

| | |
|--|--------------------|
| Suspended Investigations | Department contact |
| No Sunset Review of suspended investigations is scheduled for initiation in June 2013. | |

The Department's procedures for the conduct of Sunset Reviews are set forth in 19 CFR 351.218. Guidance on methodological or analytical issues relevant to the Department's conduct of Sunset Reviews is set forth in the Department's Policy Bulletin 98.3—*Policies Regarding the Conduct of Five-Year ("Sunset") Reviews of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Orders*; *Policy Bulletin*, 63 FR 18871 (April 16, 1998). The Notice of Initiation of Five-Year ("Sunset") Reviews provides further information regarding what is required of all parties to participate in Sunset Reviews.

Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.103(c), the Department will maintain and make available a service list for these proceedings. To facilitate the timely preparation of the service list(s), it is requested that those seeking recognition as interested parties to a proceeding contact the Department in writing within 10 days of the publication of the Notice of Initiation.

Please note that if the Department receives a Notice of Intent to Participate from a member of the domestic industry within 15 days of the date of initiation, the review will continue. Thereafter, any interested party wishing to participate in the Sunset Review must provide substantive comments in response to the notice of initiation no later than 30 days after the date of initiation.

This notice is not required by statute but is published as a service to the international trading community.

Dated: April 17, 2013.

Christian Marsh,

Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations.

[FR Doc. 2013-10285 Filed 4-30-13; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

Antidumping or Countervailing Duty Order, Finding, or Suspended Investigation; Opportunity To Request Administrative Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Brenda E. Waters, Office of AD/CVD Operations, Customs Unit, Import

Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230, telephone: (202) 482-4735.

Background

Each year during the anniversary month of the publication of an antidumping or countervailing duty order, finding, or suspended investigation, an interested party, as defined in section 771(9) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended ("the Act"), may request, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.213, that the Department of Commerce ("the Department") conduct an administrative review of that antidumping or countervailing duty order, finding, or suspended investigation.

All deadlines for the submission of comments or actions by the Department discussed below refer to the number of calendar days from the applicable starting date.

Respondent Selection

In the event the Department limits the number of respondents for individual examination for administrative reviews initiated pursuant to requests made for the orders identified below, the Department intends to select respondents based on U.S. Customs and Border Protection ("CBP") data for U.S. imports during the period of review. We intend to release the CBP data under Administrative Protective Order ("APO") to all parties having an APO within five days of publication of the initiation notice and to make our decision regarding respondent selection within 21 days of publication of the initiation **Federal Register** notice. Therefore, we encourage all parties interested in commenting on respondent selection to submit their APO applications on the date of publication of the initiation notice, or as soon thereafter as possible. The Department invites comments regarding the CBP data and respondent selection within five days of placement of the CBP data on the record of the review.

In the event the Department decides it is necessary to limit individual examination of respondents and conduct respondent selection under section 777A(c)(2) of the Act:

In general, the Department has found that determinations concerning whether particular companies should be

"collapsed" (*i.e.*, treated as a single entity for purposes of calculating antidumping duty rates) require a substantial amount of detailed information and analysis, which often require follow-up questions and analysis. Accordingly, the Department will not conduct collapsing analyses at the respondent selection phase of this review and will not collapse companies at the respondent selection phase unless there has been a determination to collapse certain companies in a previous segment of this antidumping proceeding (*i.e.*, investigation, administrative review, new shipper review or changed circumstances review). For any company subject to this review, if the Department determined, or continued to treat, that company as collapsed with others, the Department will assume that such companies continue to operate in the same manner and will collapse them for respondent selection purposes. Otherwise, the Department will not collapse companies for purposes of respondent selection. Parties are requested to (a) identify which companies subject to review previously were collapsed, and (b) provide a citation to the proceeding in which they were collapsed. Further, if companies are requested to complete the Quantity and Value Questionnaire for purposes of respondent selection, in general each company must report volume and value data separately for itself. Parties should not include data for any other party, even if they believe they should be treated as a single entity with that other party. If a company was collapsed with another company or companies in the most recently completed segment of this proceeding where the Department considered collapsing that entity, complete quantity and value data for that collapsed entity must be submitted.

