

	Period to be reviewed
<p>Jiangsu Weixi Group Co. Leader Metal Industry Co., Ltd. (aka Marmon Retail Services Asia) New King Shan (Zhu Hai) Co., Ltd. and its parent company King Shan Wire Narrow Woven Ribbons with Woven Selvage C-570-953 Weifang Dongfang Ribbon Weaving Co., Ltd.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Suspension Agreements</p> <p>None.</p>	9/01/10–12/31/10

During any administrative review covering all or part of a period falling between the first and second or third and fourth anniversary of the publication of an antidumping duty order under 19 CFR 351.211 or a determination under 19 CFR 351.218(f)(4) to continue an order or suspended investigation (after sunset review), the Secretary, if requested by a domestic interested party within 30 days of the date of publication of the notice of initiation of the review, will determine, consistent with *FAG Italia v. United States*, 291 F.3d 806 (Fed Cir. 2002), as appropriate, whether antidumping duties have been absorbed by an exporter or producer subject to the

review if the subject merchandise is sold in the United States through an importer that is affiliated with such exporter or producer. The request must include the name(s) of the exporter or producer for which the inquiry is requested.

For the first administrative review of any order, there will be no assessment of antidumping or countervailing duties on entries of subject merchandise entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption during the relevant provisional-measures “gap” period, of the order, if such a gap period is applicable to the period of review.

Interested parties must submit applications for disclosure under administrative protective orders in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305. On January 22, 2008, the Department published *Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Proceedings: Documents Submission Procedures; APO Procedures*, 73 FR 3634 (January 22, 2008). Those procedures apply to administrative reviews included in this notice of initiation. Parties wishing to participate in any of these administrative reviews should ensure that they meet the requirements of these procedures (e.g., the filing of separate letters of appearance as discussed at 19 CFR 351.103(d)).

Any party submitting factual information in an antidumping duty or countervailing duty proceeding must certify to the accuracy and completeness of that information. See section 782(b) of the Act. Parties are hereby reminded that revised certification requirements are in effect for company/government officials as well as their representatives in all segments of any antidumping duty or countervailing duty proceedings initiated on or after March 14, 2011. See *Certification of Factual Information to Import Administration During Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Proceedings: Interim Final Rule*, 76 FR 7491 (February 10, 2011) (*Interim Final Rule*), amending 19 CFR 351.303(g)(1) and (2). The formats for the revised certifications are provided at the end of the *Interim Final Rule*. The Department intends to reject factual submissions in any proceeding segments initiated on or

after March 14, 2011 if the submitting party does not comply with the revised certification requirements.

These initiations and this notice are in accordance with section 751(a) of the Act (19 U.S.C. 1675(a)) and 19 CFR 351.221(c)(1)(i).

Dated: October 25, 2011.

Christian Marsh,

Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations.

[FR Doc. 2011-28160 Filed 10-28-11; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-570-904]

Certain Activated Carbon From the People's Republic of China: Final Results and Partial Rescission of Third Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: On April 29, 2011, the Department of Commerce (“Department”) published in the **Federal Register** the preliminary results of the third administrative review of the antidumping duty order on certain activated carbon from the People's Republic of China (“PRC”).¹ We gave interested parties an opportunity to comment on the *Preliminary Results*. Based upon our analysis of the comments and information received, we made changes to the margin calculations for the final results. We find that the mandatory respondents have not sold subject merchandise at less than normal value during the period of review (“POR”), April 1, 2009, through March 31, 2010.

DATES: Effective Date: October 31, 2011.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Robert Palmer, AD/CVD Operations,

¹ See *Certain Activated Carbon From the People's Republic of China: Preliminary Results of the Third Antidumping Duty Administrative Review, and Preliminary Rescission in Part*, 76 FR 23978 (April 29, 2011) (“*Preliminary Results*”).

³ If one of the above named companies does not qualify for a separate rate, all other exporters of Certain Lined Paper Products from the People's Republic of China (“PRC”) who have not qualified for a separate rate are deemed to be covered by this review as part of the single PRC entity of which the named exporters are a part.

