2, Groups 1 and 3 airplanes require top kits 015U1854-3 and 015U1854-4.

(4) Where Paragraph 1., "Kits/Parts," of Paragraph 2.C., "Parts Necessary for Each Airplane," of Boeing Alert Service Bulletin 747–25A3555, Revision 1, dated July 27, 2011, states that Group 2 airplanes require top kits 015U1854–3 and 015U1854–4, Group 2 airplanes require top kits 015U1854–2.

## (i) Alternative Methods of Compliance (AMOCs)

(1) The Manager, Seattle Aircraft Certification Office (ACO), FAA, has the authority to approve AMOCs for this AD, if requested using the procedures found in 14 CFR 39.19. In accordance with 14 CFR 39.19, send your request to your principal inspector or local Flight Standards District Office, as appropriate. If sending information directly to the manager of the ACO, send it to the attention of the person identified in the Related Information section of this AD. Information may be emailed to: 9-ANM-Seattle-ACO-AMOC-Requests@faa.gov.

(2) Before using any approved AMOC, notify your appropriate principal inspector, or lacking a principal inspector, the manager of the local flight standards district office/certificate holding district office.

#### (j) Related Information

For more information about this AD, contact Francis Smith, Aerospace Engineer, Cabin Safety and Environmental Systems Branch, ANM–150S, FAA, Seattle Aircraft Certification Office, 1601 Lind Avenue SW., Renton, WA 98057–3356; phone: 425–917–6596; fax: 425–917–6590; email: francis.smith@faa.gov.

#### (k) Material Incorporated by Reference

- (1) The Director of the Federal Register approved the incorporation by reference (IBR) of the service information listed in this paragraph under 5 U.S.C. 552(a) and 1 CFR part 51.
- (2) You must use this service information as applicable to do the actions required by this AD, unless the AD specifies otherwise.
- (i) Boeing Alert Service Bulletin 747–25A3555, Revision 1, dated July 27, 2011.
  - (ii) Reserved.
- (3) For service information identified in this AD, contact Boeing Commercial Airplanes, Attention: Data & Services Management, P.O. Box 3707, MC 2H–65, Seattle, WA 98124–2207; telephone 206–544–5000, extension 1; fax 206–766–5680; Internet https://www.myboeingfleet.com.
- (4) You may review copies of the referenced service information at the FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate, 1601 Lind Avenue SW., Renton, WA. For information on the availability of this material at the FAA, call 425–227–1221.
- (5) You may also review copies of the service information that is incorporated by reference at the National Archives and Records Administration (NARA). For information on the availability of this material at NARA, call 202–741–6030, or go to: http://www.archives.gov/federal-register/cfr/ibr-locations.html.

Issued in Renton, Washington, on July 20, 2012

#### Kalene C. Yanamura,

Acting Manager, Transport Airplane Directorate, Aircraft Certification Service. [FR Doc. 2012–18583 Filed 8–6–12; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4910–13–P

#### **DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION**

#### **Federal Aviation Administration**

#### 14 CFR Part 39

[Docket No. FAA-2012-0269; Directorate Identifier 2011-NM-105-AD; Amendment 39-17140; AD 2012-15-11]

#### RIN 2120-AA64

# Airworthiness Directives; Dassault Aviation Airplanes

**AGENCY:** Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), Department of Transportation (DOT).

**ACTION:** Final rule.

**SUMMARY:** We are adopting a new airworthiness directive (AD) for certain Dassault Aviation Model FALCON 7X airplanes. This AD was prompted by a report that a passenger oxygen pipe at frame 10 was chafing against the forward lavatory rear structure, raising the risk of the oxygen pipe developing a crack. This AD requires modifying the routing of and, if necessary, replacing, the oxygen pipe. We are issuing this AD to prevent rupture of the oxygen pipe which, in case of a cabin depressurization, would impair operation of the passenger oxygen distribution system.

**DATES:** This AD becomes effective September 11, 2012.

The Director of the Federal Register approved the incorporation by reference of a certain publication listed in this AD as of September 11, 2012.

ADDRESSES: You may examine the AD docket on the Internet at http://www.regulations.gov or in person at the U.S. Department of Transportation, Docket Operations, M–30, West Building Ground Floor, Room W12–140, 1200 New Jersey Avenue SE., Washington, DC.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Tom Rodriguez, Aerospace Engineer, International Branch, ANM-116, Transport Airplane Directorate, FAA, 1601 Lind Avenue SW., Renton, Washington 98057-3356; telephone (425) 227-1137; fax (425) 227-1149.

## SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

#### **Discussion**

We issued a notice of proposed rulemaking (NPRM) to amend 14 CFR

part 39 to include an AD that would apply to the specified products. That NPRM was published in the **Federal Register** on March 20, 2012 (77 FR 16186). That NPRM proposed to correct an unsafe condition for the specified products. The MCAI states:

Inspections of two aeroplanes during cabin completions have shown that a passenger oxygen line at frame 10 was chafing with the forward lavatory rear structure.

