

teleconference to receive a visitor's badge.

UPDATES & POINT OF CONTACT: Please refer to the National Science Board Web site www.nsf.gov/nsb for additional information. Meeting information and updates (time, place, subject matter or status of meeting) may be found at <http://www.nsf.gov/nsb/notices/>. Point of contact for this meeting is: Jack Meszaros, 4201 Wilson Blvd., Arlington, VA 22230. Telephone: (703) 292-7000.

Ann Bushmiller,

Senior Counsel to the National Science Board.

[FR Doc. 2013-08642 Filed 4-9-13; 4:15 pm]

BILLING CODE 7555-01-P

NATIONAL TRANSPORTATION SAFETY BOARD

Investigative Hearing

On January 7, 2013, about 1021 eastern standard time, smoke was discovered by cleaning personnel in the aft cabin of a Japan Airlines (JAL) Boeing 787-8, JA829J, which was parked at a gate at General Edward Lawrence Logan International Airport (BOS), Boston, Massachusetts. About the same time, a maintenance manager in the cockpit observed that the auxiliary power unit (APU)—the sole source of airplane power at the time—had automatically shut down. Shortly afterward, a mechanic opened the aft electronic equipment bay and found heavy smoke and fire coming from the front of the APU battery case. No passengers or crewmembers were aboard the airplane at the time, and none of the maintenance or cleaning personnel aboard the airplane was injured. Aircraft rescue and firefighting personnel responded, and one firefighter received minor injuries. The airplane had arrived from Narita International Airport, Narita, Japan, as a regularly scheduled passenger flight operated as JAL flight 008 and conducted under the provisions of 14 Code of Federal Regulations Part 129.

The investigative hearing is being held to discuss the Boeing 787 battery and battery charger system. Areas that will be discussed include the selection and certification requirements, the battery system design, development, verification and validation processes and the FAA finding of compliance. The goals of this hearing will be to gather additional information on the selection of the lithium ion (Li-ion) battery technology and how this new technology was evaluated, the role of the prime contractor and subcontractors, development of the battery system

safety assessment, certification process structure and findings of compliance for the Boeing 787 Li-ion battery system.

Parties to the hearing include the Federal Aviation Administration, The Boeing Company, Thales Avionics and GS Yuasa.

Order of Proceedings

1. Opening Statement by the Chairman of the Board of Inquiry.
2. Introduction of the Board of Inquiry and Technical Panel.
3. Introduction of the Parties to the Hearing.
4. Introduction of Exhibits by Hearing Officer.
5. Overview of the incident and the investigation by Investigator-In-Charge.
6. Calling of Witnesses by Hearing Officer.
7. Closing Statement by the Chairman of the Board of Inquiry.

Additional information can be found on the web at: <http://www.nts.gov/news/2013/130402.html>.

The accident docket is DCA13IA037. The Investigative Hearing will be held in the NTSB Board Room and Conference Center, located at 429 L'Enfant Plaza E., SW., Washington, DC, Tuesday, April 23 and Wednesday, April 24th, 2013 at 9:00 a.m. The public can view the hearing in person or by live webcast at www.nts.gov. Webcast archives are generally available by the end of the next day following the hearing, and webcasts are archived for a period of 3 months from after the date of the event.

Individuals requesting specific accommodations should contact Ms. Rochelle Hall at (202) 314-6305 or by email at Rochelle.Hall@ntsb.gov by Friday, April 19, 2013.

NTSB Media Contact: Mr. Eric Weiss—eric.weiss@ntsb.gov.

NTSB Investigative Hearing Officer: Mr. David Helson—david.helson@ntsb.gov.

Dated: April 5, 2013.

Candi R. Bing,

Federal Register Liaison Officer.

[FR Doc. 2013-08407 Filed 4-10-13; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7533-01-P

POSTAL REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket No. CP2013-59; Order No. 1692]

International Mail Product

AGENCY: Postal Regulatory Commission.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The Commission is noticing a recent Postal Service filing concerning an additional International Reply

Service Competitive Contract 3 Negotiated Service Agreement. This notice informs the public of the filing, invites public comment, and takes other administrative steps.