⁴ If one of the above named companies does not qualify for a separate rate, all other exporters of Certain Magnesia Carbon Bricks from the PRC who have not qualified for a separate rate are deemed to be covered by this review as part of the single PRC entity of which the named exporters are a part.

⁵ If one of the above named companies does not qualify for a separate rate, all other exporters of Certain New Pneumatic Off-the-Road Tires from the PRC who have not qualified for a separate rate are deemed to be covered by this review as part of the single PRC entity of which the named exporters are a part.

⁶ If one of the above named companies does not qualify for a separate rate, all other exporters of Freshwater Crawfish Tail Meat from the PRC who have not qualified for a separate rate are deemed to be covered by this review as part of the single PRC entity of which the named exporters are a part.

⁷ If one of the above named companies does not qualify for a separate rate, all other exporters of Kitchen Appliance Shelving and Racks from the PRC who have not qualified for a separate rate are deemed to be covered by this review as part of the single PRC entity of which the named exporters are a part.

⁸ If one of the above named companies does not qualify for a separate rate, all other exporters of Narrow Woven Ribbons with Woven Selvage from the PRC who have not qualified for a separate rate are deemed to be covered by this review as part of the single PRC entity of which the named exporters are a part.

⁹ We will review subject merchandise exported by Yama Ribbons and Bows Co, Ltd. not otherwise covered by the exclusion. See *Narrow Woven Ribbons with Woven Selvage from Taiwan and the People's Republic of China: Antidumping Duty Orders*, 75 FR 53632 (September 1, 2010).

Office 9, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-9068.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On May 28, 2010, and June 30, 2010, the Department initiated this review with respect to 192 companies upon which an administrative review was requested.² On August 11, 2010, pursuant to 19 CFR 351.213(d)(1), the Department rescinded the administrative review with respect to 128 companies, based upon Petitioners' timely withdrawal of review requests.³ On August 23, 2010, the Department rescinded the administrative review with respect to an additional 45 companies, based on Petitioners' timely withdrawal of review requests.⁴ Thus, 19 companies remained subject to this review.⁵

On May 19, 2010, Jacobi Carbons AB ("Jacobi") and Calgon Carbon (Tianjin) Co., Ltd. ("CCT") and its parent company Calgon Carbon Corporation ("CCC"), the mandatory respondents in this review, submitted additional surrogate value ("SV") information.

In the *Preliminary Results*, we set the deadline for interested parties to submit case briefs and rebuttal briefs to May 30, 2011, and June 7, 2011, respectively. On

May 11, 2011, we extended the deadlines for case and rebuttal briefs to June 13, 2011, and June 20, 2011, respectively.⁷ On June 13, 2011, Petitioners, CCT, and the separate rate respondents, Ningxia Huahui Activated Carbon Co., Ltd. ("Huahui"), Shanxi Industry Technology Trading Co., Ltd. ("Shanxi ITT") and Shanxi DMD Corporation ("Shanxi DMD") filed case briefs. On June 14, 2011, Jacobi filed its case brief.⁸ On June 16, 2011, the Department rejected Huahui's case brief because it contained new information and provided Huahui until June 20, 2011, to re-file its case brief.⁹ On June 20, 2011, Huahui re-filed its case brief. Also on June 20, 2011, Petitioners, CCT, Shanxi ITT, Shanxi DMD, and Albemarle filed rebuttal briefs.

On June 21, 2011, the Department placed data to value the input of labor on the record for comment by interested parties.¹⁰ On July 5, 2011, Albemarle provided comments on the June 21, 2011, data. On July 7, 2011, the Department placed additional information regarding the labor rate calculation on the record for comment by interested parties.¹¹ On July 12, 2011, CCT filed rebuttal comments to Albemarle's July 5, 2011, labor data comments. On July 21, 2011, the Department extended the final results until October 26, 2011.¹² The Department did not hold a public hearing, pursuant to 19 CFR 351.310(d), as the hearing requests made by interested parties were withdrawn.

