

resource issues to be addressed in the EA; and (5) identify those issues that require a detailed analysis, as well as those issues that do not require a detailed analysis.

Procedures

The meetings are recorded by a stenographer and become part of the formal record of the Commission proceeding on the project.

Individuals, organizations, and agencies with environmental expertise and concerns are encouraged to attend the meeting and to assist the staff in defining and clarifying the issues to be addressed in the EA.

Kimberly D. Bose,
Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2010-11783 Filed 5-17-10; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-P

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Project No. 2144-038; Project No. 2225-013]

City of Seattle, Public Utility District No. 1 of Pend Oreille County; Notice of Technical Conference and Site Visit for the Boundary Hydroelectric Project and Sullivan Creek Hydroelectric Project Settlement Agreement and Soliciting Scoping Comments on the Proposed Sullivan Creek Hydroelectric Project Surrender

May 11, 2010.

On March 29, 2010, the City of Seattle (City) and the Public Utility District No. 1 of Pend Oreille, County, Washington (District) filed a joint comprehensive settlement agreement (Settlement), explanatory statement and a request to consolidate the processing of the City's relicensing of the Boundary Hydroelectric Project No. 2144-038, and the District's surrender of its license for the Sullivan Creek Hydroelectric Project No. 2225-013. On April 2, 2010, the District filed an application to surrender the Sullivan Creek Project. Parts of both projects occupy lands within the Colville National Forest.

Technical Conference

Commission staff will hold a technical conference to discuss the proposed license articles for a new license for the Boundary Project and the proposed Sullivan Creek license surrender conditions submitted as part of the joint Settlement.

The technical conference will be held on Thursday, June 10, 2010, beginning

at 9 a.m. (PST) at Quality Inn Oakwood, 7919 North Division, Spokane, WA. Participation at the technical conference will be limited to Commission staff and the Settlement Parties. However, the public is free to attend and provide comments on the scope of issues that should be addressed in the Commission's environmental assessment. The technical conference will be recorded by a court reporter, and all statements (verbal and written) will become part of the Commission's public record for the project. The conference and site visit described below are posted on the Commission's calendar located at <http://www.ferc.gov/EventCalendar/EventsList.aspx> along with other related information.

Site Visit

On Wednesday, June 9, 2010, Commission staff, along with representatives of the City and the District, will conduct a site visit of the Boundary and Sullivan Creek Projects. All interested individuals, organizations, and agencies are invited to attend. All participants should meet at the Boundary powerhouse gate at 10:15 a.m. (PST). For those persons only interested in the Sullivan Creek surrender, we will be at the Sullivan Lake Dam at 12:15 p.m. In addition, all participants are responsible for their own transportation to the site and throughout the day. Anyone planning to attend the site visit must notify Mary Pat Dileva at marypat.dileva@seattle.gov by Wednesday, June 2, 2010. RSVPs are required for security and planning purposes.

Scoping for the Surrender of the District's Sullivan Creek Project

Commission staff intends to prepare an environmental assessment (EA) covering both the relicensing of the Boundary Project and the surrender of the Sullivan Creek Project, pursuant to the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969, as amended. Scoping of the relicensing of the Boundary Project was completed in 2006. To support and assist our environmental review, we are conducting paper scoping of the Sullivan Creek surrender to ensure that all pertinent issues are identified and analyzed, and the EA is thorough. This notice solicits written comments from Federal, State, and local resource agencies, Indian tribes, and other interested persons through the scoping process.

All scoping comments should be submitted in writing to the Commission no later than June 25, 2010. All correspondence must clearly show at the top of the page: "Sullivan Creek

Hydroelectric Project, FERC No. 2225-013." Send your comments about the project to: Kimberly D. Bose, Secretary, Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, NE., Washington, DC 20426. Scoping comments may be filed electronically via the Internet in lieu of paper. See 18 CFR 385.2001(a)(1)(iii) and the instructions on the Commission's Web site at <http://www.ferc.gov> under the "e-Filing" link. The Commission strongly encourages electronic filings.

For further information, contact David Turner at (202) 502-6091, or by e-mail at david.turner@ferc.gov.

Kimberly D. Bose,
Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2010-11791 Filed 5-17-10; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[EPA-R09-OAR-2010-0208; FRL-9152-5]

Adequacy Determination for the Motor Vehicle Emissions Budgets in the Truckee Meadows PM₁₀ Maintenance Plan for Transportation Conformity Purposes; State of Nevada

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Notice of adequacy.

SUMMARY: In this notice, EPA is notifying the public that the Agency has found that motor vehicle emissions budgets (MVEBs) for particles with an aerodynamic diameter of a nominal 10 microns or less (PM₁₀), contained in the *Redesignation Request and Maintenance Plan for the Truckee Meadows 24-Hour PM₁₀ Non-Attainment Area* ("Truckee Meadows PM₁₀ Maintenance Plan"), are adequate for transportation conformity purposes. The Truckee Meadows PM₁₀ Maintenance Plan was submitted to EPA on July 13, 2009 by the Nevada Division of Environmental Protection as a revision to the Nevada State Implementation Plan. As a result of our adequacy finding, the Washoe County Regional Transportation Commission and the U.S. Department of Transportation must use these budgets in future conformity analyses.

DATES: This finding is effective June 2, 2010.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Eleanor Kaplan, U.S. EPA, Region IX, Air Division AIR-2, 75 Hawthorne Street, San Francisco, CA 94105-3901; (415) 947-4147 or kaplan.eleanor@epa.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Throughout this document, whenever “we,” “us,” or “our” is used, we mean EPA.

