

on all appropriate entries. The Department intends to issue assessment instructions to CBP 15 days after the date of publication of the final results of review.

For any individually examined respondents whose weighted-average dumping margin is above *de minimis*, we will calculate importer-specific *ad valorem* duty assessment rates based on the ratio of the total amount of dumping calculated for the importer's examined sales to the total entered value of those same sales in accordance with 19 CFR 351.212(b)(1).<sup>5</sup> We will instruct CBP to assess antidumping duties on all appropriate entries covered by this review when the importer-specific assessment rate calculated in the final results of this review is above *de minimis*. Where either the respondent's weighted-average dumping margin is zero or *de minimis*, or an importer-specific assessment rate is zero or *de minimis*, we will instruct CBP to liquidate the appropriate entries without regard to antidumping duties.

The final results of this review shall be the basis for the assessment of antidumping duties on entries of merchandise covered by the final results of this review and for future deposits of estimated duties, where applicable.

#### Cash Deposit Requirements

The following deposit requirements will be effective for all shipments of PET Film from the UAE entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the date of publication of the final results of this administrative review, as provided for by section 751(a)(2)(C) of the Act: (1) The cash deposit rate for the companies under review will be the rate established in the final results of this review (except, if the rate is zero or *de minimis*, no cash deposit will be required); (2) for previously reviewed or investigated companies not listed above, the cash deposit rate will continue to be the company-specific rate published for the most recent period; (3) if the exporter is not a firm covered in this review, a prior review, or the less-than-fair-value investigation, but the manufacturer is, the cash deposit rate will be the rate established for the most recent period for the manufacturer of the merchandise; and (4) the cash deposit rate for all other manufacturers or exporters will continue to be 4.05

<sup>5</sup> In these preliminary results, the Department applied the assessment rate calculation methodology adopted in *Antidumping Proceedings: Calculation of the Weighted-Average Dumping Margin and Assessment Rate in Certain Antidumping Proceedings: Final Modification*, 77 FR 8101 (February 14, 2012).

percent, the all-others rate established in the investigation.<sup>6</sup> These cash deposit requirements, when imposed, shall remain in effect until further notice.

#### Notification to Importers

This notice also serves as a preliminary reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Department's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties.

These preliminary results of administrative review are issued and published in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Act.

Dated: November 30, 2012.

**Ronald K. Lorentzen,**

*Acting Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.*

#### Appendix

##### List of Topics Discussed in the Preliminary Decision Memorandum

1. Comparisons to Normal Value
2. Product Comparisons
3. Arm's-Length Test
4. Date of Sale
5. JBF's Margin Calculation
6. FLEX's Margin Calculation
7. Currency Conversions

[FR Doc. 2012-29643 Filed 12-6-12; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

[A-570-908]

#### Sodium Hexametaphosphate From the People's Republic of China: Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2011-2012

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**SUMMARY:** In response to requests from interested parties, the Department of Commerce (the "Department") is conducting the third administrative review of the antidumping duty order on sodium hexametaphosphate ("sodium hex") from the People's

<sup>6</sup> See *Polyethylene Terephthalate Film, Sheet, and Strip from Brazil, the People's Republic of China and the United Arab Emirates: Antidumping Duty Orders and Amended Final Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value for the United Arab Emirates*, 73 FR 66595, 66597 (November 10, 2008).

Republic of China ("PRC") for the period of review ("POR") March 1, 2011, through February 29, 2012. The Department has preliminarily determined that there are no reviewable entries during the POR.

**DATES:** *Effective Date:* December 7, 2012.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Paul Walker, AD/CVD Operations, Office 9, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone 202.482.0413.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

##### Scope of the Order

The scope of this order consists of sodium hexametaphosphate.<sup>1</sup> The merchandise subject to this order is currently classifiable in the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States ("HTSUS") statistical reporting number 2835.39.5000. However, it may also be imported as a blend or mixture under heading 3824.90.3900. Although the HTSUS subheadings are provided for convenience and customs purposes, the written product description, available in the *Order* remains dispositive.<sup>2</sup>

##### Preliminary Finding of No Shipments

Hubei Xingfa Chemical Group Co., Ltd. ("Hubei Xingfa") and Sichuan Mianzhu Norwest Phosphate Co. ("Norwest") submitted timely-filed certifications that they had no sales of subject merchandise to the United States during the POR.<sup>3</sup> The Department also received information from U.S. Customs and Border Protection ("CBP") indicating that there were no reviewable transactions from Hubei Xingfa or Norwest during the POR. Therefore, we preliminarily determine that Hubei Xingfa and Norwest had no reviewable transactions of subject merchandise during the POR. Because Hubei Xingfa and Norwest submitted timely no-shipment certifications and CBP data indicated that there were no reviewable transactions for these companies during the POR, we preliminarily determine that these two companies will retain their separate rate from the previous

<sup>1</sup> See "Decision Memorandum for Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review: Sodium Hexametaphosphate from the People's Republic of China," ("Preliminary Decision Memorandum") from Christian Marsh, Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations to Paul Piquado, Assistant Secretary for Import Administration, dated concurrently with these results and hereby adopted by this notice.