Analysis of Comments Received

All issues raised in the case and rebuttal briefs by parties to these reviews are addressed in the "Certain Activated Carbon from the People's Republic of China: Issues and Decision

Memorandum for the Final Results of the Third Antidumping Duty Administrative Review," which is dated concurrently with this notice ("Decision Memo"). A list of the issues which parties raised and to which we respond in the Decision Memo is attached to this notice as an Appendix. The Decision Memo is a public document and is on file in the Central Records Unit, main Commerce building, Room 7046, and is accessible on the Department's Web site at <http://www.trade.gov/ia>. The paper copy and electronic version of the memorandum are identical in content.

Scope of the Order

The merchandise subject to the order is certain activated carbon. Certain activated carbon is a powdered, granular, or pelletized carbon product obtained by "activating" with heat and steam various materials containing carbon, including but not limited to coal (including bituminous, lignite, and anthracite), wood, coconut shells, olive stones, and peat. The thermal and steam treatments remove organic materials and create an internal pore structure in the carbon material. The producer can also use carbon dioxide gas (CO₂) in place of steam in this process. The vast majority of the internal porosity developed during the high temperature steam (or CO₂ gas) activated process is a direct result of oxidation of a portion of the solid carbon atoms in the raw material, converting them into a gaseous form of carbon.

The scope of the order covers all forms of activated carbon that are activated by steam or CO₂, regardless of the raw material, grade, mixture, additives, further washing or post-activation chemical treatment (chemical or water washing, chemical impregnation or other treatment), or product form. Unless specifically excluded, the scope of the order covers all physical forms of certain activated carbon, including powdered activated carbon ("PAC"), granular activated carbon ("GAC"), and pelletized activated carbon.

Excluded from the scope of the order are chemically activated carbons. The carbon-based raw material used in the chemical activation process is treated with a strong chemical agent, including but not limited to phosphoric acid, zinc chloride sulfuric acid or potassium hydroxide, that dehydrates molecules in the raw material, and results in the formation of water that is removed from the raw material by moderate heat treatment. The activated carbon created by chemical activation has internal porosity developed primarily due to the action of the chemical dehydration

² See *Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews*, 75 FR 29976 (May 28, 2010); see also, *Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews and Request for Revocation in Part*, 75 FR 37759 (June 30, 2010) (collectively, "Initiation Notices").

³ Norit Americas Inc. and Calgon Carbon Corporation.

⁴ See *Certain Activated Carbon From the People's Republic of China: Notice of Partial Rescission of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review*, 75 FR 48644 (August 11, 2010) ("First Rescission").

⁵ See *Certain Activated Carbon from the People's Republic of China: Notice of Partial Rescission of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review*, 75 FR 51754 (August 23, 2010) ("Second Rescission").

⁶ In the *Preliminary Results*, the Department inadvertently misstated the number of companies rescinded and the number of companies remaining under review. The remaining companies which were listed in *Initiation Notices* are: AmeriAsia Advanced Activated Carbon Products Co., Ltd.; Beijing Pacific Activated Carbon Products Co., Ltd.; Calgon Carbon (Tianjin) Co., Ltd.; Cherishmet Inc.; Datong Municipal Yunguang Activated Carbon Co., Ltd.; Jacobi Carbons AB; Jiangxi Hansom Import Export Co.; Langfang Winfield Filtration Co.; Mindong Lianyi Group; Ningxia Guanghua A/C Co., Ltd.; Ningxia Guanghua Cherishmet Activated Carbon Co., Ltd.; Ningxia Huahui Activated Carbon Co., Ltd.; Ningxia Lingzhou Foreign Trade Co., Ltd.; Shanxi DMD Corporation; Shanxi Industry Technology Trading Co., Ltd.; Shanxi Sincere Industrial Co., Ltd.; Tangshan Solid Carbon Co., Ltd.; Tianjin Jacobi International Trading Co., Ltd.; and Tianjin Maijin Industries Co., Ltd.