Today’s notice is simply an announcement of a finding that we have already made. EPA Region IX sent a letter to the Nevada Division of Environmental Protection on May 5, 2010 stating that the motor vehicle emissions budgets for PM₁₀ in the submitted Truckee Meadows PM₁₀ Maintenance Plan are adequate. Receipt of these motor vehicle emissions budgets was announced on EPA’s transportation conformity Web site on January 19, 2010, and no comments were submitted. The finding is available at EPA’s conformity Web site: <http://www.epa.gov/otaq/stateresources/transconf/adequacy.htm>.

The Truckee Meadows PM₁₀ Maintenance Plan identifies PM₁₀ MVEBs for the Truckee Meadows nonattainment area for years 2009, 2013, 2018 and 2020. The adequate budgets are presented in the following table:

TRUCKEE MEADOWS PM₁₀ MAINTENANCE PLAN MOTOR VEHICLE EMISSIONS BUDGETS, TRUCKEE MEADOWS NONATTAINMENT AREA

[Winter season, pounds per day]

2009 Emissions Budget	21,195
2013 Emissions Budget	20,871
2018 Emissions Budget	20,836
2020 Emissions Budget	20,816

Transportation conformity is required by Clean Air Act section 176(c). EPA’s conformity rule requires that transportation plans, transportation improvement programs, and projects conform to state air quality implementation plans (SIPs) and establishes the criteria and procedures for determining whether or not they do conform. Conformity to a SIP means that transportation activities will not produce new air quality violations, worsen existing violations, or delay timely attainment of the national ambient air quality standards.

The criteria by which we determine whether a SIP’s motor vehicle emissions budgets are adequate for conformity purposes are outlined in 40 CFR 93.118(e)(4). We have described our process for determining the adequacy of submitted SIP budgets in our July 1, 2004, preamble starting at 69 FR 40038, and we used the information in these resources while making our adequacy determination. Please note that an adequacy review is separate from EPA’s completeness review, and should not be used to prejudge EPA’s ultimate

approval action for the SIP. Even if we find a budget adequate, the SIP could later be disapproved.

Authority: 42 U.S.C. 7401 *et seq.*

Dated: April 30, 2010.

Jared Blumenfeld,

Regional Administrator, Region IX.

[FR Doc. 2010-11831 Filed 5-17-10; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[FRL-9152-7]

Science Advisory Board Staff Office; Notification of a Public Teleconference and Public Meeting of the SAB Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbon (PAH) Mixtures Review Panel

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The EPA Science Advisory Board (SAB) Staff Office announces two public meetings of the SAB Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbon (PAH) Mixtures Review Panel: A teleconference and a face-to-face meeting to review EPA’s draft technical document, *Development of a Relative Potency Factor (RPF) Approach for Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbon (PAH) Mixtures*, External Review Draft.

DATES: There will be a public teleconference on June 8, 2010 from 2 p.m. to 4 p.m. (Eastern Time). The public meeting will be held on June 21, 2010 from 9 a.m. to 5 p.m. (Eastern Time), June 22, 2010 from 9 a.m. to 5 p.m. and June 23, 2010 from 9 a.m. to 3 p.m. (Eastern time).

ADDRESSES: The teleconference will be conducted by phone only. The face-to-face meeting on June 21–23, 2010 will be held at the Washington Marriott at Metro Center, 775 12th Street, NW., Washington, DC 20005; telephone (202) 737-2200.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Any member of the public wishing to obtain information concerning the public teleconference and/or public meeting may contact Mr. Aaron Yeow, Designated Federal Officer (DFO), EPA Science Advisory Board Staff Office (1400F), U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 1200 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20460; by telephone/voice mail at (202) 343-9878 or at yeow.aaron@epa.gov. General information about the SAB, as well as any updates concerning the meeting announced in this notice, may be found on the EPA Web site at <http://www.epa.gov/sab>.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Pursuant to the Federal Advisory Committee Act (FACA), 5 U.S.C., App. 2, notice is hereby given that the SAB PAH Mixtures Review Panel will hold a public teleconference to discuss the plans for the subsequent public face-to-face meeting to conduct a peer review of the EPA’s draft technical document, *Development of a Relative Potency Factor (RPF) Approach for Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbon (PAH) Mixtures*, External Review Draft (February 2010). The SAB was established pursuant to 42 U.S.C. 4365 to provide independent scientific and technical advice to the Administrator on the technical basis for Agency positions and regulations.

The SAB is a Federal Advisory Committee chartered under FACA. The SAB will comply with the provisions of FACA and all appropriate SAB Staff Office procedural policies.

Background: EPA’s Integrated Risk Information System (IRIS) is an electronic database containing descriptive and quantitative toxicological information on human health effects that may result from chronic exposure to various substances in the environment. This information supports human health risk assessments and includes hazard identification and dose-response data and derivations of oral reference doses (RfDs) and inhalation reference concentrations (RfCs) for noncancer effects and oral slope factors and oral and inhalation unit risks for cancer effects. IRIS is prepared and maintained by EPA’s National Center for Environmental Assessment (NCEA) within the Office of Research and Development (ORD). NCEA’s IRIS Program has developed a draft technical document entitled, *Development of a Relative Potency Factor (RPF) Approach for Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbon (PAH) Mixtures*, for estimating cancer risk from exposure to PAH mixtures. ORD has requested that the Science Advisory Board (SAB) conduct a review of this draft document.

In response to ORD’s request, the SAB Staff Office solicited nominations of experts and formed a review panel for PAH Mixtures [Federal Register Notice dated October 21, 2009 (74 FR 54047–54048)]. The panel will conduct a review of EPA’s February 2010 External Review Draft of its technical document, *Development of a Relative Potency Factor (RPF) Approach for Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbon (PAH) Mixtures*. Specifically, the panel is being asked to provide recommendations on the rationale for recommending an RPF approach, the evaluation of the carcinogenicity of individual PAHs, the methods for dose response assessment