significant analysis in the plan/EIS are likely to include, but may not be limited to, native species declines, including effects of invasive species; degraded and lost habitat and prevention of future losses of habitat and resources; loss of ecosystem function including an altered hydrograph and reduced sediment load; statutory responsibilities, such as complying with the Endangered Species Act; criteria, goals and objectives and priorities for restoration; program, authority, and data gaps, including identification of new strategies for mitigation, recovery, and restoration efforts; and cumulative impacts. The plan/EIS will also include identification and analysis of the social, economic, and cultural impacts of the various alternatives, as well as important ecosystem functions.

- 5. Cooperating Agencies. Federal agencies, Tribes, and state governments are being invited to participate in the planning process as cooperating agencies under the NEPA.
- 6. Additional Review and Consultation. Additional public, scientific, and statutory review and consultation, which will be incorporated into the preparation of this EIS, will include, but shall not be limited to: Section 401 of Clean Water Act, Fish and Wildlife Coordination Act, the Magnuson-Stevens Fishery Conservation and Management Act, the National Environmental Policy Act, the National Historic Preservation Act; the Endangered Species Act, and the Clean Air Act. In addition, as directed by WRDA 2007, the development of this plan will be done in consultation with the Missouri River Recovery Implementation Committee.
- 7. Availability of the Draft Environmental Impact Statement. The Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) is anticipated to be available as early as November of 2013 or, no later than January of 2014. A series of public meetings will be conducted following the release of the DEIS.

Brenda S. Bowen,

Army Federal Register Liaison Officer. [FR Doc. E9–1629 Filed 1–23–09; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3720–58–P

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

Department of the Army; Corps of Engineers

Flood Control, Mississippi River & Tributaries, Yazoo River Basin, Yazoo Headwaters Project, Mississippi Tributaries Unit

AGENCY: Department of the Army, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, DOD. **ACTION:** Notice of intent.

SUMMARY: The U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Vicksburg District, in conjunction with the Yazoo-Mississippi Delta Levee District, the non-Federal sponsor, is undertaking studies to evaluate the authorized Yazoo Headwaters Project. As part of this work, a Supplemental Environmental Impact Statement (SEIS) is being prepared to update existing National Environmental Policy Act documentation.

DATES: Initiate SEIS, February 2, 2009. **ADDRESSES:** Correspondence may be sent to Mr. Matthew Mallard, U.S. Army Engineer District, Vicksburg, CEMVK—PP—PQ, 4155 Clay Street, Vicksburg, MS 39183—3435.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mr. Matthew Mallard at U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Vicksburg District, telephone (601) 631–5960, fax (601) 631–5115, or e-mail at

matthew.s.mallard@usace.army.mil.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Proposed Action. An SEIS will identify and evaluate impacts associated with construction in the remaining authorized Yazoo Tributaries subbasins, including channel improvement, levee construction and enlargement, associated water control structures, bank stabilization, grade control measures, and environmental design features.

Alternatives. Alternative urban and agricultural flood protection measures will be identified to meet existing and future flood protection needs and evaluated in cooperation with state and Federal agencies, local government, and the public.

Scoping. Scoping is the process for determining the range of the alternatives and significant issues to be addressed in the SEIS. For this analysis, a letter will be sent to all parties believed to have an interest in the analysis, requesting their input on alternatives and issues to be evaluated. The letter will also notify interested parties of the public scoping meeting that will be held in the local area. A notice will be sent to the local news media. All interested parties are

invited to comment at this time, and anyone interested in the study should request to be included on the mailing list.

A public scoping meeting will be held March 2, 2009, from 7 to 9 p.m. at the Leflore County Civic Center, 200 Highway 7 North, Greenwood, MS 38930, and March 3, 2009, from 7 to 9 p.m. at the Marks Community House, Pecan Street, Marks, MS 38646.

