

SUMMARY: The Commission hereby gives notice that it will proceed with a full review pursuant to section 751(c)(5) of the Tariff Act of 1930 (19 U.S.C. 1675(c)(5)) to determine whether revocation of the antidumping duty order on sorbitol from France would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of material injury within a reasonably foreseeable time. A schedule for the review will be established and announced at a later date. For further information concerning the conduct of this review and rules of general application, consult the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, part 201, subparts A through E (19 CFR part 201), and part 207, subparts A, D, E, and F (19 CFR part 207).

DATES: *Effective Date:* October 5, 2009.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mary Messer (202–205–3193), Office of Investigations, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, SW., Washington, DC 20436. Hearing-impaired persons can obtain information on this matter by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on 202–205–1810. Persons with mobility impairments who will need special assistance in gaining access to the Commission should contact the Office of the Secretary at 202–205–2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its Internet server (<http://www.usitc.gov>). The public record for this review may be viewed on the Commission's electronic docket (EDIS) at <http://edis.usitc.gov>.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On October 5, 2009, the Commission determined that it should proceed to a full review in the subject five-year review pursuant to section 751(c)(5) of the Act. The Commission found that the domestic interested party group response to its notice of institution (74 FR 31762, July 2, 2009) was adequate and that the respondent interested party group response was inadequate. The Commission also found that other circumstances warranted conducting a full review.¹ A record of the Commissioners' votes, the Commission's statement on adequacy, and any individual Commissioner's statements will be available from the Office of the Secretary and at the Commission's Web site.

Authority: This review is being conducted under authority of title VII of the Tariff Act of 1930; this notice is published pursuant to section 207.62 of the Commission's rules.

¹ Commissioners Irving A. Williamson and Dean A. Pinkert found that no other circumstances warranted conducting a full review and voted for an expedited review.

By order of the Commission.

Issued: October 14, 2009.

Marilyn R. Abbott,

Secretary to the Commission.

[FR Doc. E9–25249 Filed 10–20–09; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020–02–P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation Nos. 731–TA–1043–1045 (Review)]

Polyethylene Retail Carrier Bags From China, Malaysia, and Thailand

AGENCY: United States International Trade Commission.

ACTION: Notice of Commission determination to conduct full five-year reviews concerning the antidumping duty orders on polyethylene retail carrier bags from China, Malaysia, and Thailand.

SUMMARY: The Commission hereby gives notice that it will proceed with full reviews pursuant to section 751(c)(5) of the Tariff Act of 1930 (19 U.S.C. 1675(c)(5)) to determine whether revocation of the antidumping duty orders on polyethylene retail carrier bags from China, Malaysia, and Thailand would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of material injury within a reasonably foreseeable time. A schedule for the reviews will be established and announced at a later date. For further information concerning the conduct of these reviews and rules of general application, consult the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, part 201, subparts A through E (19 CFR part 201), and part 207, subparts A, D, E, and F (19 CFR part 207).

DATES: *Effective Date:* October 5, 2009.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mary Messer (202–205–3193), Office of Investigations, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, SW., Washington, DC 20436. Hearing-impaired persons can obtain information on this matter by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on 202–205–1810. Persons with mobility impairments who will need special assistance in gaining access to the Commission should contact the Office of the Secretary at 202–205–2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its internet server (<http://www.usitc.gov>). The public record for these reviews may be viewed on the Commission's electronic docket (EDIS) at <http://edis.usitc.gov>.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On October 5, 2009, the Commission determined that it should proceed to full reviews in the subject five-year reviews pursuant to section 751(c)(5) of the Act. The Commission found that the domestic interested party group response to its notice of institution (74 FR 31750, July 2, 2009) was adequate and that the respondent interested party group response with respect to Malaysia was adequate and decided to conduct a full review with respect to the antidumping duty order concerning polyethylene retail carrier bags from Malaysia. The Commission found that the respondent interested party group responses with respect to China and Thailand were inadequate. However, the Commission determined to conduct full reviews concerning the antidumping duty orders on polyethylene retail carrier bags from China and Thailand to promote administrative efficiency in light of its decision to conduct a full review with respect to the antidumping duty order concerning polyethylene retail carrier bags from Malaysia. A record of the Commissioners' votes, the Commission's statement on adequacy, and any individual Commissioner's statements will be available from the Office of the Secretary and at the Commission's Web site.

Authority: These reviews are being conducted under authority of title VII of the Tariff Act of 1930; this notice is published pursuant to section 207.62 of the Commission's rules.

Issued: October 14, 2009.

By order of the Commission.

Marilyn R. Abbott,

Secretary to the Commission.

[FR Doc. E9–25248 Filed 10–20–09; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020–02–P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 731–TA–149 (Third Review)]

Barium Chloride From China

AGENCY: United States International Trade Commission.

ACTION: Notice of Commission determination to conduct a full five-year review concerning the antidumping duty order on barium chloride from China.

SUMMARY: The Commission hereby gives notice that it will proceed with a full review pursuant to section 751(c)(5) of the Tariff Act of 1930 (19 U.S.C. 1675(c)(5)) to determine whether revocation of the antidumping duty

order on barium chloride from China would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of material injury within a reasonably foreseeable time. A schedule for the review will be established and announced at a later date. For further information concerning the conduct of this review and rules of general application, consult the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, part 201, subparts A through E (19 CFR part 201), and part 207, subparts A, D, E, and F (19 CFR part 207).