⁷ See Letter to Interested Parties, dated May 11, 2011.

⁸ Jacobi filed its case brief under one-day lag rule. See 19 CFR 351.303(c).

⁹ See Letter to Huahui and Albemarle, dated June 16, 2011.

¹⁰ See Memorandum to the File, through Catherine Bertrand, Program Manager, Office 9, from Bob Palmer, Case Analyst, Office 9 re: Third Administrative Review of the Antidumping Duty on Certain Activated Carbon From the People's Republic of China: Industry Specific Surrogate Labor Rate and Surrogate Financial Ratio Adjustments, dated June 21, 2011 ("Labor Memo").

¹¹ See Memorandum to the File, through Catherine Bertrand, Program Manager, Office 9, from Bob Palmer, Case Analyst, Office 9 re: Third Administrative Review of the Antidumping Duty on Certain Activated Carbon From the People's Republic of China: Revision to Surrogate Financial Ratio Adjustments, dated July 7, 2011 ("Revised Labor Memo").

¹² See *Certain Activated Carbon From the People's Republic of China: Extension of Time Limit for Final Results of the Third Antidumping Duty Administrative Review*, 76 FR 43654 (July 21, 2011).

agent. Chemically activated carbons are typically used to activate raw materials with a lignocellulosic component such as cellulose, including wood, sawdust, paper mill waste and peat.

To the extent that an imported activated carbon product is a blend of steam and chemically activated carbons, products containing 50 percent or more steam (or CO₂ gas) activated carbons are within the scope, and those containing more than 50 percent chemically activated carbons are outside the scope. This exclusion language regarding blended material applies *only* to mixtures of steam and chemically activated carbons.

Also excluded from the scope are reactivated carbons. Reactivated carbons are previously used activated carbons that have had adsorbed materials removed from their pore structure after use through the application of heat, steam and/or chemicals.

Also excluded from the scope is activated carbon cloth. Activated carbon cloth is a woven textile fabric made of or containing activated carbon fibers. It is used in masks and filters and clothing of various types where a woven format is required.

Any activated carbon meeting the physical description of subject merchandise provided above that is not expressly excluded from the scope is included within the scope. The products subject to the order are currently classifiable under the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States ("HTSUS") subheading 3802.10.00. Although the HTSUS subheading is provided for convenience and customs purposes, the written description of the scope of the order is dispositive.

Changes Since the Preliminary Results

Based on a review of the record as well as comments received from parties regarding our *Preliminary Results*, we have made revisions to certain SVs and the margin calculations for CCT and Jacobi in the final results. Specifically, we have updated the SV for labor, coconut shell charcoal and the calculation of the surrogate financial ratios.¹³ See Decision Memo at Comments 4b, 4c, and 4d and Final SV Memo¹⁴; see also, Labor Cost

Methodology below. We have also corrected various errors in the *Preliminary Results* alleged by respondents. See Decision Memo at Comments 5a, 5b, 5c, 5d, 6a and 6b. For all changes to the margin calculations, see Decision Memo and the company specific analysis memoranda.

Labor Cost Methodology

Pursuant to the Department's recent decision regarding its final labor methodology,¹⁵ we have calculated a revised hourly labor rate to use in valuing CCT and Jacobi's reported labor. The revised surrogate value for labor is calculated by using labor cost data from India, the primary surrogate country, as published in "Chapter 6A: Labor Cost in Manufacturing" from the International Labor Organization ("ILO") Yearbook of Labor Statistics. Additionally, because the Department is now using Chapter 6A to calculate labor costs, the Department made certain adjustments in the surrogate financial ratio calculations regarding labor. See Labor Memo and Revised Labor Memo, for the details of the calculation and supporting data; see also Final SV Memo.

Final Partial Rescission

In the *Preliminary Results*, the Department preliminarily rescinded this review with respect to Ningxia Lingzhou Foreign Trade Co., Ltd. ("Lingzhou") because the Department determined that it had no shipments of subject merchandise to the United States during the POR.

