commitment letter by June 1, 2014, unless otherwise agreed in writing by Western, Western will withdraw its offer of a power allocation.

Western does not own transmission in Kansas. Final allocation of the Post-2014 Resource Pool; Loveland Area Projects, is contingent upon Western's contractual arrangements for delivery of Federal power into Kansas.

The final power allocations shown in the table above are based on the LAP marketable resource currently available. If the LAP marketable resource is adjusted in the future, all allocations may be adjusted accordingly.

III. Review Under the National Environmental Policy Act

In compliance with the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (NEPA) (42 U.S.C. 4321, et seq.); Council on Environmental Quality Regulations (40 CFR parts 1500–1508); and DOE NEPA Regulations (10 CFR part 1021), Western has determined that this action is categorically excluded from preparing an environmental assessment or an environmental impact statement.

IV. Determination Under Executive Order 12866

Western has an exemption from centralized regulatory review under Executive Order 12866; accordingly, no clearance of this notice by the Office of Management and Budget is required.

Dated: October 7, 2011.

Timothy J. Meeks,

Administrator.

[FR Doc. 2011–26777 Filed 10–14–11; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6450-01-P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[FRL-9478-7]

Availability of FY 10 Grantee Performance Evaluation Reports for the Eight States of EPA Region 4 and 17 Local Agencies

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Notice of availability; Clean Air Act Section 105 grantee performance evaluation reports.

SUMMARY: EPA's grant regulations require the Agency to evaluate the performance of agencies which receive grants. EPA's regulations for regional consistency require that the Agency notify the public of the availability of the reports of such evaluations. EPA performed end-of-year evaluations of

eight state air pollution control programs (Alabama Department of Environmental Management; Florida Department of Environmental Protection; Georgia Department of Natural Resources; Commonwealth of Kentucky Energy and Environment Cabinet; Mississippi Department of Environmental Quality; North Carolina Department of Environment and Natural Resources; South Carolina Department of Health and Environmental Control; and Tennessee Department of Environment and Conservation) and 17 local programs (City of Huntsville Division of Natural Resources, AL; Jefferson County Department of Health, AL; Broward County Environmental Protection and Growth Management Department, FL; City of Jacksonville Environmental Quality Division, FL; Hillsborough County Environmental Protection Commission, FL; Miami-Dade County Air Quality Management Division, FL; Orange County Environmental Protection Division, FL; Palm Beach County Health Department, FL; Pinellas County Parks and Conservation Resources, FL: Louisville Metro Air Pollution Control District, KY; Forsyth County Environmental Affairs Department, NC; Mecklenburg County Land Use and Environmental Services Agency, NC; Western North Carolina Regional Air Quality Agency, NC; Chattanooga-Hamilton County Air Pollution Control Bureau, TN; Shelby County Health Department, TN; Knox County Department of Air Quality Management, TN; and Metropolitan Government of Nashville and Davidson County Public Health Department, TN). The 25 evaluations were conducted to assess the agencies' Fiscal Year 2010 performance under the grants awarded by EPA under authority of section 105 of the Clean Air Act. EPA Region 4 has prepared reports for each agency identified above and these reports are now available for public inspection.

ADDRESSES: The reports may be examined at the EPA's Region 4 office, 61 Forsyth Street, SW., Atlanta, Georgia 30303, in the Air, Pesticides and Toxics Management Division. The Regional Office's official hours of business are Monday through Friday, 8:30 a.m. to 4:30 p.m., excluding Federal holidays.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Marie Persinger (404) 562–9048 for information concerning the state and local agencies of Alabama and Kentucky; Artra Cooper (404) 562–9047 for the state and local agencies of Florida; Mary Echols (404) 562–9053 for the state agency of Georgia; Miya Smith (404) 562–9091 for the state and local agencies of North Carolina; Angela Isom

(404) 562–9092 for the state agencies of Mississippi and South Carolina; and Patricia Bullock (404) 562–9511 for the state and local agencies of Tennessee. They may be contacted at the Region 4 address mentioned in the previous section of this notice.

