

and the electronic versions of the Decision Memorandum are identical in content.

### Changes Since the Preliminary Results

Based on our analysis of the comments received, we did not make any adjustments to our margin calculations for Ester. We revised the adjustment to U.S. price in our margin calculations for Ester's export subsidy rate calculated for the final results of review in the concurrent countervailing duty administrative review, causing a change in the antidumping duty margin calculated for these final results.

### Final Results of Review

As a result of our review, we determine that the following weighted-average margins exist for the period of July 1, 2009, through June 30, 2010:

Manufacturer/exporter	Weighted-average margin (percent)
Ester Industries, Ltd .....	6.81

### Assessment Rates

The Department shall determine, and U.S. Customs and Border Protection ("CBP") shall assess, antidumping duties on all appropriate entries. We will instruct CBP to liquidate entries of merchandise produced and/or exported by Ester. The Department intends to issue assessment instructions to CBP 15 days after the date of publication of the final results of review. For assessment purposes, where the respondent reported the entered value for its sales, we calculated importer-specific (or customer-specific) *ad valorem* assessment rates based on the ratio of the total amount of the dumping duties calculated for the examined sales to the total entered value of those same sales. See 19 CFR 351.212(b). However, where the respondent did not report the entered value for its sales, we will calculate importer-specific (or customer-specific) per unit duty assessment rates. We will instruct CBP to assess antidumping duties on all appropriate entries covered by this review if any per unit duty assessment rate calculated in the final results of this review is above *de minimis* (i.e., at or above 0.50 percent). Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.106(c)(2), we intend to instruct CBP to liquidate without regard to antidumping duties any entries for which the assessment rate is zero or *de minimis* (i.e., less than 0.50 percent). See 19 CFR 351.106(c)(1).

### Cash Deposit Requirements

The following deposit requirements will be effective for all shipments of PET Film from India entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the date of publication of the final results of this administrative review, as provided for by section 751(a)(2)(C) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act): (1) The cash deposit rate for the company under review will be the rate established in the final results of this review (except, if the rate is zero or *de minimis*, i.e., less than 0.50 percent, no cash deposit will be required); (2) for previously reviewed or investigated companies not listed above, the cash deposit rate will continue to be the company-specific rate published for the most recent period; (3) if the exporter is not a firm covered in this review, a prior review, or the less-than-fair-value investigation, but the manufacturer is, the cash deposit rate will be the rate established for the most recent period for the manufacturer of the merchandise; and, (4) if neither the exporter nor the manufacturer is a firm covered in this or any previous review, the cash deposit rate will be the all others rate for this proceeding, 5.71 percent. These deposit requirements, when imposed, shall remain in effect until further notice.

### Notification Regarding Administrative Protective Orders

This notice is the only reminder to parties subject to the administrative protective order (APO) of their responsibility concerning the return or destruction of proprietary information disclosed under the APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305(a)(3). Timely written notification of the return or destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and the terms of an APO is a sanctionable violation.

### Notification to Importers

This notice also serves as a final reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Department's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of doubled antidumping duties.

We are issuing and publishing these final results and this notice in

accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Act.

Dated: December 5, 2011.

**Christian Marsh,**

*Acting Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.*

[FR Doc. 2011-31693 Filed 12-8-11; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

[A-570-910]

### Circular Welded Carbon Quality Steel Pipe From the People's Republic of China: Rescission of the 2010-2011 Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**SUMMARY:** The Department of Commerce ("the Department") is rescinding the administrative review of the antidumping duty order on circular welded carbon quality steel pipe ("CWP") from the People's Republic of China ("PRC") for the period of review ("POR") of July 1, 2010, through June 30, 2011, with respect to twenty-nine companies. This rescission is based on the timely withdrawal of the requests for review by the only interested party that requested review of these companies.

**DATES:** *Effective Date:* December 9, 2011.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Thomas Martin or Robert Bolling, AD/CVD Operations, Office 4, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone (202) 482-3936 or (202) 482-3434, respectively.

### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

#### Background

On July 1, 2011, the Department published in the **Federal Register** a notice of opportunity to request an administrative review of the antidumping duty order on CWP from the PRC. See *Antidumping or Countervailing Duty Order, Finding, or Suspended Investigation; Opportunity To Request Administrative Review*, 76 FR 38609, 38610 (July 1, 2011). In response, on August 1, 2011, the Ad Hoc Coalition For Fair Pipe Imports and its individual members, Allied Tube & Conduit, IPSCO Tubulars, Inc., Sharon Tube Company, Western Tube & Conduit Corporation, and Wheatland Tube Company (hereafter referred to as