Subsequent to the *Preliminary Results*, no information was submitted on the record indicating that Lingzhou made sales to the United States of subject merchandise during the POR and no party provided written arguments regarding this issue. Thus, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.213(d)(3), and consistent with our practice, we are rescinding this review with respect to Lingzhou.

Special Rule for Further Manufactured Products

In the *Preliminary Results*, we applied the "special rule" for merchandise with value-added after importation and excused CCT from reporting U.S. sales of subject merchandise further processed by CCC, CCT's U.S. parent company, and the U.S. further-processing cost information associated

with those sales.¹⁶ Further, we stated that we would apply the weight-averaged margin calculated based upon CCT's U.S. sales to the first unaffiliated customer as the surrogate margin to the transactions to which the "special rule" applied.¹⁷ Because we have not received any information on the record that contradicts our preliminary finding, we shall continue to apply the weight-averaged margin as stated.

Separate Rates

In our *Preliminary Results*, we determined that the following companies met the criteria for separate rate status: CCT; Jacobi; Beijing Pacific Activated Carbon Products Co., Ltd. ("Beijing Pacific"); Datong Municipal Yunguang Activated Carbon Co., Ltd.; Ningxia Guanghua Cherishment Activated Carbon Co., Ltd. ("GHC"); Huahui; Shanxi DMD Corporation; Shanxi Sincere Industrial Co., Ltd.; Shanxi Industry Technology Trading Co., Ltd.; Tangshan Solid Carbon Co., Ltd.; and Tianjin Maijin Industries Co., Ltd.¹⁸ We have not received any information since the issuance of the *Preliminary Results* that provides a basis for reconsideration of these determinations. Therefore, the Department continues to find that the companies listed above meet the criteria for a separate rate.

Additionally, in the *Preliminary Results*, the Department inadvertently stated that Datong Juqiang Activated Carbon Co., Ltd.; Datong Yunguang Chemicals Plant; Hebei Foreign Trade and Advertising Corporation; Shanxi Newtime Co., Ltd.; and United Manufacturing International (Beijing) Ltd. were not rescinded from the administrative review and are considered as part of the PRC-Wide entity.¹⁹ However, on August 11, 2010, and August 23, 2010, these companies were rescinded from this administrative review and, therefore, are no longer subject to this proceeding.²⁰

These five companies, AmeriAsia Advanced Activated Carbon Products Co., Ltd.; Jiangxi Hansom Import Export co.; Langfang Winfield Filtration Co.; Mindong Lianyi Group; and Ningxia Guanghua A/C., Ltd.; companies upon which the Department initiated administrative reviews that have not been rescinded, did not submit either a separate rate application or certification. Therefore, because AmeriAsia

¹³ CCT submitted Active Carbon India Private Limited's ("Active Carbon") 2009–2010 financial statements in its post-preliminary SV submissions, which we will rely upon for the final results. See CCT's Post-Prelim SV Submission, dated May 19, 2011.

¹⁴ See Memorandum to the File, through Catherine Bertrand, Program Manager, Office 9, from Bob Palmer, Case Analyst, Office 9 re: Third Administrative Review of Certain Activated Carbon from the People's Republic of China: Surrogate

Values for the Final Results, dated concurrently with this notice ("Final SV Memo") at 2–3.

¹⁵ See *Antidumping Methodologies in Proceedings Involving Non-Market Economies: Valuing the Factor of Production: Labor*, 76 FR 36092 (June 21, 2011) ("Labor Methodologies").

¹⁶ See *Preliminary Results*, 76 FR at 23985–23986.

¹⁷ *Id.*

¹⁸ See *id.* at 23982–23984.

¹⁹ See *id.* at 23983.

²⁰ See *First Rescission*; see also, *Second Rescission*.

