

sooner than 30 days following publication by the Environmental Protection Agency of the Notice of Availability of the Final Environmental Impact Statement.

ADDRESSES: Information will be available for public inspection online at <http://parkplanning.nps.gov/apis>, or by writing to Ms. Julie Van Stappen, Chief of Planning and Resource Management, Apostle Islands National Lakeshore, Route 1, Box 4, Bayfield, WI 54814; telephone: 715-779-3398, extension 211. Information also can be picked-up in person at the park's headquarters at 415 Washington Avenue, Bayfield, Wisconsin.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This document provides a framework for management of the Lakeshore and the Gaylord Nelson Wilderness, including its resources, visitors, and facilities, for the next 15–20 years. The document describes four alternatives for management of the park, including a no-action alternative, and analyzes the environmental impacts of those alternatives.

The NPS preferred alternative (Alternative 2) would focus on providing opportunities for more people to have an island experience. Additional transportation opportunities would be sought to encourage visitors to come to Sand, Basswood, and Oak islands. Some additional visitor facilities would be developed on these islands. There would be no change in the number of public docks in the park, but some docks would be relocated, improved, or expanded. The Bayfield visitor center would be moved closer to the water to improve contact with visitors and to be located with an operations center. The Little Sand Bay Visitor Center would be replaced with a visitor contact station. A new ranger station and accessible beach ramp would be developed at Meyers Beach. Two light stations would be restored or rehabilitated, similar to the Raspberry Island light station. The wilderness area would continue to be managed as it is now, with the exception of the Oak Island group campsite being removed and the site restored.

Other alternatives considered included the no action alternative (Alternative 1) where the NPS would continue to manage the Lakeshore as it has been managed since the 1989 general management plan was approved and the Gaylord Nelson Wilderness was designated in 2004. Alternative 3, which would focus on providing primitive, lake-oriented recreation and education opportunities, with some new and different opportunities provided. Under

alternative 4, the emphasis would be on providing a greater variety of structured recreation opportunities for visitors. More visitor facilities would be provided in island non-wilderness areas, and mainland visitor opportunities would be expanded.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Ms. Julie Van Stappen, Chief of Planning and Resource Management Apostle Islands National Lakeshore, Route 1, Box 4, Bayfield, Wisconsin 54814, and by calling 715-779-3198, extension 211.

Dated: March 10, 2011.

George J. Turnbull,

Acting Regional Director, Midwest Region.

[FR Doc. 2011-11412 Filed 5-9-11; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4312-97-P

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

National Park Service

Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Winter Use Plan, Yellowstone National Park

AGENCY: National Park Service, Interior.

ACTION: Notice of availability.

SUMMARY: Pursuant to the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969, the National Park Service (NPS) announces the availability of a Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for a Winter Use Plan for Yellowstone National Park, located in Idaho, Montana and Wyoming.

DATES: The National Park Service will accept comments from the public for 60 days after the date the Environmental Protection Agency publishes their Notice of Availability. For information on meeting and webinar dates, see the **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION** section below.

ADDRESSES: Information will be available for public review and comment online at <http://parkplanning.nps.gov/YELL> (click on the link to the Winter Use Plan), and in the office of Superintendent Dan Wenk, Yellowstone National Park, P.O. Box 168, Yellowstone National Park, Wyoming 82190.

If you wish to comment on the Draft Environmental Impact Statement, you may submit your comments by any one of several methods.

- **Internet:** We encourage you to comment via the Internet at <http://parkplanning.nps.gov/YELL> (click on the link to the Winter Use Plan).

- **Mail:** You may also comment by mail to Yellowstone National Park, Winter Use Draft EIS, P.O. Box 168, Yellowstone NP, WY 82190.

- **Hand Delivery:** Finally, you may hand deliver your comments to Management Assistant's Office, Headquarters Building, Mammoth Hot Springs, Yellowstone National Park, WY.

Comments will not be accepted by fax, e-mail, or in any other way than those specified above. Bulk comments in any format (hard copy or electronic) submitted on behalf of others will not be accepted.

Before including your address, phone number, e-mail address, or other personal identifying information in your comment, you should be aware that your entire comment—including your personal identifying information—may be made publicly available at any time. While you can ask us in your comment to withhold your personal identifying information from public review, we cannot guarantee that we will be able to do so.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Vicki Regula, P.O. Box 168, Yellowstone National Park, WY 82190, (307) 344-2019, yell_winter_use@nps.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The National Park Service intends to hold public meetings as follows:

- Jackson, WY on June 1, 2011.
- Cody, WY on June 2, 2011.
- West Yellowstone, MT on June 7, 2011.

- Bozeman, MT. on June 8, 2011.
- Lakewood, CO on June 21, 2011.
- Washington, DC on June 23, 2011.

In addition, two webinars will be held during the comment period. The first will be on June 21, 2011 from Noon to 2:30 p.m. The second will be June 22, 2011 from 6:30 p.m. to 9 p.m. Details regarding the exact times and locations of these meetings, and how to participate in the webinars, will be announced on the NPS Planning, Environment, and Public Comment (PEPC) Web site, at <http://parkplanning.nps.gov/YELL> (click on the link to the Winter Use Plan), and through local media.