Deadline for Withdrawal of Request for Administrative Review

Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.213(d)(1), a party that has requested a review may withdraw that request within 90 days of the date of publication of the notice of initiation of the requested review. The regulation provides that the Department may extend this time if it is reasonable to do so. In order to provide parties additional certainty with respect to when the Department will exercise its discretion to extend this 90-day

deadline, interested parties are advised that, with regard to reviews requested on the basis of anniversary months on or after May 2013, the Department does not intend to extend the 90-day deadline unless the requestor demonstrates that an extraordinary circumstance has prevented it from submitting a timely withdrawal request.

Determinations by the Department to extend the 90-day deadline will be made on a case-by-case basis.

The Department is providing this notice on its Web site, as well as in its "Opportunity to Request Administrative Review" notices, so that interested parties will be aware of the manner in

which the Department intends to exercise its discretion in the future.

Opportunity to Request a Review: Not later than the last day of May 2013,¹ interested parties may request administrative review of the following orders, findings, or suspended investigations, with anniversary dates in May for the following periods:

| | Period of review |
|--|------------------|
| Antidumping Duty Proceedings | |
| BELGIUM: Stainless Steel Plate in Coils, A-423-808 | 5/1/12-4/30/13 |
| BRAZIL: Iron Construction Castings, A-351-503 | 5/1/12-4/30/13 |
| CANADA: Citric Acid and Certain Citrate Salts, A-122-853 | 5/1/12-4/30/13 |
| INDIA: Circular Welded Carbon Steel Pipes and Tubes, A-533-502 | 5/1/12-4/30/13 |
| INDIA: Silicomanganese, A-533-823 | 5/1/12-4/30/13 |
| INDONESIA: Polyethylene Retail Carrier Bags, A-560-822 | 5/1/12-4/30/13 |
| JAPAN: Gray Portland Cement and Cement Clinker, A-588-815 | 5/1/12-4/30/13 |
| KAZAKHSTAN: Silicomanganese, A-834-807 | 5/1/12-4/30/13 |
| REPUBLIC OF KOREA: Polyester Staple Fiber, A-580-839 | 5/1/12-4/30/13 |
| SOUTH AFRICA: Stainless Steel Plate in Coils, A-791-805 | 5/1/12-4/30/13 |
| TAIWAN: | |
| Certain Circular Welded Carbon Steel Pipes and Tubes, A-583-008 | 5/1/12-4/30/13 |
| Polyester Staple Fiber, A-583-833 | 5/1/12-4/30/13 |
| Polyethylene Retail Carrier Bags, A-583-843 | 5/1/12-4/30/13 |
| Stainless Steel Plate in Coils, A-583-830 | 5/1/12-4/30/13 |
| Stilbenic Optical Brightening Agents, A-583-848 | 11/3/11-4/30/13 |
| THE PEOPLE'S REPUBLIC OF CHINA: | |
| Aluminum Extrusions, A-570-967 | 5/1/12-4/30/13 |
| Circular Welded Carbon Quality Steel Line Pipe, A-570-935 | 5/1/12-4/30/13 |
| Citric Acid and Citrate Salt, A-570-937 | 5/1/12-4/30/13 |
| Iron Construction Castings, A-570-502 | 5/1/12-4/30/13 |
| Oil Country Tubular Goods, A-570-943 | 5/1/12-4/30/13 |
| Pure Magnesium, A-570-832 | 5/1/12-4/30/13 |
| Stilbenic Optical Brightening Agents, A-570-972 | 11/3/11-4/30/13 |
| TURKEY: | |
| Circular Welded Carbon Steel Pipes and Tubes, A-489-501 | 5/1/12-4/30/13 |
| Light-Walled Rectangular Pipe and Tube, A-489-815 | 5/1/12-4/30/13 |
| UNITED ARAB EMIRATES: Steel Nails, A-520-804 | 11/3/11-4/30/13 |
| VENEZUELA: Silicomanganese, A-307-820 | 5/1/12-4/30/13 |
| SOCIALIST REPUBLIC OF VIETNAM: Polyethylene Retail Carrier Bags, A-552-806 | 5/1/12-4/30/13 |
| Countervailing Duty Proceedings | |
| BRAZIL: Iron Construction Castings, C-351-504 | 1/1/12-12/31/12 |
| SOUTH AFRICA: Stainless Steel Plate in Coils, C-791-806 | 1/1/12-12/31/12 |
| THE PEOPLE'S REPUBLIC OF CHINA: | |
| Aluminum Extrusions, C-570-968 | 1/1/12-12/31/12 |
| Citric Acid and Citrate Salt, C-570-938 | 1/1/12-12/31/12 |
| SOCIALIST REPUBLIC OF VIETNAM: Polyethylene Retail Carrier Bags, C-552-805 | 1/1/12-12/31/12 |