Advanced Activated Carbon Products Co., Ltd.; Jiangxi Hansom Import Export Co.; Langfang Winfield Filtration Co.; Mindong Lianyi Group; and Ningxia Guanghua A/C., Ltd. did not demonstrate their eligibility for separate rate status in a timely manner, we have determined it is appropriate to consider these companies as part of the PRC-wide entity.

Rate For Non-Selected Companies

In the *Preliminary Results*, the Department assigned the separate rate companies the rate calculated for CCT. However, for the final results, the rate for both the individually examined respondents, CCT and Jacobi, are *de minimis* and accordingly, the Department has determined a reasonable method for assigning a rate to the companies eligible for a separate rate. See Decision Memo at Comment 1. Pursuant to this method, we are assigning a rate of 0.44 U.S. Dollars per kilogram ("USD/kg") to Huahui, its assigned rate in *Carbon AR 2*.²¹ Additionally, we are assigning a rate of 0.28 USD/kg to the other companies eligible for a separate rate in this review, the separate rate calculated in *Carbon AR 2*. See Decision Memo at Comment 1.

PRC-Wide Rate and PRC-Wide Entity

The Department used the PRC-Wide rate of 2.42 USD/kg in the most recently completed administrative review of this antidumping order.²² Because we have not calculated a PRC-Wide rate greater than the PRC-Wide rate from previous reviews in this proceeding and nothing on the record of the instant review calls into question the reliability of the PRC-Wide Rate, we find it appropriate to continue to apply the PRC-Wide rate of 2.42 USD/kg for the final results.²³

In the *Preliminary Results*, the Department determined that those companies which did not demonstrate eligibility for a separate rate are properly considered part of the PRC-wide entity.²⁴ Since the *Preliminary*

Results, none of the companies which did not file separate rate applications or certifications submitted comments regarding these findings. Therefore, we continue to treat these entities as part of the PRC-wide entity.

Final Results of Review

The dumping margins for the POR are as follows:

CERTAIN ACTIVATED CARBON FROM THE PEOPLE'S REPUBLIC OF CHINA

Exporter	Margin
Jacobi Carbons AB ²⁵	\$0.00/kg
Calgon Carbon (Tianjin) Co. Ltd.	0.00/kg
Ningxia Huahui Activated Carbon Co., Ltd.	0.44/kg
Datong Municipal Yunguang Activated Carbon Co., Ltd.	0.28/kg
Ningxia Guanghua Cherishmet Activated Carbon Co., Ltd. ²⁶	0.28/kg
Shanxi DMD Corporation	0.28/kg
Shanxi Industry Technology Trading Co., Ltd.	0.28/kg
Shanxi Sincere Industrial Co., Ltd.	0.28/kg
Tangshan Solid Carbon Co., Ltd.	0.28/kg
Tianjin Maijin Industries Co., Ltd.	0.28/kg
PRC-Wide rate ²⁷	2.42/kg

Assessment

The Department will determine, and U.S. Customs and Border Protection

Mindong Lianyi Group; and Ningxia Guanghua A/C Co., Ltd.

²⁵ In the *Preliminary Results*, we found that Jacobi Carbons Industry (Tianjin) ("JCC") and Tianjin Jacobi International Trading Co. Ltd. ("Tianjin Jacobi") both act as export facilitators for Jacobi Carbons AB. See *Preliminary Results*, 76 FR at 23990. Therefore, as we have done in earlier segments of this antidumping duty order, we are continuing to find it appropriate that Jacobi Carbons AB, Tianjin Jacobi and JCC to receive the antidumping duty rate assigned to Jacobi Carbons AB.

²⁶ As stated above, GHC is a single entity with Beijing Pacific and Ningxia Guanghua Activated Carbon Co., Ltd. Additionally, in a previous review, the Department found that Cherishmet Inc. is affiliated with GHC. See *Carbon AR1*, 74 FR at 57996 n.2. However, Cherishmet Inc. has not been found to be part of the single entity involving Beijing Pacific, GHC, and Ningxia Guanghua Activated Carbon Co., Ltd. See Memorandum to The File, from Robert Palmer, Case Analyst, through Catherine Bertrand, Program Manager; regarding First Antidumping Duty Administrative Review of Certain Activated Carbon from the People's Republic of China: Affiliation Memorandum of Ningxia Guanghua Cherishmet Activated Carbon Co., Ltd., dated April 30, 2009.