“Petitioners”) timely requested an administrative review of entries of the subject merchandise during the POR from the following companies: Adler Steel Ltd. (“Adler Steel”), Al Jazeera Steel Products Co SAOG (“Al Jazeera Steel”), Baoshan Iron & Steel Co., Ltd. (“Baoshan”), Benxi Northern Steel Pipes, Co. Ltd. (“Benxi Northern”), CNOOC Kingland Pipeline Co., Ltd. (“CNOOC Kingland”), ETCO (China) International Trading Co., Ltd. (“ETCO”), Great River Trading International Co. (“Great River Trading”), Guangzhou Juyi Steel Pipes Co., Ltd. (“Guangzhou Juyi”), Hebei Zhongyuan Steel Pipe Manufacturer (“Hebei Zhongyuan”), Hefei Zijin Steel Tube Manufacturing Co., Ltd. (“Hefei Zijin”), Huludao City Steel Pipe Industrial (“Huludao City Steel Pipe”), Hunan Great Steel Pipe Co., Ltd. (“Hunan Great”), Hunan Hengyang Steel Tube (Group) Co., Ltd. (“Hunan Hengyang”), Jiangsu Changbao Steel Tube Co., Ltd. (“Jiangsu Changbao”), Jiangsu Yulong Steel Pipe Co., Ltd. (“Jiangsu Yulong”), Liaoning Northern Steel Pipe Co., Ltd. (“Liaoning Northern”), Shanghai Zhongyou Tip Steel (“Shanghai Zhongyou Tip”), Shanghai Zhongyou TIPO Steel Pipe Co., Ltd. (“Shanghai Zhongyou TIPO”), Sichuan YNJ Industries Co., Ltd. (“Sichuan YNJ”), SteelFORCE Far East Ltd. (“SteelFORCE”), Tianjin Baolai International Trade Co., Ltd. (“Tianjin Baolai”), Tianjin Huilitong Steel Tube Co., Ltd. (“Tianjin Huilitong”), Tianjin Longshenghua Import & Export (“Tianjin Longshenghua”), Tianjin Shuangjie Steel Pipe Co., Ltd. (“Tianjin Shuangjie”), Tianjin Uniglory International Trade Co., Ltd. (“Tianjin Uniglory”), Weifang East Steel Pipe Co., Ltd. (“Weifang East”), Wuxi Fastube Industry Co., Ltd. (“Wuxi Fastube”), Zhejiang Kingland Pipeline Industry Co., Ltd. (“Zhejiang Kingland”), and Zhuji Tri-Union Import & Export Co., Ltd. (“Zhuji Tri-Union”). The Department initiated an administrative review of these companies. See *Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews and Requests for Revocation in Part*, 76 FR 53404 (August 26, 2011).

In a letter dated November 22, 2011, Petitioner withdrew its request for review of all of the companies for which it requested review, and requested that the Department rescind the review with respect to these companies. No other parties requested a review.

#### Rescission of Administrative Review

Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.213(d)(1), the Secretary will rescind an administrative review, in whole or in part, if the party

who requested the review withdraws the request within 90 days of the date of publication of the notice of initiation of the requested review. Accordingly, Petitioners timely withdrew its requests for review of Adler Steel, Al Jazeera Steel, Baoshan, Benxi Northern, CNOOC Kingland, ETCO, Great River Trading, Guangzhou Juyi, Hebei Zhongyuan, Hefei Zijin, Huludao City Steel Pipe, Hunan Great, Hunan Hengyang, Jiangsu Changbao, Jiangsu Yulong, Liaoning Northern, Shanghai Zhongyou Tip, Shanghai Zhongyou TIPO, Sichuan YNJ, SteelFORCE, Tianjin Baolai, Tianjin Huilitong, Tianjin Longshenghua, Tianjin Shuangjie, Tianjin Uniglory, Weifang East, Wuxi Fastube, Zhejiang Kingland, and Zhuji Tri-Union. Because no other party requested a review, pursuant to 19 CFR 351.213(d)(1), the Department is rescinding the entire administrative review of the antidumping duty order on CWP from the PRC for the period July 1, 2010, through June 30, 2011.

#### Assessment

The Department will instruct U.S. Customs and Border Protection (“CBP”) to assess antidumping duties on all appropriate entries. Antidumping duties shall be assessed at rates equal to the cash deposit of estimated antidumping duties required at the time of entry, or withdrawal from warehouse, for consumption, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.212(c)(1)(i). The Department intends to issue appropriate assessment instructions directly to CBP 15 days after the date of publication of this notice in the **Federal Register**.

#### Notification to Importers

This notice serves as a reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f)(2) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Secretary’s presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties.

#### Notification Regarding Administrative Protective Orders

This notice also serves as a reminder to parties subject to administrative protective order (“APO”) of their responsibility concerning the return or destruction of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305(a)(3), which continues to govern business proprietary information in this segment of the proceeding. Timely written

notification of the return or destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and the terms of an APO is a sanctionable violation.

This notice is published in accordance with section 777(i) of the Act, and 19 CFR 351.213(d)(4).

Dated: December 5, 2011.

**Christian Marsh,**

*Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations.*

[FR Doc. 2011–31688 Filed 12–8–11; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

[A–570–832]

#### Pure Magnesium From the People’s Republic of China: Final Results of the 2009–2010 Antidumping Duty Administrative Review of the Antidumping Duty Order

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**SUMMARY:** On June 8, 2011, the Department of Commerce (“Department”) published in the **Federal Register** the preliminary results in the 2009–2010 antidumping duty administrative review of pure magnesium from the People’s Republic of China (“PRC”).<sup>1</sup> The period of review (“POR”) is May 1, 2009, through April 30, 2010. We initiated an administrative review of the antidumping duty order on pure magnesium from the PRC with respect to Tianjin Magnesium International Co., Ltd. (“TMI”). We determined that TMI did not make sales in the United States at prices below normal value (“NV”) in the Preliminary Results. We invited interested parties to comment on our Preliminary Results. Based on our analysis of the comments received, we made changes to the margin calculations for TMI. The final dumping margin for this review is listed in the “Final Results Margins” section below.

**DATES:** *Effective Date:* December 9, 2011.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Eve Wang, AD/CVD Operations, Office 8, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–6231.

<sup>1</sup> See *Pure Magnesium from the People’s Republic of China: Preliminary Results of the 2009–2010 Antidumping Duty Administrative Review*, 76 FR 33194 (June 8, 2011) (“*Preliminary Results*”).