Design review of the area confirmed a local low clearance value which raises the risk of the oxygen line developing a crack.

This condition, if not detected and corrected, could lead to rupture of the oxygen line which, in case of a cabin depressurization, would impair operation of the passengers' oxygen distribution system.

To address this unsafe condition, Dassault Aviation have designed a modification with a new oxygen line routing.

This AD requires an [general visual] inspection of the oxygen line for interference or damage and, in case of discrepancies [damage, or clearance less than 3 mm], accomplishment of the modification [including general visual inspections, and, if necessary, replacing the oxygen line/pipe] before next flight. It requires as well accomplishment of the modification of the oxygen line routing for the aeroplanes in which [clearance of 3 mm or more but less than 12 mm] were identified.

You may obtain further information by examining the MCAI in the AD docket.

## Comments

We gave the public the opportunity to participate in developing this AD. We received no comments on the NPRM (77 FR 16186, March 20, 2012) or on the determination of the cost to the public.

#### Conclusion

We reviewed the available data and determined that air safety and the public interest require adopting the AD as proposed—except for minor editorial changes. We have determined that these minor changes:

- Are consistent with the intent that was proposed in the NPRM (77 FR 16186, March 20, 2012) for correcting the unsafe condition; and
- Do not add any additional burden upon the public than was already proposed in the NPRM (77 FR 16186, March 20, 2012).

#### **Costs of Compliance**

We estimate that this AD will affect about 11 products of U.S. registry. We also estimate that it will take about 11 work-hours per product to comply with the basic requirements of this AD. The average labor rate is \$85 per work-hour. Based on these figures, we estimate the cost of this AD to the U.S. operators to be \$10,285, or \$935 per product.

In addition, we estimate that any necessary follow-on actions would take about 16 work-hours and require parts costing \$655, for a cost of \$2,015 per product. We have no way of determining the number of products that may need these actions.

#### Authority for This Rulemaking

Title 49 of the United States Code specifies the FAA's authority to issue rules on aviation safety. Subtitle I, section 106, describes the authority of the FAA Administrator. "Subtitle VII: Aviation Programs," describes in more detail the scope of the Agency's authority.

We are issuing this rulemaking under the authority described in "Subtitle VII, Part A, Subpart III, Section 44701: General requirements." Under that section, Congress charges the FAA with promoting safe flight of civil aircraft in air commerce by prescribing regulations for practices, methods, and procedures the Administrator finds necessary for safety in air commerce. This regulation is within the scope of that authority because it addresses an unsafe condition that is likely to exist or develop on products identified in this rulemaking action.

#### Regulatory Findings

We determined that this AD will not have federalism implications under Executive Order 13132. This AD will not have a substantial direct effect on the States, on the relationship between the national government and the States, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of government.

For the reasons discussed above, I certify that this AD:

- 1. Is not a "significant regulatory action" under Executive Order 12866;
- 2. Is not a "significant rule" under the DOT Regulatory Policies and Procedures (44 FR 11034, February 26, 1979);
- 3. Will not affect intrastate aviation in Alaska: and
- 4. Will not have a significant economic impact, positive or negative, on a substantial number of small entities under the criteria of the Regulatory Flexibility Act.

We prepared a regulatory evaluation of the estimated costs to comply with this AD and placed it in the AD docket.

#### Examining the AD Docket

You may examine the AD docket on the Internet at http:// www.regulations.gov; or in person at the Docket Operations office between 9 a.m. and 5 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays. The AD docket contains the NPRM 77 FR 16186, March 20, 2012), the regulatory evaluation, any comments received, and other information. The street address for the Docket Operations office (telephone (800) 647–5527) is in the ADDRESSES section. Comments will be available in the AD docket shortly after receipt.

## List of Subjects in 14 CFR Part 39

Air transportation, Aircraft, Aviation safety, Incorporation by reference, Safety.

### Adoption of the Amendment

Accordingly, under the authority delegated to me by the Administrator, the FAA amends 14 CFR part 39 as follows:

## PART 39—AIRWORTHINESS DIRECTIVES

■ 1. The authority citation for part 39 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 49 U.S.C. 106(g), 40113, 44701.

#### § 39.13 [Amended]

■ 2. The FAA amends § 39.13 by adding the following new AD:

#### 2012-15-11 Dassault Aviation:

Amendment 39–17140. Docket No. FAA–2012–0269; Directorate Identifier 2011–NM–105–AD.

#### (a) Effective Date

This airworthiness directive (AD) becomes effective September 11, 2012.

#### (b) Affected ADs

None.