<sup>2</sup> See *Notice of Antidumping Duty Order: Sodium Hexametaphosphate from the People's Republic of China*, 73 FR 14772 (March 19, 2008) ("Order").

<sup>3</sup> See Hubei Xingfa's letter dated May 4, 2012; see also Norwest's letter dated June 29, 2012.

administrative review. For a full description of the methodology underlying our conclusions, *see* the Preliminary Decision Memorandum.<sup>4</sup> The Preliminary Decision Memorandum is a public document and is on file electronically *via* Import Administration's Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Centralized Electronic Service System ("IA ACCESS"). IA ACCESS is available to registered users at <http://iaaccess.trade.gov> and in the Central Records Unit ("CRU"), room 7046 of the main Department of Commerce building. In addition, a complete version of the Preliminary Decision Memorandum can be accessed directly on the Internet at <http://www.trade.gov/ia/>. The signed Preliminary Decision Memorandum and the electronic versions of the Preliminary Decision Memorandum are identical in content.

### PRC-Wide Entity

There are 13 other companies also under review in this segment, none of which have a separate rate from a prior segment of this proceeding.<sup>5</sup> Because these companies have not established their eligibility for a separate rate, the Department preliminarily determines that they will continue to be considered part of the PRC-wide entity.<sup>6</sup>

### Preliminary Results of Review

The Department preliminarily determines that the following weighted-average dumping margin exists:

Exporter	Weighted average dumping margin
PRC-wide Entity <sup>7</sup> .....	188.05

### Public Comment

Interested parties who wish to request a hearing, or to participate if one is

requested, must submit a written request to the Assistant Secretary for Import Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, filed electronically in IA ACCESS. An electronically filed document must be received successfully in its entirety by the Department's electronic records system, IA ACCESS, by 5 p.m. Eastern Time within 30 days after the date of publication of this notice.<sup>8</sup> Requests should contain the party's name, address, and telephone number, the number of participants, and a list of the issues to be discussed. If a request for a hearing is made, the Department will inform parties of the scheduled date for the hearing which will be held at the U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230, at a time and location to be determined. Parties should confirm by telephone the date, time, and location of the hearing. Interested parties are invited to comment on the preliminary results of this review.

The Department will consider case briefs filed by interested parties within 30 days after the date of publication of this notice in the **Federal Register**.<sup>9</sup> Interested parties may file rebuttal briefs, limited to issues raised in the case briefs.<sup>10</sup> The Department will consider rebuttal briefs filed not later than five days after the time limit for filing case briefs. Parties who submit arguments are requested to submit with each argument a statement of the issue, a brief summary of the argument, and a table of authorities cited. The Department intends to issue the final results of this administrative review, including the results of our analysis of issues raised in the written comments, within 120 days of publication of these preliminary results.

### Assessment Rates

Upon issuance of the final results, the Department will determine, and CBP shall assess, antidumping duties on all appropriate entries. The Department intends to issue assessment instructions to CBP 15 days after the date of publication of the final results of review. The Department recently announced a refinement to its assessment practice in NME cases. Pursuant to this refinement in practice,

and Exp Co., Ltd., Hubei Xingfa Chemical Export Import Co. Ltd., Rushan Wooyoung Trading Co., Ltd., Unison Chemical Industrial Co, Ltd. and Zhejiang Chun-an Foreign Trade Co.

<sup>8</sup> See section 351.310(c) of the Department's regulations.

<sup>9</sup> See section 351.309(c)(1)(ii) of the Department's regulations.