Significant Issues. The tentative list of resources and issues to be evaluated in the SEIS includes aquatic resources, recreational and commercial fisheries, wildlife resources, water quality, air quality, threatened or endangered species, recreation resources, and cultural resources. Tentative socioeconomic items to be evaluated in the SEIS include business and industrial activity, tax revenues, population, community and regional growth, transportation, housing, community cohesion, and navigation.

Environmental Consultation and Review. The U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (FWS) will be asked to assist in the documentation of existing conditions, impact analysis of alternatives, and overall study review through the Fish and Wildlife Coordination Act (FWCA) consultation procedures. The FWS would provide an FWCA report to be incorporated into the SEIS. The draft SEIS or a Notice of Availability will be distributed to all interested agencies, organizations, and individuals.

Estimated Date of Availability. The earliest that the draft SEIS is expected to be available is September 2012.

Dated: January 13, 2009.

Douglas J. Kamien,

Chief, Planning, Programs, and Project Management Division.

[FR Doc. E9–1627 Filed 1–23–09; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3720–58–P

DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION

Compliance Agreement

AGENCY: Department of Education. **ACTION:** Notice of written findings and compliance agreement with the Nevada Department of Education.

SUMMARY: This notice is being published in the **Federal Register** consistent with section 457(b)(2) of the General Education Provisions Act (GEPA). Section 457 of GEPA authorizes the U.S. Department of Education (the Department) to enter into a compliance agreement with a recipient that is failing to comply substantially with Federal program requirements. In order to enter

into a compliance agreement, the Department must determine, in written findings, that the recipient cannot comply with the applicable program requirements until a future date.

On December 4, 2008, the Department entered into a compliance agreement with the Nevada Department of Education (NDE). Section 457(b)(2) of GEPA requires the Department to publish written findings leading to a compliance agreement, with a copy of the compliance agreement, in the **Federal Register**.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Sharon Hall, U.S. Department of Education, Office of Elementary and Secondary Education, 400 Maryland Avenue, SW., room 3W214, Washington, DC 20202–6132. Telephone: (202) 260–0998.

If you use a telecommunications device for the deaf (TDD), you may call the Federal Relay Service (FRS) at 1–800–877–8339.

Individuals with disabilities may obtain this document in an accessible format (e.g., braille, large print, audiotape, or computer diskette) on request to the contact person listed under FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act of 1965 (Title I), as amended by the No Child Left Behind Act of 2001, requires each State receiving Title I funds to satisfy certain requirements.

Ûnder Title I, each State is required to adopt academic content and student academic achievement standards in at least mathematics, reading or language arts, and science. These standards must include the same knowledge and levels of achievement expected of all public school students in the State. Content standards must specify what all students are expected to know and be able to do; contain coherent and rigorous content; and encourage the teaching of advanced skills. Achievement standards must be aligned with the State's academic content standards and must describe at least three levels of proficiency to determine how well students in each grade are mastering the content standards. A State must provide descriptions of the competencies associated with each student's academic achievement level and must determine the assessment scores ("cut scores") that differentiate among the achievement levels.

Title I also requires each State to implement a student assessment system to evaluate whether students are mastering the subject material reflected in the State's academic content standards. By the 2005–2006 school year, States were required to administer mathematics and reading or language arts assessments yearly during grades 3–8 and once during grades 10–12. Further, beginning with the 2007–2008 school year, each State was required to administer a science assessment in at least one grade in each of the following grade spans: 3–5, 6–9, and 10–12.

In addition to a general assessment, Title I requires States to develop and administer at least one alternate assessment for students with disabilities who cannot participate in the general assessment, with or without accommodations. An alternate assessment may be based on grade-level academic achievement standards, alternate academic achievement standards, or modified academic achievement standards. Like the general assessment, any alternate assessment must satisfy the requirements for high technical quality, including validity, reliability, accessibility, objectivity, and consistency with nationally recognized professional and technical standards.