## (c) Applicability

This AD applies to Dassault Aviation Model FALCON 7X airplanes, certificated in any category, serial numbers 3, 10, 13, 18, 19, 20, 22, 23, 24, 26, 27, 29, 30, 31, 32, 33, 35, 36, 38, 41, 42, 43, 47, 48, 58, 63, 64, 66, 67, 68, 71, 76, 78, 79, 83, 84, 85, 86, 87, and 93; except for airplanes on which the Dassault Aviation modification specified in Dassault Mandatory Service Bulletin 7X–174 has been incorporated.

#### (d) Subject

Air Transport Association (ATA) of America Code 35: Oxygen.

#### (e) Reason

This AD was prompted by a report that a passenger oxygen pipe at frame 10 was chafing against the forward lavatory rear structure, raising the risk of the oxygen pipe developing a crack. We are issuing this AD to prevent rupture of the oxygen pipe which, in case of a cabin depressurization, would impair operation of the passenger oxygen distribution system.

### (f) Compliance

You are responsible for having the actions required by this AD performed within the compliance times specified, unless the actions have already been done.

#### (g) Inspection

Within 2 months after the effective date of this AD, do a boroscope inspection of the passenger oxygen pipe for clearance and a general visual inspection for damage of the oxygen pipe, in accordance with the Accomplishment Instructions of Dassault Mandatory Service Bulletin 7X–174, dated March 10, 2011.

#### (h) Corrective Actions

If during any inspection required by paragraph (g) of this AD any damage is found or oxygen pipe clearance is less than 3 millimeters (mm) (0.12 inch): Before further flight, modify the oxygen pipe routing, including doing a general visual inspection for chafing of the pipe and doing all applicable replacements, in accordance with the Accomplishment Instructions of Dassault Mandatory Service Bulletin 7X–174, dated March 10, 2011.

#### (i) Oxygen Pipe Routing Modification

If, during any inspection required by paragraph (g) of this AD, oxygen pipe clearance is 3 mm (0.12 inch) or more but less than 12 mm (0.47 inch): Within 98 months or 4,000 flight cycles after the effective date of this AD, whichever occurs first, modify the routing of the passenger oxygen pipe, including doing a general visual inspection for chafing of the pipe and doing all applicable replacements, in accordance with the Accomplishment Instructions of Dassault Mandatory Service Bulletin 7X–174, dated March 10, 2011.

#### (j) Other FAA AD Provisions

The following provisions also apply to this AD:

(1) Alternative Methods of Compliance (AMOCs): The Manager, International Branch, ANM-116, Transport Airplane Directorate, FAA, has the authority to approve AMOCs for this AD, if requested using the procedures found in 14 CFR 39.19. In accordance with 14 CFR 39.19, send your request to your principal inspector or local Flight Standards District Office, as appropriate. If sending information directly to the International Branch, send it to ATTN: Tom Rodriguez, Aerospace Engineer, International Branch, ANM-116, Transport Airplane Directorate, FAA, 1601 Lind Avenue SW., Renton, Washington 98057-3356; telephone (425) 227-1137; fax (425) 227-1149. Information may be emailed to: 9-ANM-116-AMOC-REQUESTS@faa.gov. Before using any approved AMOC, notify your appropriate principal inspector, or lacking a principal inspector, the manager of the local flight standards district office/ certificate holding district office. The AMOC approval letter must specifically reference this AD.

(2) Airworthy Product: For any requirement in this AD to obtain corrective actions from a manufacturer or other source, use these actions if they are FAA-approved. Corrective actions are considered FAA-approved if they are approved by the State of Design Authority (or their delegated agent). You are required to assure the product is airworthy before it is returned to service.

#### (k) Related Information

Refer to MCAI European Aviation Safety Agency (EASA) Airworthiness Directive 2011–0070, dated April 18, 2011; and Dassault Mandatory Service Bulletin 7X–174, dated March 10, 2011; for related information.

#### (l) Material Incorporated by Reference

- (1) The Director of the Federal Register approved the incorporation by reference (IBR) of the service information listed in this paragraph under 5 U.S.C. 552(a) and 1 CFR part 51.
- (2) You must use this service information as applicable to do the actions required by this AD, unless the AD specifies otherwise.
- (i) Dassault Mandatory Service Bulletin 7X–174, dated March 10, 2011.
- (ii) Reserved.
- (3) For Dassault service information identified in this AD, contact Dassault Falcon Jet, P.O. Box 2000, South Hackensack, New Jersey 07606; telephone 201–440–6700; Internet http://www.dassaultfalcon.com.
- (4) You may review copies of the service information at the FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate, 1601 Lind Avenue SW., Renton, WA. For information on the availability of this material at the FAA, call 425–227–1221.
- (5) You may also review copies of the service information that is incorporated by reference at the National Archives and Records Administration (NARA). For information on the availability of this material at an NARA facility, call 202–741–6030, or go to <a href="http://www.archives.gov/federal\_register/code\_of\_federal\_regulations/ibr locations.html">http://www.archives.gov/federal\_register/code\_of\_federal\_regulations/ibr locations.html</a>.