<sup>10</sup> See section 351.309(d) of the Department's regulations.

for entries that were not reported by companies examined during this review, the Department will instruct CBP to liquidate such entries at the NME-wide rate. In addition, if the Department determines that an exporter under review had no shipments of the subject merchandise, any suspended entries that entered under that exporter's case number (*i.e.*, at that exporter's rate) will be liquidated at the NME-wide rate.<sup>11</sup>

### Cash Deposit Requirements

The following cash deposit requirements will be effective upon publication of the final results of this administrative review for shipments of the subject merchandise from the PRC entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the publication date, as provided by sections 751(a)(2)(C) of the Act: (1) For previously investigated or reviewed PRC and non-PRC exporters not listed above that received a separate rate in a prior segment of this proceeding, the cash deposit rate will continue to be the existing exporter-specific rate; (2) for all PRC exporters of subject merchandise that have not been found to be entitled to a separate rate, the cash deposit rate will be that for the PRC-wide entity; and (3) for all non-PRC exporters of subject merchandise which have not received their own rate, the cash deposit rate will be the rate applicable to the PRC exporter that supplied that non-PRC exporter. These deposit requirements, when imposed, shall remain in effect until further notice.

### Notification to Importers

This notice also serves as a preliminary reminder to importers of their responsibility under section 351.402(f)(2) of the Department's regulations to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Department's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties.

This determination is issued and published in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Act and section 351.221(b)(4) of the Department's regulations.

<sup>11</sup> See *Non-Market Economy Antidumping Proceedings: Assessment of Antidumping Duties*, 76 FR 65694 (October 24, 2011).

<sup>4</sup> See Preliminary Decision Memorandum.

<sup>5</sup> These companies are: Aditya Birla Chemicals (Thailand) Ltd., Anhui Technology Import & Export Co., Ltd., Anshan Career Economic Trade Co., Ltd., Blue Science Limited, Boon Stream Chemical International Trade, Chengdu Boon Stream Chemical Industry Co., Ltd., Dezhou Hualude Hardware Products Co. Ltd., Gatehouse International Freight Ltd., Henan Sinchems Imp and Exp Co., Ltd., Hubei Xingfa Chemical Export Import Co. Ltd., Rushan Wooyoung Trading Co., Ltd., Unison Chemical Industrial Co, Ltd. and Zhejiang Chun-an Foreign Trade Co.

<sup>6</sup> See the Preliminary Decision Memorandum.

<sup>7</sup> The PRC-wide entity includes Aditya Birla Chemicals (Thailand) Ltd., Anhui Technology Import & Export Co., Ltd., Anshan Career Economic Trade Co., Ltd., Blue Science Limited, Boon Stream Chemical International Trade, Chengdu Boon Stream Chemical Industry Co., Ltd., Dezhou Hualude Hardware Products Co. Ltd., Gatehouse International Freight Ltd., Henan Sinchems Imp

Dated: November 29, 2012.

**Paul Piquado,**

*Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.*

[FR Doc. 2012-29642 Filed 12-6-12; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

[A-423-808]

#### **Stainless Steel Plate in Coils From Belgium: Antidumping Duty Administrative Review, 2010-2011**

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce.

**SUMMARY:** On June 1, 2012, the Department of Commerce (the Department) published the preliminary results of the antidumping duty order on stainless steel plate in coils (steel plate) from Belgium.<sup>1</sup> This review covers one manufacturer/exporter of the subject merchandise: Aperam Stainless Belgium N.V. (AS Belgium). The period of review (POR) is May 1, 2010, through April 30, 2011.

Based on our analysis of the comments received, we have made changes to the *Preliminary Results*. For the final dumping weighted-average dumping margin, see the "Final Results of Review" section below.

**DATES:** *Effective Date:* December 7, 2012.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Jolanta Lawska at 202-482-8362; Office of AD/CVD Operations 8, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230.

#### **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

##### **Background**

On June 1, 2012, the Department published in the **Federal Register** the *Preliminary Results*. We invited interested parties to comment on the *Preliminary Results*. On September 17, 2012, the Department received case briefs from AS Belgium and the petitioners.<sup>2</sup> On September 24, 2012, the Department received rebuttal briefs from

AS Belgium and the petitioners. No party requested a hearing.

On July 29, 2012, the Department issued a memorandum extending the time period for issuing the final results of the administrative review from September 27, 2012, to November 28, 2012.<sup>3</sup> As explained in the memorandum from the Assistant Secretary for Import Administration, the Department has exercised its discretion to toll deadlines for the duration of the closure of the Federal Government from October 29, through October 30, 2012. Thus, all deadlines in this segment of the proceeding have been extended by two days. The revised deadline for the final results of this review is now November 30, 2012.<sup>4</sup>

On October 22, 2012, the Department issued a post-preliminary analysis memorandum in which addressed the petitioners' targeted dumping allegations.<sup>5</sup> On October 29, 2012, AS Belgium submitted its case brief on the post-preliminary analysis memorandum. On November 2, 2012, the petitioners submitted their rebuttal brief to AS Belgium's case brief.