Dated: September 20, 2011.

A. Stanley Meiburg,

 $\label{eq:prop:prop:prop:prop:state} Deputy \ Regional \ Administrator, Region \ 4.$ [FR Doc. 2011–26523 Filed 10–14–11; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

EQUAL EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITY COMMISSION

Agency Information Collection Activities: Notice of Submission for OMB Review; Comment Request

AGENCY: Equal Employment Opportunity Commission. ACTION: Notice of Information Collection—Uniform Guidelines on Employee Selection Procedures— Extension without change.

SUMMARY: In accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission gives notice that it is submitting the information described below to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for a three-year authorization.

DATES: Written comments on this final notice must be submitted on or before November 16, 2011.

ADDRESSES: The Request for Clearance (SF83-I) and supporting statement submitted to OMB for review may be obtained from Kathleen Oram, Senior Attorney, (202) 663-4681, Office of Legal Counsel, Equal Employment Opportunity Commission, 131 M Street, NE., Washington, DC 20507. Comments on this final notice must be submitted to Chad Lallemand in the Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Office of Management and Budget, 725 17th Street, NW., Room 10235, New Executive Office Building, Washington, DC 20503 or electronically mailed to Chad A. Lallemand@omb.eop.gov. Comments should also be sent to Stephen Llewellyn, Executive Officer, Executive Secretariat, Equal Employment Opportunity Commission, 131 M Street, NE., Washington, DC 20507. Written comments of six or fewer pages may be faxed to the Executive Secretariat at (202) 663-4114. (There is no toll free FAX number.) Receipt of FAX transmittals will not be acknowledged, except that the sender may request confirmation of receipt by calling the Executive Secretariat staff at

(202) 663–4070 (voice) or (202) 663–4074 (TTD). (These are not toll free numbers).

All comments received by the EEOC will be posted without change to the Federal eRulemaking Portal: http://www.regulations.gov, including any personal information provided.

Copies of the received comments also will be available for inspection in the EEOC Library, FOIA Reading Room, by advance appointment only, from 9 a.m. to 5 p.m., Monday through Friday, except legal holidays, from November 16, 2011. Persons who schedule an appointment in the EEOC Library, FOIA Reading Room, and need assistance to view the comments will be provided with appropriate aids upon request, such as readers or print magnifiers. To schedule an appointment to inspect the comments at the EEOC Library, FOIA Reading Room, contact the EEOC Library by calling (202) 663-4630 (voice) or (202) 663-4641 (TTY). (These are not toll free numbers).

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Kathleen Oram, Senior Attorney, at (202) 663–4681 (voice), or Thomas J. Schlageter, Assistant Legal Counsel, (202) 663–4668 (voice) or (202) 663–7026 (TDD).

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Introduction

A notice that EEOC would be submitting this request to the Office of Management and budget for a three-year approval under the Paperwork Reduction Act (PRA) was published in the **Federal Register** on August 3, 2011, allowing for a 60 day comment period. 76 FR 46805 (Aug. 3, 2011).

EEOC received one comment in response to the August 2011 notice. The comment raises issues and concerns about the Uniform Guidelines on **Employee Selection Procedures** themselves and about their recordkeeping provisions. The comment argues that the Uniform Guidelines are merely advisory, not mandatory, and notes that the Department of Labor's Office of Contract Compliance Programs requests applicant information during compliance evaluations, suggesting that such requests qualify as a reporting requirement. The comment does not address the August 2011 Paperwork Reduction Act notice itself or EEOC's calculations in the burden statement.

Overview of Collection

Collection Title: Recordkeeping Requirements of the Uniform Guidelines on Employee Selection Procedures, 29 CFR part 1607, 41 CFR part 60–3, 28 CFR part 50, 5 CFR part 300. OMB Number: 3046-0017.

Type of Respondent: Businesses or other institutions; Federal Government; State or local governments and farms.

North American Industry Classification System (NAICS) Code: Multiple.

Standard Industrial Classification Code (SIC): Multiple.