²⁷ As discussed in the Separate Rates and PRC-Wide Entity sections of this notice, the PRC-Wide entity includes AmeriAsia Advanced Activated Carbon Products Co., Ltd.; Jiangxi Hansom Import Export Co.; Langfang Winfield Filtration Co.; Mindong Lianyi Group; and Ningxia Guanghua A/C Co., Ltd.

("CBP") shall assess, antidumping duties on all appropriate entries, pursuant to section 751(a)(2)(A) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.212(b). We have calculated importer-specific duty assessment rates on a per-unit basis.²⁸ As the Department stated in the most recent administrative review,²⁹ we will continue to direct CBP to assess importer-specific assessment rates based on the resulting per-unit (*i.e.*, per-kilogram) rates by the weight in kilograms of each entry of the subject merchandise during the POR. The Department intends to issue appropriate assessment instructions directly to CBP 15 days after publication of the final results of this administrative review.

Disclosure

We will disclose the calculations performed within five days of the date of publication of this notice to parties in this proceeding in accordance with 19 CFR 351.224(b).

Cash Deposit Requirements

The following cash deposit requirements will be effective upon publication of the final results of this administrative review for all shipments of the subject merchandise from the PRC entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the publication date, as provided for by section 751(a)(2)(C) of the Act: (1) For previously investigated or reviewed PRC and non-PRC exporters not listed above that have separate rates, the cash deposit rate will continue to be the exporter-specific rate published for the most recent period; (2) for all PRC exporters of subject merchandise which have not been found to be entitled to a separate rate, the cash deposit rate will be the PRC-wide rate established in the final results of this review (*i.e.*, \$2.42 per kilogram); and (3) for all non-PRC exporters of subject merchandise which have not received their own rate, the cash deposit rate will be the rate applicable to the PRC exporters that supplied that non-PRC exporter. These deposit requirements, when imposed, shall remain in effect until further notice.

Notification to Importers

This notice also serves as a final reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f) to file a certificate regarding the

²⁸ We divided the total dumping margins (calculated as the difference between normal value and export price or constructed export price) for each importer by the total quantity of subject merchandise sold to that importer during the POR to calculate a per-unit assessment amount.

²⁹ See *Carbon AR2*, 75 FR at 70211.

²¹ See *Certain Activated Carbon From the People's Republic of China: Final Results and Partial Rescission of Second Antidumping Duty Administrative Review*, 75 FR 70208, 70209 (November 17, 2010) ("*Carbon AR2*") and accompanying IDM at Comment 3.

²² See *Carbon AR2*, 75 FR at 70209 and 70211.

²³ See *Administrative Review of Certain Frozen Warmwater Shrimp From the People's Republic of China: Final Results and Partial Rescission of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review*, 76 FR 51940 and 51942 (Dep't of Commerce August 19, 2011) where the Department used the PRC-Wide Rate from the previous review.

²⁴ The companies considered part of the PRC-Wide entity are: AmeriAsia Advanced Activated Carbon Products Co., Ltd.; Jiangxi Hansom Import Export Co.; Langfang Winfield Filtration Co.;

reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this POR. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Department's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties has occurred and the subsequent assessment of doubled antidumping duties.

Administrative Protective Orders

This notice also serves as a reminder to parties subject to administrative protective order ("APO") of their responsibility concerning the return or destruction of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305, which continues to govern business proprietary information in this segment of the proceeding. Timely written notification of the return/destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and terms of an APO is a violation which is subject to sanction.

We are issuing and publishing this administrative review and notice in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i) of the Act.

Dated: October 24, 2011.

Ronald K. Lorentzen,

Deputy Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

Appendix I—Decision Memorandum

General Issues

Comment 1: Assignment of the Separate Rate.