Suspension Agreements

None.

In accordance with 19 CFR 351.213(b), an interested party as defined by section 771(9) of the Act may request in writing that the Secretary conduct an administrative review. For both antidumping and countervailing duty reviews, the interested party must specify the individual producers or exporters covered by an antidumping finding or an antidumping or suspension agreement for which it is requesting a

review. In addition, a domestic interested party or an interested party described in section 771(9)(B) of the Act must state why it desires the Secretary to review those particular producers or exporters.² If the interested party intends for the Secretary to review sales of merchandise by an exporter (or a producer if that producer also exports merchandise from other suppliers) which were produced in more than one country of origin and each country of origin is subject to a separate order, then the interested party must state specifically, on an order-by-order basis,

which exporter(s) the request is intended to cover.

Please note that, for any party the Department was unable to locate in prior segments, the Department will not accept a request for an administrative review of that party absent new information as to the party's location. Moreover, if the interested party who files a request for review is unable to locate the producer or exporter for which it requested the review, the interested party must provide an explanation of the attempts it made to locate the producer or exporter at the

¹ Or the next business day, if the deadline falls on a weekend, federal holiday or any other day when the Department is closed.

² If the review request involves a non-market economy and the parties subject to the review request do not qualify for separate rates, all other exporters of subject merchandise from the non-

market economy country who do not have a separate rate will be covered by the review as part of the single entity of which the named firms are a part.

same time it files its request for review, in order for the Secretary to determine if the interested party's attempts were reasonable, pursuant to 19 CFR 351.303(f)(3)(ii).

As explained in *Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Proceedings: Assessment of Antidumping Duties*, 68 FR 23954 (May 6, 2003), the Department has clarified its practice with respect to the collection of final antidumping duties on imports of merchandise where intermediate firms are involved. The public should be aware of this clarification in determining whether to request an administrative review of merchandise subject to antidumping findings and orders. *See also* the Import Administration Web site at <http://trade.gov/ia>.

All requests must be filed electronically in Import Administration's Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Centralized Electronic Service System ("IA ACCESS") on the IA ACCESS Web site at <http://iaaccess.trade.gov>. *See Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Proceedings: Electronic Filing Procedures; Administrative Protective Order Procedures*, 76 FR 39263 (July 6, 2011). Further, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.303(f)(1)(i), a copy of each request must be served on the petitioner and each exporter or producer specified in the request.

The Department will publish in the **Federal Register** a notice of "Initiation of Administrative Review of Antidumping or Countervailing Duty Order, Finding, or Suspended Investigation" for requests received by the last day of May 2013. If the Department does not receive, by the last day of May 2013, a request for review of entries covered by an order, finding, or suspended investigation listed in this notice and for the period identified above, the Department will instruct CBP to assess antidumping or countervailing duties on those entries at a rate equal to the cash deposit of (or bond for) estimated antidumping or countervailing duties required on those entries at the time of entry, or withdrawal from warehouse, for consumption and to continue to collect the cash deposit previously ordered.