DATES: *Comments are due:* April 12, 2013.

ADDRESSES: Submit comments electronically via the Commission's Filing Online system at <http://www.prc.gov>. Those who cannot submit comments electronically should contact the person identified in the **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** section by telephone for advice on filing alternatives.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Stephen L. Sharfman, General Counsel, at 202-789-6820.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Table of Contents

- I. Introduction
- II. Contents of Filing
- III. Notice of Proceeding
- IV. Ordering Paragraphs

I. Introduction

On April 4, 2013, the Postal Service filed a notice pursuant to 39 CFR 3015.5 announcing that it has entered into an additional International Business Reply Service (IBRS) Competitive Contract 3 negotiated service agreement (Agreement).¹ It seeks to have the Agreement included within the existing IBRS Competitive Contract 3 product on grounds of functional equivalence to the baseline agreement filed in Docket No. CP2011-59.² Notice at 3-5.

II. Contents of Filing

Agreement. The Postal Service states that the Agreement is with a customer who is entering into its first IBRS agreement. *Id.* at 3.

The Postal Service filed the following material in conjunction with its Notice, along with public (redacted) versions of supporting financial information:

- Attachment 1—a redacted copy of the Agreement;
- Attachment 2—the certified statement required by 39 CFR 3015.5(c)(2);
- Attachment 3—a copy of Governors' Decision No. 08-24; and
- Attachment 4—an application for non-public treatment of materials filed under seal.

¹ Notice of United States Postal Service Filing of a Functionally Equivalent International Business Reply Service Competitive Contract 3 Negotiated Service Agreement, April 4, 2013 (Notice).

² See Docket Nos. MC2011-21 and CP2011-59, Order No. 684, Order Approving International Business Reply Service Competitive Contract 3 Negotiated Service Agreement, February 28, 2011.

Functional equivalency. The Postal Service asserts that the Agreement is functionally equivalent to the baseline agreement filed in Docket No. CP2011–59 because it shares similar cost and market characteristics and meets the criteria in Governors’ Decision No. 08–24 concerning attributable costs. *Id.* at 3–4. The Postal Service further asserts that the functional terms of the Agreement and the baseline agreement are the same and the benefits are comparable. *Id.* at 4. It states that prices offered under the Agreement may differ from other IBRS 3 contracts due to differences in volumes, postage commitments, and pricing at the time of the Agreement’s execution, but asserts that these differences do not alter the functional equivalency of the Agreement and the baseline agreement. *Id.* at 4–5. The Postal Service also identifies differences between the terms of the baseline agreement and this Agreement, but asserts that these differences do not affect the fundamental service being offered or the fundamental structure of the Agreement.³ *Id.*

III. Notice of Proceeding

The Commission establishes Docket No. CP2013–59 for consideration of matters raised by the Postal Service’s Notice. Interested persons may submit comments on whether the Agreement is consistent with the requirements of 39 CFR part 3020 subpart B, 39 CFR 3015.5, and the policies of 39 U.S.C. 3632, 3633, and 3642. Comments are due no later than April 12, 2013. The public portions of this filing can be accessed via the Commission’s Web site, <http://www.prc.gov>. Information on how to obtain access to material filed under seal appears in 39 CFR part 3007.

The Commission appoints Curtis E. Kidd to serve as Public Representative in the captioned proceeding.

IV. Ordering Paragraphs

It is ordered:

1. The Commission establishes Docket No. CP2013–59 for consideration of the matters raised by the Postal Service’s Notice.

2. Comments by interested persons in this proceeding are due no later than April 12, 2013.

3. Pursuant to 39 U.S.C. 505, the Commission appoints Curtis E. Kidd to serve as an officer of the Commission (Public Representative) to represent the interests of the general public in this docket.