Issued in Renton, Washington, on July 20, 2012.

#### Kalene C. Yanamura,

Acting Manager, Transport Airplane Directorate, Aircraft Certification Service. [FR Doc. 2012–18582 Filed 8–6–12; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-13-P

#### **DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**

#### **Bureau of Industry and Security**

#### 15 CFR Part 774

## The Commerce Control List

CFR Correction

In Title 15 of the Code of Federal Regulations, Parts 300 to 799, revised as of January 1, 2012, in supplement no. 1 to part 774, make the following corrections:

- 1. In Category 7:
- A. On page 838, in 7A002, under the table in "Licensing Requirements", add a "License Requirements Note" as set forth below.
- B. On page 839, in 7A005, above "License Requirements", remove the Note to 7A005.

- C. On page 840, in 7A008, add "License Requirements" above "Reason for Control".
- D. On page 843, in 7B101, in the heading, add a quotation mark before "Production".
- E. On page 847, in 7E003, at the end of "Related Definition", add "for 'Maintenance Level I' or 'Maintenance Level II'".
- 2. In Category 9, on page 870, in 9E003, below paragraph a.10, remove Note 1 and Note 2.

The text to be added is set forth below:

# Supplement No. 1 to Part 774—The Commerce Control List

\_

## Category 7

\* \* \* \* \*

7A002 Gyros or angular rate sensors, having any of the following see List of Items Controlled) and specially designed components therefor.

License Requirement Note: For the purpose of MT controls only, the term 'stability' is defined as a measure of the ability of a specific mechanism or performance coefficient to remain invariant when continuously exposed to a fixed operating condition. (This definition does not refer to dynamic or servo stability.) (IEEE STD 528–2001 paragraph 2.247)

## DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

\*

### Occupational Safety and Health Administration

## 29 CFR Parts 1910 and 1926

[Docket No. OSHA-2006-0049]

## Respiratory Protection; Mechanical Power Presses; Scaffold Specifications; Correction and Technical Amendment

**AGENCY:** Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA), Labor.

**ACTION:** Final rule; correction and technical amendment.

summary: OSHA is correcting the medical evaluation questionnaire in Appendix C of its Respiratory Protection standard by removing the term "fits" in a question. OSHA also is correcting its Mechanical Power Presses standard for general industry by restoring requirements that were removed inadvertently from the regulatory text. Finally, the Agency is correcting a cross reference made in two paragraphs in

Appendix A to subpart L of its scaffold standards for construction.

**DATES:** The effective date for the corrections and technical amendment to the standards is August 7, 2012.

## FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Press inquiries: Frank Meilinger, Director, Office of Communications, OSHA, U.S. Department of Labor, Room N–3647, 200 Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20210; telephone: (202) 693–1999; email: meilinger.francis2@dol.gov.

General and technical information: Kenneth Stevanus, OSHA Office of Engineering Safety, OSHA, Room N– 3621, U.S. Department of Labor, 200 Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20210; telephone: (202) 693 2260; email: stevanus.ken@dol.gov.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

## I. Background

A. Appendix C (Mandatory) to § 1910.134 (Respiratory Protection)

In the Standards Improvement Project-Phase III (SIP-III) final rule, published on June 8, 2011 (76 FR 33590), OSHA revised question 2.a in the OSHA Respirator Medical Evaluation Questionnaire, Appendix C, Part A, Section 2, of its Respiratory Protection standard (§ 1910.134) by deleting the word "fits," leaving only the word "seizures" to describe the applicable medical condition. OSHA received no public comment on this revision in the proposed SIP–III rule (75  $\,$ FR 38646, 38650). Therefore, in the final SIP-III rule, OSHA determined that (1) use of the term "fits" is outdated, unnecessary, and offensive, and (2) this revision would have no effect on administration of, or responses to, the questionnaire (76 FR 33590, 33595). Subsequent to publication of the SIP-III final rule, OSHA found an additional use of the term "fits" in Appendix C of § 1910.134: namely, question 7.d. This Federal Register notice will remove the term "fits" from question 7.d.

In addition, when OSHA promulgated the revised Respiratory Protection standard on January 8, 1998 (63 FR 1152), the introductory language of Appendix C included the following prefatory question to the employee: "Can you read (circle one): Yes/No." Because this question is illogical (i.e., employees who cannot read cannot respond validly to the question), this Federal Register notice will remove this question from Appendix C of § 1910.134.

#### B. Mechanical Power Presses (§ 1910.21)

On December 27, 2011, OSHA published a **Federal Register** notice