In June 2007, NDE submitted evidence of its standards and assessment system. The Assistant Secretary for Elementary and Secondary Education (Assistant Secretary) submitted that evidence to a panel of experts for peer review. Following that review, the Assistant Secretary concluded that NDE's standards and assessment system did not meet a number of the Title I requirements.

Section 454 of GEPA, 20 U.S.C. 1234c, sets out the remedies available to the Department when it determines that a recipient "is failing to comply substantially with any requirement of law" applicable to Federal program funds the Department administers. Specifically, the Department is authorized to—

(1) Withhold funds;

(2) Compel compliance through a cease and desist order;

(3) Enter into a compliance agreement with the recipient; or

(4) Take any other action authorized by law. 20 U.S.C. 1234c(a).

In a letter dated September 21, 2007, to Keith W. Rheault, Nevada's Superintendent of Public Instruction, the Assistant Secretary notified NDE that, in order to remain eligible to receive Title I funds, it would have to enter into a compliance agreement with the Department. The purpose of a compliance agreement is "to bring the recipient into full compliance with the applicable requirements of law as soon as feasible and not to excuse or remedy past violations of such requirements."

20 U.S.C. 1234f (a). In order to enter into a compliance agreement with a recipient, the Department must determine, in written findings, that the recipient cannot comply until a future date with the applicable program requirements.

In accordance with the requirements of section 457(b) of GEPA, 20 U.S.C 1234f (b), on June 23, 2008, Department officials conducted a public hearing in Nevada to assess whether a compliance agreement with NDE might be appropriate. Keith W. Rheault, Nevada's Superintendent of Public Instruction, testified at this hearing on behalf of NDE. The Department considered the testimony provided at the June 2008 public hearing and all other relevant information and materials and concluded that NDE would not be able to correct its non-compliance with Title I standards and assessment requirements immediately.

On January 12, 2009, the Assistant Secretary issued written findings holding that compliance by NDE with the Title I standards and assessment requirements is genuinely not feasible until a future date. Under Title I, NDE was required to implement its final assessment system no later than the 2005-2006 school year. The evidence that NDE submitted in June 2007 indicated that, well after the statutory deadline had passed, its standards and assessment system still did not fully meet Title I requirements. In addition, the compliance agreement sets out the action plan that NDE must implement to come into compliance with Title I requirements. Due to the enormity and complexity of the work that is needed to bring NDE's standards and assessment system into full compliance, NDE cannot immediately comply with all of the Title I requirements.

Nevada's Superintendent of Public Instruction, Keith W. Rheault, signed the compliance agreement on December 1, 2008, and the Assistant Secretary signed the compliance agreement on December 4, 2008.

As required by section 457(b)(2) of GEPA, 20 U.S.C. 1234f (b)(2), the text of the Assistant Secretary's written findings is set forth as Appendix A and the compliance agreement is set forth as Appendix B of this notice.

Electronic Access to This Document

You may view this document, as well as all other Department of Education documents published in the **Federal Register**, in text or Adobe Portable Document Format (PDF) on the Internet at the following site: http://www.ed.gov/news/fedregister.

To use PDF, you must have the Adobe Acrobat Reader, which is available free at this site. If you have questions about using PDF, call the U.S. Government Printing Office (GPO), toll free, at 1–888–293–6498; or in the Washington, DC area at (202) 512–1530.

Note: The official version of this document is the document published in the Federal Register. Free Internet access to the official edition of the Federal Register and the Code of Federal Regulations is available on GPO Access at: http://www.gpoaccess.gov/nara/index.html.

Authority: 20 U.S.C. 1234c, 1234f.

Dated: January 16, 2009.

Kerri L. Briggs,

Assistant Secretary for Elementary and Secondary Education.

