not reflect the total burden. For this reason, the respondent provided that the agency should reassess the estimated total burden hours and revise the estimate upwards to be more accurate, as was done in FAR Case 2007–006. The same respondent also provided that the burden of compliance with the information collection requirement greatly exceeds the agency's estimate and outweighs any potential utility of the extension.

Response: Serious consideration is given, during the open comment period, to all comments received and adjustments are made to the paperwork burden estimate based on reasonable considerations provided by the public. This is evidenced, as the respondent notes, in FAR Case 2007–006 where an adjustment was made from the total preparation hours from three to 60. This change was made considering particularly the hours that would be required for review within the company, prior to release to the Government.

The burden is prepared taking into consideration the necessary criteria in OMB guidance for estimating the paperwork burden put on the entity submitting the information. For example, consideration is given to an entity reviewing instructions; using technology to collect, process, and disclose information; adjusting existing practices to comply with requirements; searching data sources; completing and reviewing the response; and transmitting or disclosing information. The estimated burden hours for a collection are based on an average

between the hours that a simple disclosure by a very small business might require and the much higher numbers that might be required for a very complex disclosure by a major corporation. Also, the estimated burden hours should only include projected hours for those actions which a company would not undertake in the normal course of business. Careful consideration went into assessing the estimated burden hours for this collection, and it is determined that an upward adjustment is not required at this time. However, at any point, members of the public may submit comments for further consideration, and are encouraged to provide data to support their request for an adjustment.

# C. Annual Reporting Burden

Respondents: 3,440.

Responses per Respondent: 5. Annual Responses: 17,200. Hours per Response: .167. Total Burden Hours: 2,872.

Obtaining Copies of Proposals: Requesters may obtain a copy of the information collection documents from the General Services Administration, Regulatory Secretariat (MVCB), 1275 First Street NE., Washington, DC 20417, telephone (202) 501–4755. Please cite OMB Control No. 9000–0043, Delivery Schedules, in all correspondence. Dated: August 17, 2012.

#### William Clark,

Acting Director, Federal Acquisition Policy Division, Office of Governmentwide Acquisition Policy, Office of Acquisition Policy, Office of Governmentwide Policy. [FR Doc. 2012–21359 Filed 8–29–12; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6820-EP-P

#### **DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE**

# Office of the Secretary

[Transmittal Nos. 12-44]

# 36(b)(1) Arms Sales Notification

**AGENCY:** Defense Security Cooperation Agency, Department of Defense.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** The Department of Defense is publishing the unclassified text of a section 36(b)(1) arms sales notification. This is published to fulfill the requirements of section 155 of Public Law 104–164 dated July 21, 1996.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Ms. B. English, DSCA/DBO/CFM, (703) 601–3740

The following is a copy of a letter to the Speaker of the House of Representatives, Transmittals 12–44 with attached transmittal, policy justification, and Sensitivity of Technology.

Dated: August 27, 2012.

#### Aaron Siegel,

Alternate OSD Federal Register Liaison Officer, Department of Defense.

BILLING CODE 5001-06-P



# DEFENSE SECURITY COOPERATION AGENCY

201 12TH STREET SOUTH, STE 203 ARLINGTON, VA 22202-5408

AUG 21 2012

The Honorable John A. Boehner Speaker of the House U.S. House of Representatives Washington, DC 20515

Dear Mr. Speaker:

Pursuant to the reporting requirements of Section 36(b)(1) of the Arms Export Control Act, as amended, we are forwarding herewith Transmittal No. 12-44, concerning the Department of the Air Force's proposed Letter(s) of Offer and Acceptance to Indonesia for defense articles and services estimated to cost \$25 million. After this letter is delivered to your office, we plan to issue a press statement to notify the public of this proposed sale.

Sincerely,

Richard A. Genaille, Jr.
Deputy Director

#### Enclosures:

- 1. Transmittal
- 2. Policy Justification
- 3. Sensitivity of Technology



#### BILLING CODE 5001-06-C

Transmittal No. 12-44

Notice of Proposed Issuance of Letter of Offer Pursuant to Section 36(b)(1) of the Arms Export Control Act, as amended

- (i) Prospective Purchaser: Indonesia
- (ii) Total Estimated Value:

Major Defense Equipment\* \$ 25 million. Other ....... 0 million.

TOTAL ...... 25 million.

- $^{*}$  as defined in Section 47(6) of the Arms Export Control Act.
- (iii) Description and Quantity or Quantities of Articles or Services under

Consideration for Purchase: 18 AGM-65K2 MAVERICK All-Up-Round Missiles, 36 TGM-65K2 Captive Air Training Missiles, 3 TGM-65D Maintenance Training Missiles, spare and repair parts, support equipment, tool and test equipment, personnel training and training equipment, publications and technical data, U.S. Government and contractor technical and logistics personnel services and other related elements of program and logistics support.

(iv) *Military Department:* Air Force (YBE, Amendment #1)

- (v) *Prior Related Cases, if any:* FMS case YBE–\$3M–21Oct09
- (vi) Sales Commission, Fee, etc., Paid, Offered, or Agreed to be Paid: None
- (vii) Sensitivity of Technology Contained in the Defense Article or Defense Services Proposed to be Sold: See Attached Annex
- (viii) Date Report Delivered to Congress: 21 Aug 2012

#### POLICY JUSTIFICATION

Indonesia—AGM–65K2 MAVERICK Missiles

The Government of Indonesia has requested a possible sale of 18 AGM-

65K2 MAVERICK All-Up-Round Missiles, 36 TGM-65K2 Captive Air Training Missiles, 3 TGM-65D Maintenance Training Missiles, spare and repair parts, support equipment, tool and test equipment, personnel training and training equipment, publications and technical data, U.S. Government and contractor technical and logistics personnel services and other related elements of program and logistics support. The estimated cost is \$25 million.