Seven alternatives were considered in the DEIS. Alternative 1 would not permit public over-snow vehicle (OSV) use in Yellowstone after the interim rule expires (after the winter 2010/2011), but would allow for approved non-motorized use to continue. Alternative 1 has been identified as the environmentally preferable alternative. Alternative 2 would manage OSV use at the same levels as the 2008 interim rule (318 snowmobiles and 78 snowcoaches per day). Alternative 3 would allow for snowmobile and snowcoach use levels to increase to the levels set forth in the 2004 plan (720 snowmobiles and 78

snowcoaches per day). Alternative 4 would allow for commercially guided wheeled vehicles, in addition to OSVs (100 commercially wheeled vehicles, 110 snowmobiles and 30 snowcoaches per day). Alternative 5 would initially allow for the same level of use as alternative 2 (318 snowmobiles and 78 snowcoaches per day), but would provide for a transition to snowcoaches only if user demand is present to support such a transition or at the discretion of the Superintendent. Upon complete transition, there would be zero snowmobiles and up to 120 snowcoaches per day. Alternative 6 would provide for use levels that vary each day, with a seasonal limit of up to 32,000 snowmobiles and 4,600 snowcoaches, and a daily limit of up to 540 snowmobiles and 78 snowcoaches. Up to 25 percent of snowmobile permits under alternative 6 would be for unguided or non-commercially guided use.

Alternative 7 is the agency preferred alternative and would provide a variety of use levels and experiences for visitors. Four different use levels for snowmobiles and snowcoaches would be implemented, the combination of which may vary by day. Snowmobile use would range from 110 to 330 vehicles per day and snowcoach use would range from 30 to 80 vehicles per day. The varying use levels would provide for high and low use days, allowing for a variety of motorized and non-motorized visitor experiences throughout the winter season. Commercial guide requirements would continue. All snowmobiles and snowcoaches would need to enter the park by 10:30 a.m. A requirement to limit nitrogen oxides (NO_x) emissions would be added to the current Best Available Technology requirements for snowmobiles. By 2014–2015, all snowcoaches would have to employ model year 2010 engine and emission control systems, and all snowcoaches' sound would be limited to 73 decibels, similar to the current BAT requirements for snowmobiles. During the first winter of implementation, the provisions of the interim plan that was in effect for the past two winters would continue. Up to 318 best available technology, commercially guided snowmobiles and up to 78 commercially guided snowcoaches would be allowed to enter the park each day during the transition winter.

More information regarding Yellowstone in the winter, including educational materials and a detailed history of winter use in Yellowstone, is available at <http://www.nps.gov/yell/planvisit/winteruse/index.htm>.

Dated: May 5, 2011.

Peggy O'Dell,

Deputy Director, National Park Service.

[FR Doc. 2011–11408 Filed 5–6–11; 11:15 am]

BILLING CODE 4312-CT-P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

Submission for OMB Review; Comment Request—Agency Proposal for the Collection of Information Submitted to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for Review; Comment Request.

AGENCY: United States International Trade Commission.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: In accordance with the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (Pub. L. 104–13), the Commission has submitted a proposal for the collection of information to OMB for approval. The proposed information collection is a 3-year extension of the current “generic clearance” (approved by the Office of Management and Budget under control No. 3117–0016) under which the Commission can issue information collections (specifically, producer, importer, purchaser, and foreign producer questionnaires and certain institution notices) for the following types of import injury investigations: antidumping, countervailing duty, escape clause, market disruption, NAFTA safeguard, and “interference with programs of the USDA.” Any comments submitted to OMB on the proposed information collection should be specific, indicating which part of the questionnaires or study plan are objectionable, describing the issue in detail, and including specific revisions or language changes.

DATES: To be assured of consideration, comments should be submitted to OMB within 30 days of the date this notice appears in the **Federal Register**.

ADDRESSES: Comments about the proposal should be directed to the Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Office of Management and Budget, New Executive Office Building, Washington, DC 20503, *Attention:* Wendy Liberante, Desk Officer for U.S. International Trade Commission. Copies of any comments should be provided to Andrew Martin (U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, SW., Washington, DC 20436).

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Copies of the proposed collection of information and supporting documentation may be obtained from

Jennifer Merrill (U.S. International Trade Commission, tel. no. 202–205–3188). Hearing-impaired persons can obtain information on this matter by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on 202–205–1810. Persons with mobility impairments who will need special assistance in gaining access to the Commission should contact the Office of the Secretary at 202–205–2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its Internet server (<http://www.usitc.gov>).

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: (1) The proposed information collection consists of five forms, namely the *Sample Producers'*, *Sample Importers'*, *Sample Purchasers'*, and *Sample Foreign Producers' questionnaires* (separate forms are provided for questionnaires issued for the five-year reviews) and *Sample Notice of Institution for Five-Year Reviews*.

(2) The types of items contained within the sample questionnaires and institution notice are largely determined by statute. Actual questions formulated for use in a specific investigation depend upon such factors as the nature of the industry, the relevant issues, the ability of respondents to supply the data, and the availability of data from secondary sources.

(3) The information collected through questionnaires issued under the generic clearance for import injury investigations is consolidated by Commission staff and forms much of the statistical base for the Commission's determinations. Affirmative Commission determinations in antidumping and countervailing duty investigations result in the imposition of duties on imports entering the United States, determined by The Department of Commerce, which are in addition to any normal customs duties. If the Commission makes an affirmative determination in a five-year review, the existing antidumping or countervailing duty order remains in place. The data developed in escape-clause, market disruption, and interference-with-USDA-program investigations (if the Commission finds affirmatively) are used by the President/U.S. Trade Representative to determine the type of relief, if any, to be provided to domestic industries. The submissions made to the Commission in response to the notices of institution of five-year reviews form the basis for the Commission's determination as to whether a full or expedited review should be conducted.

(4) Likely respondents consist of businesses (including foreign businesses) or farms that produce,