4. The Secretary shall arrange for publication of this order in the **Federal Register**.

By the Commission.

Ruth Ann Abrams,

Acting Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2013–08433 Filed 4–10–13; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7710–FW–P

POSTAL REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket No. CP2013–58; Order No. 1691]

International Mail Product

AGENCY: Postal Regulatory Commission.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The Commission is noticing a recent Postal Service filing concerning an additional International Reply Service Competitive Contract 3 Negotiated Service Agreement. This notice informs the public of the filing, invites public comment, and takes other administrative steps.

DATES: *Comments are due:* April 12, 2013.

ADDRESSES: Submit comments electronically via the Commission’s Filing Online system at <http://www.prc.gov>. Those who cannot submit comments electronically should contact the person identified in the **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** section by telephone for advice on filing alternatives.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Stephen L. Sharfman, General Counsel, at 202–789–6820.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Table of Contents

- I. Introduction
- II. Contents of Filing
- III. Notice of Proceeding
- IV. Ordering Paragraphs

I. Introduction

On April 4, 2013, the Postal Service filed a notice pursuant to 39 CFR 3015.5 announcing that it has entered into an additional International Business Reply Service (IBRS) Competitive Contract 3 negotiated service agreement (Agreement).¹ It seeks to have the Agreement included within the existing IBRS Competitive Contract 3 product on grounds of functional equivalence to the baseline agreement filed in Docket No. CP2011–59.² Notice at 4–6.

¹ Notice of United States Postal Service Filing of a Functionally Equivalent International Business Reply Service Competitive Contract 3 Negotiated Service Agreement, April 4, 2013 (Notice).

² See Docket Nos. MC2011–21 and CP2011–59, Order No. 684, Order Approving International Business Reply Service Competitive Contract 3 Negotiated Service Agreement, February 28, 2011.

II. Contents of Filing

Agreement. The Postal Service states that the Agreement is the successor to the agreement included in the IBRS Competitive Contract 3 product in Docket No. CP2012–17, and is on behalf of the same customer as in Docket No. CP2012–17. *Id.* at 3.

The Postal Service filed the following material in conjunction with its Notice:

- Attachment 1—a copy of the Agreement;
- Attachment 2—the certified statement required by 39 CFR 3015.5(c)(2);
- Attachment 3—a copy of Governors’ Decision No. 08–24; and
- Attachment 4—an application for non-public treatment of materials filed under seal.

Attachments 1 through 3 were filed in redacted (public) and unredacted (sealed) versions.

Effective date; duration. The Postal Service intends the Agreement to take effect April 24, 2013. *Id.* The Agreement expires 1 year after its effective date unless terminated earlier. *Id.* at 4.

Functional equivalency. The Postal Service asserts that the Agreement is functionally equivalent to the baseline agreement filed in Docket No. CP2011–59 because it shares similar cost and market characteristics and meets the criteria in Governors’ Decision No. 08–24 concerning attributable costs. *Id.* The Postal Service further asserts that the functional terms of the Agreement and the baseline agreement are the same and the benefits are comparable. *Id.* It states that prices offered under the Agreement may differ from other IBRS 3 contracts due to differences in volume, postage commitments, and pricing at the time of the Agreement’s execution, but asserts that these differences do not alter the functional equivalency of the Agreement and the baseline agreement. *Id.* at 5.

The Postal Service states that there are differences between the terms of the two agreements, but characterizes them as minor, and asserts that they do not affect the fundamental service being offered or the fundamental structure of the Agreement.³ *Id.*

III. Notice of Proceeding

The Commission establishes Docket No. CP2013–58 for consideration of matters raised by the Postal Service’s

³ Differences include an additional phrase in Article 15, captioned Confidentiality, stating that the Postal Service may be required to file information (such as revenue, cost or volume data) related to the Agreement in other Commission dockets and an additional Article 30, captioned Intellectual Property, Co-Branding and Licensing). *Id.* at 5–6.