Description of Affected Public: Any employer, Government contractor, labor organization, or employment agency covered by the Federal equal employment opportunity laws.

Respondents: 899, 580.

Responses: 899,580.

Recordkeeping Hours: 10,783,687 per vear.

Number of Forms: None.

Form Number: None.

Frequency of Report: None.

Abstract: The Uniform Guidelines provide fundamental guidance for all Title VII-covered employers about the use of employment selection procedures. The records addressed by UGESP are used by respondents to assure that they are complying with Title VII and Executive Order 11246; by the Federal agencies that enforce Title VII and Executive Order 11246 to investigate, conciliate, and litigate charges of employment discrimination; and by complainants to establish violations of Federal equal employment opportunity laws. While there is no data available to quantify these benefits, the collection of accurate applicant flow data enhances each employer's ability to address any deficiencies in recruitment and selection processes, including detecting barriers to equal employment opportunity.

Burden Statement: There are no reporting requirements associated with UGESP. The burden being estimated is the cost of collecting and storing a job applicant's gender, race, and ethnicity data. The only paperwork burden derives from this recordkeeping.

Only employers covered under Title VII and Executive Order 11246 are subject to UGESP. For the purpose of burden calculation, employers with 15 or more employees are counted. The number of such employers is estimated at 899,580, which combines estimates from private employment, 1 the public

sector,² colleges and universities,³ and referral unions.⁴

This burden assessment is based on an estimate of the number of job applications submitted to all Title VIIcovered employers in one year, including paper-based and electronic applications. The total number of job applications submitted every year to covered employers is estimated to be 1,294,042,500, which is based on a National Organizations Survey ⁵ average of approximately 35 applications for every hire and a Bureau of Labor Statistics data estimate of 36,731,900 annual hires.6 It includes 161,300 applicants for union membership reported on the EEO-3 form for 2008.

The employer burden associated with collecting and storing applicant demographic data is based on the following assumptions: applicants would need to be asked to provide three pieces of information—sex, race/ ethnicity, and an identification number (a total of approximately 13 keystrokes); the employer would need to transfer information received to a database either manually or electronically; and the employer would need to store the 13 characters of information for each applicant. Recordkeeping costs and burden are assumed to be the cost of entering 13 keystrokes.

Assuming that the required recordkeeping takes 30 seconds per record, and assuming a total of 1,294,042,500 paper and electronic applications per year (as calculated above), the resulting UGESP burden hours would be 10,783,687. Based on a wage rate of \$13.65 per hour for the individuals entering the data, the collection and storage of applicant demographic data would come to approximately \$147,197,332 per year for

^{1 &}quot;Employer Firms, Establishments, Employment, Annual Payroll and Receipts for Small Firm Size Classes, 2007 (http://www.sba.gov/advo/research/ data.html#us).

² "Government Employment & Payroll" (statistics on number of federal, state, and local government civilian employees and their gross payrolls for March 2008); "2008 State & Local Government" (data for 50 state governments and all local governments); Individual Government Data File (http://www.census.gov/govs/apes/indes.html-2010). The number of government entities was adjusted to only include those with 15 or more employees.

³ Postsecondary Institutions in the United States: Fall 2007; Degrees and Other Awards Conferred: 2006–07; and 12-Month Enrollment: 2006–07, (http://nces.ed.gov/pubsearch/ pubsinfo.spp?pubid=2008159rev).

 $^{^4\!\:\}text{EEO}\!-\!3$ Reports filed by referral unions in 2008 with EEOC.

⁵ The National Organizations Survey is a survey of business organizations across the United States in which the unit of analysis is the actual workplace, (http://www.icpsr.umich.edu/icpsrweb/ICPSR/studies/04074).

⁶ Bureau of Labor Statistics Job Openings and Labor Turnover Survey–2010—(http://www.bls.gov/ jlt/data.htm) adjusted to only include hires by firms with 15 or more employees.

Title VII-covered employers. We expect that the foregoing assumptions are over-inclusive, because many employers have electronic job application processes that should be able to capture applicant flow data automatically.

