

language “As discussed in section IX of the” is corrected to read “As discussed in section VIII of the”.

2. On page 26854, column 1, in the preamble, under the paragraph heading “Summary of Comments and Explanation of Provisions”, the second paragraph of the column, line 13, the language “materially modified (but see section IX)” is corrected to read “materially modified (but see section VIII)”.

3. On page 26586, column 2, in the preamble, under the paragraph heading “D. Advance and Interim Payments”, first paragraph, last line, the language “IV.E.1 of this preamble.” is corrected to read “III.E.1 of this preamble.”.

4. On page 26587, column 2, in the preamble, the language of the paragraph heading “IV. Payments Excepted From the Section 3402(t) Withholding Requirements” is corrected to read “III. Payments Excepted From the Section 3402(t) Withholding Requirements”.

5. On page 26591, column 1, in the preamble, the language of the paragraph heading “V. Application of Section 3402(t) to Passthrough Entities” is corrected to read “IV. Application of Section 3402(t) to Passthrough Entities”.

6. On page 26591, column 2, in the preamble, the language of the paragraph heading “VI. Deposits and Reporting of Amounts Withheld Under Section 3402(t)” is corrected to read “V. Deposits and Reporting of Amounts Withheld Under Section 3402(t)”.

7. On page 26591, column 3, in the preamble, the language of the paragraph heading “VII. Crediting of Amounts Withheld” is corrected to read “VI. Crediting of Amounts Withheld”.

8. On page 26592, column 2, in the preamble, the language of the paragraph heading “VIII. Correction of Errors and Liability of Government Entity” is corrected to read “VII. Correction of Errors and Liability of Government Entity”.

9. On page 26593, column 2, in the preamble, the language of the paragraph heading “IX. Extension of Applicability Date and Transition Relief for Existing Contracts” is corrected to read “VIII. Extension of Applicability Date and Transition Relief for Existing Contracts”.

10. On page 26594, column 1, in the preamble, the language of the paragraph heading “X. Transition Rule for Interest and Penalties on Underpayments” is corrected to read “IX. Transition Rule

for Interest and Penalties on Underpayments”.

LaNita Van Dyke,

Chief, Publications and Regulations Branch,
Legal Processing Division, Associate Chief
Counsel (Procedure and Administration).

[FR Doc. 2011-13932 Filed 6-6-11; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4830-01-P

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

Department of the Navy

32 CFR Part 706

Certifications and Exemptions Under the International Regulations for Preventing Collisions at Sea, 1972

AGENCY: Department of the Navy, DoD.

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: The Department of the Navy (DoN) is amending its certifications and exemptions under the International Regulations for Preventing Collisions at Sea, 1972 (72 COLREGS), to reflect that the Deputy Assistant Judge Advocate General (DAJAG) (Admiralty and Maritime Law) has determined that USS SAN DIEGO (LPD 22) is a vessel of the Navy which, due to its special construction and purpose, cannot fully comply with certain provisions of the 72 COLREGS without interfering with its special function as a naval ship. The intended effect of this rule is to warn mariners in waters where 72 COLREGS apply.

DATES: This rule is effective June 7, 2011 and is applicable beginning May 18, 2011.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Lieutenant Jaewon Choi, JAGC, U.S. Navy, Admiralty Attorney, (Admiralty and Maritime Law), Office of the Judge Advocate General, Department of the Navy, 1322 Patterson Ave., SE., Suite 3000, Washington Navy Yard, DC 20374-5066, telephone 202-685-5040.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Pursuant to the authority granted in 33 U.S.C. 1605, the DoN amends 32 CFR part 706.

This amendment provides notice that the DAJAG (Admiralty and Maritime Law), under authority delegated by the Secretary of the Navy, has certified that USS SAN DIEGO (LPD 22) is a vessel of the Navy which, due to its special construction and purpose, cannot fully

comply with the following specific provisions of 72 COLREGS without interfering with its special function as a naval ship: Rule 27 (a)(i) and (b)(i), pertaining to the placement of all-round task lights in a vertical line; Annex I, paragraph 3(a), pertaining to the horizontal distance between the forward and after masthead lights; and Annex I, paragraph 2(k), pertaining to the vertical separation between anchor lights. The DAJAG (Admiralty and Maritime Law) has also certified that the lights involved are located in closest possible compliance with the applicable 72 COLREGS requirements.