##### **Scope of the Order**

The merchandise subject to the order is certain stainless steel plate in coils. The product is currently classified under the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS) item numbers 7219.11.00.30, 7219.11.00.60, 7219.12.00.02, 7219.12.00.05, 7219.12.00.06, 7219.12.00.20, 7219.12.00.21, 7219.12.00.25, 7219.12.00.26, 7219.12.00.50, 7219.12.00.51, 7219.12.00.55, 7219.12.00.56, 7219.12.00.65, 7219.12.00.66, 7219.12.00.70, 7219.12.00.71, 7219.12.00.80, 7219.12.00.81, 7219.31.00.10, 7219.90.00.10, 7219.90.00.20, 7219.90.00.25, 7219.90.00.60, 7219.90.00.80, 7220.11.00.00, 7220.20.10.10, 7220.20.10.15, 7220.20.10.60, 7220.20.10.80,

7220.20.60.05, 7220.20.60.10, 7220.20.60.15, 7220.20.60.60, 7220.20.60.80, 7220.90.00.10, 7220.90.00.15, and 7220.90.00.60. Although the HTSUS subheadings are provided for convenience and customs purposes, the written product description, available in the order, remains dispositive.<sup>6</sup>

##### **Analysis of Comments Received**

All issues raised in the case briefs, rebuttal briefs, and post-preliminary comments by parties to this administrative review are addressed in the Issues and Decision Memorandum for the Final Results of the Administrative Review of Stainless Steel Plate in Coils from Belgium from Christian Marsh, Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations, to Ronald K. Lorentzen, Acting Assistant Secretary for Import Administration (Decision Memorandum), dated concurrently with this notice and which is hereby adopted by this notice.

A list of the issues which parties raised is attached to this notice as Appendix I. The Decision Memorandum is a public document and is on file in the Central Records Unit (CRU), room 7046 of the main Department of Commerce building, as well as electronically via Import Administration's Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Centralized Electronic Service System (IA ACCESS). IA ACCESS is available to registered users at <http://iaaccess.trade.gov> and in the CRU. In addition, a complete version of the Decision Memorandum can be accessed directly on the Internet at <http://www.trade.gov/ia/>. The signed Decision Memorandum and the electronic versions of the Decision Memorandum are identical in content.

##### **Changes Since the Preliminary Results**

Based on our analysis of comments received for AS Belgium, we have recalculated AS Belgium's weighted-average dumping margin. AS Belgium's adjustments are discussed in detail in the accompanying final calculation memorandum.<sup>7</sup>

<sup>1</sup> See *Stainless Steel Plate in Coils From Belgium: Notice of Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review*, 77 FR 32517 (June 1, 2012) (*Preliminary Results*).

<sup>2</sup> The petitioners are Alleghany Ludlum Corporation, North American Stainless, United Auto Workers Local 3303, Zanesville Arco Independent Organization, and the United Steel, Paper and Forestry, Rubber, Manufacturing, Energy, Allied Industrial and Service Workers International Union (AFL-CIO/CLC).

<sup>3</sup> See Memorandum from Eric B. Greynolds, Program Manager, to Christian Marsh, Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations titled "Antidumping Duty Administrative Review: Stainless Steel Plate in Coils from Belgium. Extension of Deadline for Final Results," (June 29, 2012).

<sup>4</sup> See Memorandum from Paul Piquado, Assistant Secretary for Import Administration regarding "Tolling of Administrative Deadline as Result of the Government Closure during Hurricane Sandy," dated October 31, 2012.

<sup>5</sup> See Memorandum from Christian Marsh, Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations to Paul Piquado, Assistant Secretary for Import Administration, 2010/2011 Review of the Antidumping Duty Orders on Stainless Steel Plate in Coils (Steel Plate) from Belgium: Post-Preliminary Analysis Memorandum, dated October 22, 2012 (Post-Preliminary Analysis).

<sup>6</sup> See *Antidumping Duty Orders; Certain Stainless Steel Plate in Coils From Belgium, Canada, Italy, the Republic of Korea, South Africa, and Taiwan*, 64 FR 27756 (May 21, 1999).

<sup>7</sup> See Memorandum to the File from Jolanta Lawska, Case Analyst entitled "Calculation Memorandum for Aperam Stainless Belgium N.V. (AS Belgium) for the Final Results of the 10th Administrative Review of Stainless Steel Plate in Coils from Belgium," dated November 30, 2012.