While the burden hours and costs for the UGESP recordkeeping requirement seem very large, the average burden per employer is relatively small. We estimate that UGESP applies to 899,580 employers, approximately 822,000 of which are small firms (entities with 15-500 employees) according to data provided by the Small Business Administration Office of Advocacy. 7 If we assume that a firm with 250 employees (in the mid-range of the 822,000 small employers) has 20 job openings per year and receives an average of 35 applications per job opening, the burden hours to collect and store applicants' sex and race/ethnicity data would be 5.8 hours per year, and the costs would be \$79.11 per year. Similarly, if we assume that an employer with 1,500 employees has 125

job openings to fill each year, and receives 35 applications per opening, the burden hours would be 36.5 hours per year and the annual costs would be \$498.23.

Dated: October 11, 2011.

Jacqueline A. Berrien,

Chair, Equal Employment Opportunity Commission.

FEDERAL DEPOSIT INSURANCE CORPORATION

Update to Notice of Financial Institutions for Which the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation Has Been Appointed Either Receiver, Liquidator, or Manager

AGENCY: Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation.

ACTION: Update Listing of Financial Institutions in Liquidation.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (Corporation) has been appointed the sole receiver for the following financial institutions effective as of the Date Closed as indicated in the listing. This list (as updated from time to time in the Federal Register) may be relied upon as "of record" notice that the Corporation has been appointed receiver for purposes of the statement of policy published in the July 2, 1992 issue of the Federal Register (57 FR 29491). For further information concerning the identification of any institutions which have been placed in liquidation, please visit the Corporation Web site at http://www.fdic.gov/bank/ individual/failed/banklist.html or contact the Manager of Receivership Oversight in the appropriate service

Dated: October 11, 2011.
Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation.
Pamela Johnson,

Regulatory Editing Specialist.

INSTITUTIONS IN LIQUIDATION [In alphabetical order]

FDIC Ref. No.	Bank name	City	State	Date closed
10400 10399	Sun Security Bank	Ellington	MO MN	10/7/2011 10/7/2011

[FR Doc. 2011–26693 Filed 10–14–11; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6714–01–P

FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION

Sunshine Act Notice

AGENCY: Federal Election Commission.

DATE AND TIME: Thursday, October 20, 2011 at 10 a.m.

PLACE: 999 E Street, NW., Washington, DC (Ninth Floor).

STATUS: This Meeting will be Open to the Public.

ITEMS TO BE DISCUSSED:

Correction and Approval of the Minutes for the Meeting of October 6, 2011. Proposed Final Audit Report on the Service Employees International Union Committee on Political Education (SEIU COPE) (A09–28). Management and Administrative Matters.

Individuals who plan to attend and require special assistance, such as sign language interpretation or other reasonable accommodations, should contact Shawn Woodhead Werth, Secretary and Clerk, at (202) 694–1040, at least 72 hours prior to the hearing

PERSON TO CONTACT FOR INFORMATION:

Judith Ingram, Press Officer, Telephone: (202) 694–1220.

Shelley E. Garr,

Deputy Secretary of the Commission.
[FR Doc. 2011–26954 Filed 10–13–11; 4:15 pm]
BILLING CODE 6715–01–P

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

Centers for Disease Control and Prevention

[Docket Number NIOSH-248]

World Trade Center Health Program Scientific/Technical Advisory Committee (WTCHP STAC or Advisory Committee), National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health (NIOSH)

In accordance with section 10(a)(2) of the Federal Advisory Committee Act (Pub. L. 92–463), the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC), announces the following meeting of the aforementioned committee:

Committee Public Meeting Times and Dates: (All times are Eastern Standard Time)

8:15 a.m.-5 p.m., November 9, 2011, 8 a.m.-12 p.m., November 10, 2011.

Public Comment Times and Dates: (All times are Eastern Standard Time) 3:15 p.m.-4:15 p.m., November 9, 2011, 8:15 a.m.-9:15 a.m., November 10, 2011.

⁷ See Firm Size Data at http://sba.gov/advo/research/data.html#us.