interested parties within five days of the date of publication of this notice in accordance with 19 CFR 351.224(b).

Assessment Rates

Pursuant to section 751(a)(2)(A) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.212(b), the Department will determine, and U.S. Customs and Border Protection ("CBP") shall assess, antidumping duties on all appropriate entries of subject merchandise in accordance with the amended final results of this review. The Department intends to issue appropriate assessment instructions directly to CBP 15 days after publication of the amended final results of this administrative review and new shipper reviews. However, on April 9, 17 and 23, 2013, the U.S. Court of International Trade issued preliminary injunctions enjoining liquidation of certain entries made during the POR which are subject to the antidumping duty order on fish fillets from Vietnam.⁹ Accordingly, the Department will not issue assessment instructions to CBP for any entries subject to the above-mentioned injunctions after publication of this notice.

For assessment purposes, we calculated importer (or customer)-specific assessment rates for merchandise subject to this review. We will continue to direct CBP to assess importer-specific assessment rates based on the resulting per-unit (*i.e.*, per-kg) rates by the weight in kilograms of each entry of the subject merchandise during the POR. Specifically, we calculated importer-specific duty assessment rates on a per-unit rate basis by dividing the total dumping margins (calculated as the difference between normal value and export price, or constructed export price) for each importer by the total sales quantity of subject merchandise sold to that importer during the POR. If an importer (or customer)-specific assessment rate is *de minimis* (*i.e.*, less than 0.50 percent), the Department will instruct CBP to assess that importer (or customer's) entries of subject merchandise without regard to antidumping duties, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.106(c)(2).

⁹ See *Anvifish Joint Stock Company v. United States*, CIT Court No. 13–00138, dated April 9, 2013; *Vietnam Association of Seafood Exporters and Producers v. United States*, CIT Court No. 13–141, dated April 17, 2013; *Binh An Seafood Joint Stock Company v. United States*, CIT Court No. 13–155, dated April 23, 2013.

² The interested parties include: The Catfish Farmers of America, and individual U.S. catfish processors (collectively "Petitioners"), An Phu Seafood Corporation ("An Phu"), Anvifish Joint Stock Company ("Anvifish"), Docifish Corporation ("DOCIFISH"), Godaco Seafood Joint Stock Company ("GODACO"), and Vinh Hoan Corporation ("Vinh Hoan").

Cash Deposit Requirements

The following cash deposit requirements will be effective retroactively on any entries made after March 21, 2013, the date of publication of the *Final Results*, for all shipments of the subject merchandise entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the publication date of the amended final results of this administrative review, as provided for by section 751(a)(2)(C) of the Act: (1) For the exporters listed above, the cash deposit rate will be the rate established in the amended final results of review (except, if the rate is zero or *de minimis*, i.e., less than 0.5 percent, a zero cash deposit rate will be required for that company); (2) for previously investigated or reviewed Vietnamese and non-Vietnamese exporters not listed above that have separate rates, the cash deposit rate will continue to be the exporter-specific rate published for the most recent period; (3) for all Vietnamese exporters of subject merchandise which have not been found to be entitled to a separate rate, the cash deposit rate will be the Vietnam-wide rate of 2.11 USD/kg; and (4) for all non-Vietnamese exporters of subject merchandise which have not received their own rate, the cash deposit rate will be the rate applicable to the Vietnamese exporters that supplied that non-Vietnamese exporter. The deposit requirements, when imposed, shall remain in effect until further notice.

Reimbursement of Duties

This notice also serves as a final reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this POR. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Department's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties has occurred and the subsequent assessment of doubled antidumping duties.

Administrative Protective Orders

This notice also serves as a reminder to parties subject to administrative protective order ("APO") of their responsibility concerning the return or destruction of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305, which continues to govern business proprietary information in this segment of the proceeding. Timely written notification of the return or destruction of APO materials, or conversion to judicial protective order, is hereby requested.

Failure to comply with the regulations and terms of an APO is a violation which is subject to sanction.

These amended final results are published in accordance with sections 751(h) and 777(i)(1) of the Act.

Dated: May 9, 2013.

Paul Piquado

Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. 2013-11965 Filed 5-17-13; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-201-843, A-570-990, A-549-829]

Prestressed Concrete Steel Rail Tie Wire From Mexico, the People's Republic of China, and Thailand: Initiation of Antidumping Duty Investigations

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

DATES: *Effective Date:* May 20, 2013.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Rebecca Trainor (Mexico), Brian Smith (the People's Republic of China (the "PRC")), or Kate Johnson (Thailand) at (202) 482-4007, (202) 482-1766, or (202) 482-4929, respectively, AD/CVD Operations, Office 2, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

The Petitions

On April 23, 2013, the Department of Commerce (the "Department") received antidumping duty ("AD") petitions concerning imports of prestressed concrete steel rail tie wire ("PC tie wire") from Mexico, the PRC, and Thailand filed in proper form on behalf of Davis Wire Corporation and Insteel Wire Products Company (collectively, the "petitioners").¹ The petitioners are domestic producers of PC tie wire. On April 26, 2013, the Department requested additional information and clarification of certain areas of the petitions. The petitioners filed responses to these requests on May 1, 2013.²

¹ See Antidumping Duty Petitions on Prestressed Concrete Steel Rail Tie Wire from the PRC, Mexico, and Thailand, filed on April 23, 2013 (the "petitions").

² See Supplement to the Mexico Petition, dated May 1, 2013 ("Supplement to the Mexico Petition"); Supplement to the PRC Petition, dated May 1, 2013 ("Supplement to the PRC Petition"); and

In accordance with section 732(b) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the "Act"), the petitioners allege that imports of PC tie wire from Mexico, the PRC, and Thailand are being, or are likely to be, sold in the United States at less than fair value within the meaning of section 731 of the Act and that such imports are materially injuring, or threatening material injury to, an industry in the United States. Also, consistent with section 732(b)(1) of the Act, the petitions are accompanied by information reasonably available to the petitioners supporting their allegations.

The Department finds that the petitioners filed these petitions on behalf of the domestic industry because the petitioners are interested parties as defined in section 771(9)(C) of the Act. The Department also finds that the petitioners have demonstrated sufficient industry support with respect to the initiation of the AD investigations that the petitioners are requesting. See the "Determination of Industry Support for the Petitions" section below.

Period of Investigation

Because the petitions were filed on April 23, 2013, the period of investigation ("POI") for the PRC investigation is October 1, 2012, through March 31, 2013. The POI for the Mexico and Thailand investigations is April 1, 2012, through March 31, 2013.³

Scope of the Investigations

The product covered by these investigations is PC tie wire from Mexico, the PRC, and Thailand. For a full description of the scope of the investigations, see the "Scope of the Investigations," in Appendix I of this notice.

Comments on Scope of Investigations

During our review of the petitions, we discussed the scope with the petitioners to ensure that it is an accurate reflection of the product for which the domestic industry is seeking relief. Moreover, as discussed in the preamble to the regulations (*Antidumping Duties; Countervailing Duties; Final Rule*, 62 FR 27296, 27323 (May 19, 1997)), we are setting aside a period for interested parties to raise issues regarding product coverage. The Department encourages all interested parties to submit such comments by June 3, 2013, 5:00 p.m. Eastern Standard Time, 20 calendar days from the signature date of this notice. All comments must be filed on the records of the Mexico, the PRC, and

Supplement to the Thailand Petition, dated May 1, 2013 ("Supplement to the Thailand Petition").

³ See 19 CFR 351.204(b)(1).