DATES: *Effective Date:* October 5, 2009.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Mary Messer (202–205–3193), Office of Investigations, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, SW., Washington, DC 20436. Hearing-impaired persons can obtain information on this matter by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on 202–205–1810. Persons with mobility impairments who will need special assistance in gaining access to the Commission should contact the Office of the Secretary at 202–205–2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its Internet server (<http://www.usitc.gov>). The public record for this review may be viewed on the Commission's electronic docket (EDIS) at <http://edis.usitc.gov>.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On October 5, 2009, the Commission determined that it should proceed to a full review in the subject five-year review pursuant to section 751(c)(5) of the Act. The Commission found that the domestic interested party group response to its notice of institution (74 FR 31757, July 2, 2009) was adequate and that the respondent interested party group response was inadequate. The Commission also found that other circumstances warranted conducting a full review.¹ A record of the Commissioners' votes, the Commission's statement on adequacy, and any individual Commissioner's statements will be available from the Office of the Secretary and at the Commission's Web site.

Authority: This review is being conducted under authority of title VII of the Tariff Act of 1930; this notice is published pursuant to section 207.62 of the Commission's rules.

By order of the Commission.

¹ Commissioners Charlotte R. Lane, Irving A. Williamson, and Dean A. Pinkert found that no other circumstances warranted conducting a full review and voted for an expedited review.

Issued: October 14, 2009.

Marilyn R. Abbott,

Secretary to the Commission.

[FR Doc. E9–25246 Filed 10–20–09; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020–02–P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation Nos. 731–TA–394–A & 399–A (Second Review) (Remand)]

Ball Bearings From Japan and the United Kingdom

AGENCY: United States International Trade Commission.

ACTION: Notice of remand proceedings.

SUMMARY: The U.S. International Trade Commission (“Commission”) hereby gives notice of its second remand proceedings with respect to its affirmative determinations in the five-year reviews of the antidumping orders on ball bearings from Japan and the United Kingdom. For further information concerning the conduct of this proceeding and rules of general application, consult the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, part 201, subparts A through E (19 CFR part 201), and part 207, subpart A (19 CFR part 207).

DATES: *Effective Date:* October 14, 2009.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

James McClure, Office of Investigations, telephone 202–205–3191, or David Goldfine, Office of General Counsel, telephone 202–708–5452, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW., Washington, DC 20436. Hearing-impaired persons can obtain information on this matter by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on 202–205–1810. Persons with mobility impairments who will need special assistance in gaining access to the Commission should contact the Office of the Secretary at 202–205–2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its Internet server (<http://www.usitc.gov>).

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background.—In June 2006, the Commission unanimously determined that revocation of the antidumping duty orders on ball bearings from France, Germany, Italy, Japan, and the United Kingdom would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of material injury to an industry in the United States within a reasonable foreseeable time. The Commission's determinations for Japan and the United Kingdom were appealed to the Court of International Trade (the “Court”). On September 9,

2008, the Court issued a decision remanding the matter to the Commission for further proceedings. *NSK v. United States*, Slip Op. 08–95 (Ct. Int'l Trade, Sept. 9, 2008) (*NSK I*). In its opinion, the Court issued an order instructing the Commission to (1) “[C]onduct a *Bratsk* analysis of non-subject imports as outlined in this opinion;” (2) “reassess supply conditions within the domestic industry,” *i.e.*, the industry's restructuring efforts during the period of review, and (3) “reexamine its findings with regard to likely impact and its decision to cumulate imports from the United Kingdom in light of changes in its determinations that may result as a consequence of the foregoing remand instructions.”

On October 8, 2008, in accordance with the Court's order, the Commission initiated remand proceedings in the above-captioned reviews. The notice of initiation for the remand proceeding was published in the **Federal Register** at 73 FR 63217 (Oct. 20, 2008). The Commission re-opened its record to obtain information to conduct a *Bratsk* analysis of non-subject imports as outlined in the Court's opinion. The Commission also permitted parties to file comments pertaining to the specific issues that are the subject of the Court's remand instructions and to comment on the new information obtained on remand. *Id.*

On October 9, 2008, the Commission filed a motion for reconsideration with the Court. In the motion, the Commission requested that the Court reconsider its decision in light of the Federal Circuit's decision, *Mittal Steel Point Lisas Limited v. United States*, Court No. 2007–1552 (September 18, 2008) (*Mittal*). In its motion, the Commission also requested that the CIT issue a stay of the remand proceeding pending the Court's disposition of the Commission's motion for reconsideration. Defendant-Intervenor The Timken Company (“Timken”) filed a similar motion for reconsideration and a motion to stay the remand proceeding.

On October 29, 2008, the CIT granted the requests of the Commission and Timken to stay the Commission's remand proceeding pending its reconsideration of the Commission's and Timken's motions for reconsideration. Accordingly, the Commission stayed its remand proceeding on November 17, 2008 pending the Court's ruling on the motions for reconsideration. On December 29, 2008, the Court denied the motions for reconsideration by the Commission and Timken. *NSK Corp. et al. v. United States*, Slip Op. 08–145