This proposed sale will contribute to the foreign policy and national security of the United States by helping to improve the security of a friendly country which has been, and continues to be, an important force for political stability and economic progress in Southeast Asia.

The Indonesian Air Force (IAF) needs these missiles to train its F–16 pilots in basic air-to-ground weapons employment. The quantities in the proposed sale will support the IAF's existing fleet of 10 F–16s, as well as the 24 F–16s being provided as Excess Defense Articles. The proposed sale will foster continued cooperation between the U.S. and Indonesia, making Indonesia a more valuable regional partner in an important area of the world.

The proposed sale of this equipment will not alter the basic military balance in the region.

The principal contractors will be Raytheon Missile Systems in Tucson, Arizona. There are no known offset agreements proposed in connection with this potential sale.

Implementation of this proposed sale will not require the assignment of additional U.S. Government or contractor representatives to Indonesia.

There will be no adverse impact on U.S. defense readiness as a result of this proposed sale.

#### Transmittal No. 12-44

Notice of Proposed Issuance of Letter of Offer Pursuant to Section 36(b)(1) of the Arms Export Control Act

# Annex

Item No. vii

(vii) Sensitivity of Technology:

1. The AGM-65K MAVERICK is an air-to-ground tactical missile designed for close air support. The missile hardware is Unclassified, but has an overall classification of Secret. The Secret aspects of the MAVERICK system are tactics, information revealing its vulnerability to countermeasures, and counter-countermeasures. Manuals and technical documents that are necessary for operational use and organizational

maintenance have portions that are classified Confidential. Performance and operating logic of the countermeasures circuits are Secret.

2. If a technologically advanced adversary were to obtain knowledge of the specific hardware and software elements, the information could be used to develop countermeasures which might reduce weapon system effectiveness or be used in the development of a system with similar or advanced capabilities.

[FR Doc. 2012–21436 Filed 8–29–12; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 5001–06–P

#### **DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE**

#### Office of the Secretary

# Defense Acquisition University Board of Visitors; Notice of Meeting; Cancellation

**AGENCY:** Defense Acquisition University (DAU), DoD.

**ACTION:** Meeting notice; cancellation.

SUMMARY: On August 16, 2012 (77 FR 49439), the Defense Acquisition University Board of Visitors announced a meeting to be held Wednesday, September 12, 2012, from 8:30 a.m. to 1 p.m. at the Defense Acquisition University Headquarters, 9820 Belvoir Road in Fort Belvoir, Virginia.

Pursuant to the Federal Advisory Committee Act of 1972 (5 U.S.C., Appendix, as amended), the Government in the Sunshine Act of 1976 (5 U.S.C. 552b, as amended), and 41 CFR 102–3.150, the Department of Defense announces that this meeting is cancelled due to scheduling conflicts.

# FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Christen Goulding, Protocol Director, DAU; Phone: 703–805–5134, Fax: 703–805–5940, Email:

christen.goulding@dau.mil.

Dated: August 27, 2012.

#### Aaron Siegel,

Alternate OSD Federal Register Liaison Officer, Department of Defense.

[FR Doc. 2012–21416 Filed 8–29–12; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 5001-06-P

### **DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE**

# Office of the Secretary

# Reestablishment of Department of Defense Federal Advisory Committees

**AGENCY:** Department of Defense, DoD. **ACTION:** Reestablishment of Federal Advisory Committee.

SUMMARY: Under the provisions of 10 U.S.C. 2166(e), the Federal Advisory Committee Act of 1972 (5 U.S.C. Appendix), the Government in the Sunshine Act of 1976 (5 U.S.C. 552b), and 41 CFR 102–3.50(a), the Department of Defense gives notice that it is reestablishing the charter for the Board of Visitors, National Defense University (hereafter referred to as "the Board").

The Board shall provide independent advice and recommendations on the overall management and governance of the National Defense University in

achieving its mission.

The Board shall report to the Deputy Secretary of Defense and Secretary of Defense through the Chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff and the President of the National Defense University. The Chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff may act upon the Board's advice and recommendations. The Board shall be comprised of no more than twelve members, who are appointed by the Secretary of Defense. The members are eminent authorities in the fields of defense, management, leadership, academia, national military strategy or joint planning at all levels of war, joint doctrine, joint command and control, or joint requirements and development. The Secretary of Defense may approve the appointment of Board members for one to four year terms of service, with annual renewals; however, no member, unless authorized by the Secretary of Defense, may serve more than two consecutive terms of service. This same term of service limitation also applies to any DoD authorized subcommittees. Board members appointed by the Secretary of Defense, who are not fulltime or permanent part-time federal employees, shall be appointed to serve as experts and consultants under the authority of 5 U.S.C. 3109, and to serve as special government employees. In addition, all Board members, with the exception of travel and per diem for official travel, shall serve without compensation. Each Board member is appointed to provide advice on behalf of the government on the basis of his or her best judgment without representing any particular point of view and in a manner that is free from conflict of

The Board Membership shall present recommendations for the Board's Chairperson and the Co-Chairperson from the total Board membership to the Chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff, and these individuals shall serve at the discretion of the Secretary of Defense. The Chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff may invite other distinguished Government officers to serve as nonvoting observers of the Board. In