Moreover, it has been determined, in accordance with 32 CFR Parts 296 and 701, that publication of this amendment for public comment prior to adoption is impracticable, unnecessary, and contrary to public interest since it is based on technical findings that the placement of lights on this vessel in a manner differently from that prescribed herein will adversely affect the vessel's ability to perform its military functions.

List of Subjects in 32 CFR Part 706

Marine safety, Navigation (water), and Vessels.

For the reasons set forth in the preamble, amend part 706 of title 32 of the CFR as follows:

PART 706—CERTIFICATIONS AND EXEMPTIONS UNDER THE INTERNATIONAL REGULATIONS FOR PREVENTING COLLISIONS AT SEA, 1972

■ 1. The authority citation for part 706 continues to read:

Authority: 33 U.S.C. 1605.

■ 2. Section 706.2 is amended as follows:

- A. In Table Three by adding, in alpha numerical order, by vessel number, an entry for USS SAN DIEGO (LPD 22); and
- B. In Table Four, under paragraph 20, add, in alpha numerical order, by vessel number, and entry for USS SAN DIEGO (LPD 22); and
- C. In Table Five by adding, in alpha numerical order, by vessel number, and entry for USS SAN DIEGO (LPD 22).

§ 706.2 Certifications of the Secretary of the Navy under Executive Order 11964 and 33 U.S.C. 1605.

* * * * *

TABLE THREE

Vessel	Number	Masthead lights arc of visibility; rule 21(a)	Side lights arc of visibility; rule 21(b)	Stern light arc of visibility; rule 21(c)	Side lights distance in-board of ship's sides in meters 3(b) Annex 1	Stern light, distance forward of stern in meters; rule 21(c)	Forward anchor light, height above hull in meters; 2(k) Annex 1	Anchor lights relationship of aft light to forward light in meters 2(k) Annex 1
USS SAN DIEGO ..	LPD 22	1.88 below.

* * * * *

TABLE FOUR

Vessel	Number	Angle in degrees of task lights off vertical as viewed from directly ahead or astern
USS SAN DIEGO	LPD 22	10

* * * * *

TABLE FIVE

Vessel	Number	Masthead lights not over all other lights and obstructions. Annex I, sec. 2(f)	Forward mast-head light not in forward quarter of ship. Annex I, sec. 3(a)	After masthead light less than 1/2 ship's length aft of forward masthead light. Annex I, sec. 3(a)	Percentage horizontal separation attained
USS SAN DIEGO	LPD	22	X	71

Approved: May 18, 2011.

M. Robb Hyde

Commander, JAGC, U.S. Navy, Deputy Assistant Judge Advocate General (Admiralty and Maritime Law).

Dated: May 19, 2011.

D.J. Werner,

Lieutenant Commander, Judge Advocate General's Corps, U.S. Navy, Federal Register Liaison Officer.

[FR Doc. 2011-12934 Filed 6-6-11; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3810-FF-P

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION**47 CFR Part 1****[DA 11-668]****Cable Landing Licenses; Correction**

AGENCY: Federal Communications Commission.

ACTION: Correcting amendment.

SUMMARY: This document contains a corrected mailing address for the Defense Information Systems Agency in the regulations that we published in the **Federal Register** of January 14, 2002, 67 FR 1615.

DATES: Effective June 7, 2011.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Adrienne Downs at (202) 418-0412 or

JoAnn Sutton at (202) 418-1372 of the International Bureau, Policy Division.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**Background**

The final regulation that is the subject of this correction superseded § 1.767(j) on the mailing address for the Defense Information Systems Agency and affects applicants requesting streamlined processing of cable landing license applications.

Need for Correction

As published, the final regulation contains an incorrect address for the Defense Information Systems Agency to which applicants seeking to use the streamlined grant procedure specified in paragraph (i) of § 1.767, must send a complete copy of their application, or