Comment 2: Ad Valorem Deposit Rates.

Comment 3: Zeroing.

Comment 4: Surrogate Values:

- a. Energy Coal.
- b. Carbonized Material.
- c. Surrogate Financial Ratios.
- d. Labor Rate

Comment 5: Issues Regarding CCT:

- a. Hydrochloric Acid Purity Level Adjustment.
- b. Freight Cost Calculation.
- c. Plastic Wrapping Weight Conversions.
- d. Raw Material Reporting by CCT and JB.

Comment 6: Issues Regarding Jacobi

- a. Brokerage and Handling.
- b. Adverse Facts Available for NXGH's Water Usage.

[FR Doc. 2011-28158 Filed 10-28-11; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-475-828]

Stainless Steel Butt-Weld Pipe Fittings From Italy; Extension of Time Limit for Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

DATES: *Effective Date:* October 31, 2011.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Edythe Artman or Angelica Mendoza, AD/CVD Operations, Office 7, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230; *telephone:* (202) 482-3931 or (202) 482-3019, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On March 31, 2011, the Department of Commerce (the Department) published the initiation of the administrative review of the antidumping duty order on stainless steel butt-weld pipe fittings from Italy in the **Federal Register**. *See Initiation of Antidumping Duty Administrative Reviews, Requests for Revocation in Part, and Deferral of Administrative Review*, 76 FR 17825 (March 31, 2011). This review covers the period of February 1, 2010, to January 31, 2011. The current deadline for the preliminary results of the review is October 31, 2011.

Extension of Time Limits for Preliminary Results of Review

Section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act), requires that the Department complete the preliminary results of an administrative review within 245 days after the last day of the anniversary month of an order for which a review is requested. However, if it is not practicable to complete the review within this time period, section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act allows the Department to extend the 245-day time period for the preliminary results up to 365 days.

The Department finds that it is not practicable to complete the preliminary results of this review within the original time frame because it needs to obtain additional information from the respondent company, Tectubi Raccordi S.p.A., in order to complete its analysis. Because the Department requires additional time to obtain and analyze this information, it is not practicable to complete this review within the original

time limit (*i.e.*, October 31, 2011) and, accordingly, the Department is extending the time limit for completion of the preliminary results of this administrative review until no later than December 15, 2011, which is 290 days from the last day of the anniversary month of this order. We intend to issue the final results no later than 120 days after publication of the preliminary results notice.

This extension is issued and published in accordance with sections 751(a)(3)(A) and 777(i) of the Act.

Dated: October 24, 2011.

Christian Marsh,

Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations.

[FR Doc. 2011-28185 Filed 10-28-11; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-570-851]

Certain Preserved Mushrooms From the People's Republic of China: Final Results of Antidumping Duty New Shipper Reviews

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: On August 2, 2011, the Department of Commerce (the Department) published in the **Federal Register** the preliminary results of the new shipper reviews (NSRs) of the antidumping duty order on certain preserved mushrooms from the People's Republic of China (PRC) for Guangxi Hengyong Industrial & Commercial Dev., Ltd. (Hengyong) and Zhangzhou Hongda Import & Export Trading Co., Ltd. (Co.) (Hongda).¹ *See Certain Preserved Mushrooms From the People's Republic of China: Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty New Shipper Reviews*, 76 FR 46270 (August 2, 2011) (*Preliminary Results*). We gave interested parties an opportunity to comment on the preliminary results. We received a case brief from Hongda on August 31, 2011. We received no rebuttal briefs from any parties. Furthermore, as described further below, we also received various comments/responses from the parties on

¹ In its request for review, Hengyong certified that it was the exporter and Hengyong Industrial & Commercial Dev. Ltd. Hengxian Food Division (Hengxian) was the manufacturer. *See* September 24, 2010, submission from Hengyong. In its request for NSR, Hongda certified it was the exporter and Fujian Haishan Foods Co., Ltd. (Haishan) was the manufacturer. *See* September 24, 2010, submission from Hongda.