For the first administrative review of any order, there will be no assessment of antidumping or countervailing duties on entries of subject merchandise entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption during the relevant provisional-measures "gap" period, of the order, if such a gap period is applicable to the period of review.

This notice is not required by statute but is published as a service to the international trading community.

Dated: April 17, 2013.

Christian Marsh,

Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations.

[FR Doc. 2013-10293 Filed 4-30-13; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

Request for Stakeholder Comments on Doing Business in Africa Campaign

AGENCY: International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The United States Department of Commerce, International Trade Administration is amending the Notice published at 78 FR 22516, April 16, 2013, regarding the Doing Business in Africa Campaign, to extend the deadline date for comments from May 3, 2013 to the new deadline of May 24, 2013.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Frank Spector, Office of Domestic Operations, Trade Promotion Programs, Phone: 202-482-2054; Fax: 202-482-9000, Email: Frank.Spector@trade.gov.

Frank Spector,

Senior International Trade Specialist.

[FR Doc. 2013-10286 Filed 4-30-13; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-FP-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration

RIN 0648-XB154

Marine Mammals; File No. 16388

AGENCY: National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS), National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA), Commerce.

ACTION: Notice; issuance of permit.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that a permit has been issued to Mark Baumgartner, Ph.D., Woods Hole Oceanographic Institution, MS#33 Biology Department, Woods Hole, MA 02543, to conduct research on humpback whales (*Megaptera novaeangliae*), fin whales (*Balaenoptera physalus*), blue whales (*B. musculus*), sei whales (*B. borealis*), bowhead whales (*Balaena mysticetus*), North Atlantic right whales (*Eubalaena glacialis*), North Pacific right whales (*E.*

japonica), and Eastern North Pacific gray whales (*Eschrichtius robustus*).

ADDRESSES: The permit and related documents are available for review upon written request or by appointment in the following offices: See **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION**. **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Carrie Hubbard or Amy Hapeman, (301) 427-8401.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On May 29, 2012, notice was published in the **Federal Register** (77 FR 31585) that a request for a permit to conduct research on baleen whales had been submitted by the above-named applicant. The requested permit has been issued under the authority of the Marine Mammal Protection Act of 1972, as amended (16 U.S.C. 1361 *et seq.*), the regulations governing the taking and importing of marine mammals (50 CFR part 216), the Endangered Species Act of 1973, as amended (ESA; 16 U.S.C. 1531 *et seq.*), and the regulations governing the taking, importing, and exporting of endangered and threatened species (50 CFR parts 222-226).

Permit No. 16388 authorizes research on the diving behavior and foraging ecology of baleen whales in the northwest Atlantic from Maine to Florida; Canadian waters of the Gulf of Maine, Labrador Sea, Davis Strait, Baffin Bay, and Hudson Bay; waters off the U.S. North Pacific (California to Washington); and the Arctic Ocean including Bering, Chukchi and Beaufort Seas. Species to be targeted are humpback, fin, blue, sei, bowhead, North Atlantic right and North Pacific right, and Eastern North Pacific gray whales. Activities include vessel surveys for passive acoustic recording, dermal and suction cup tagging, behavioral observations, photo-id, and tracking. The permit is valid until April 30, 2018.

An environmental assessment (EA) was prepared analyzing the effects of the permitted activities on the human environment in compliance with the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (42 U.S.C. 4321 *et seq.*). Based on the analyses in the EA, NMFS determined that issuance of the permit would not significantly impact the quality of the human environment and that preparation of an environmental impact statement was not required. That determination is documented in a Finding of No Significant Impact (FONSI), signed on April 15, 2013.

As required by the ESA, issuance of this permit was based on a finding that such permit: (1) Was applied for in good faith; (2) will not operate to the disadvantage of such endangered