Appendix A

Written Findings of the Assistant Secretary for Elementary and Secondary Education Regarding the Compliance Agreement Between the United States Department of Education and the Nevada Department of Education

I. Introduction

The Assistant Secretary for Elementary and Secondary Education (Assistant Secretary) of the U.S. Department of Education (Department) has determined, pursuant to 20 U.S.C. 1234c and 1234f, that the Nevada Department of Education (NVDOE) has failed to comply substantially with certain requirements of Title I, Part A of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act of 1965 (Title I), as amended by the No Child Left Behind Act of 2001, 20 U.S.C. 6301 et seq., and that it is not feasible for NVDOE to achieve full compliance immediately. Specifically, the Assistant Secretary has determined that NVDOE did not meet, within the statutory timeframe, a number of the Title I requirements concerning the alignment of Nevada's High School Proficiency Examination (HSPE) to grade-level content standards as well as requirements concerning the academic achievement standards and alignment of the Nevada Alternate Scales of Academic Achievement (NASAA), Nevada's alternate assessment based on alternate academic achievement standards for students with the most significant cognitive disabilities.

For the following reasons, the Assistant Secretary has concluded that it would be appropriate to enter into a compliance agreement with NVDOE to bring it into full compliance as soon as feasible. During the effective period of the compliance agreement, which ends December 4, 2011, NVDOE will be eligible to receive Title I funds as long as it complies with the terms and conditions of the agreement as well as the provisions of Title I and other applicable Federal statutory and regulatory requirements.

II. Relevant Statutory and Regulatory Provisions

A. Title I, Part A of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act of 1965, as Amended by the No Child Left Behind Act of 2001

Title I provides financial assistance, through State educational agencies, to local educational agencies to provide services in high-poverty schools to students who are failing or at risk of failing to meet the State's student academic achievement standards. Under Title I, each State, including the District of Columbia and Puerto Rico, was required to adopt academic content and student academic achievement standards in at least mathematics, reading or language arts, and science. These standards must include the same knowledge and levels of achievement expected of all public school students in the State. Content standards must specify what all students are expected to know and be able to do; contain coherent and rigorous content; and encourage the teaching of advanced skills. Achievement standards must be aligned with the State's academic content standards and must describe at least three levels of proficiency to determine how well students in each grade are mastering the content standards. A State must provide descriptions of the competencies associated with each student's academic achievement level and must determine the assessment scores ("cut scores") that differentiate among the achievement levels.

Each State was also required to implement a student assessment system used to evaluate whether students are mastering the subject material reflected in the State's academic content standards. By the 2005–2006 school year, States were required to administer mathematics and reading or language arts assessments yearly during grades 3–8 and once during grades 10–12. Further, beginning with the 2007–2008 school year, each State was required to administer a science assessment in at least one grade in each of the following grade spans: 3–5, 6–9, and 10–12. A State's assessment system must:

- Be the same assessment system used to measure the achievement of all public school students in the State;
- Be designed to provide coherent information about student attainment of State academic content standards across grades and subjects:
- Provide for the inclusion of all students in the grades assessed, including students with disabilities and limited English proficient (LEP) students;
- Be aligned with the State's academic content and student academic achievement standards;
- Express student results in terms of the State's student academic achievement standards;
- Be valid, reliable, and of adequate technical quality for the purposes for which they are used and be consistent with nationally recognized professional and technical standards;
- Involve multiple measures of student academic achievement, including measures that assess higher order thinking skills and understanding of challenging content;

- Objectively measure academic achievement, knowledge, and skills without evaluating or assessing personal family beliefs and attitudes;
- Enable results to be disaggregated by gender, each major racial and ethnic group, migrant status, students with disabilities, English proficiency status, and economically disadvantaged students;
 - · Provide individual student reports; and
 - Enable itemized score analyses. 20 U.S.C. 6311(b)(3); 34 CFR 200.2.

In addition to a general assessment, States were required to develop and administer at least one alternate assessment for students with disabilities who cannot participate in the general assessment, with or without accommodations. 34 CFR 200.6(a)(2). An alternate assessment may be based on gradelevel academic achievement standards. alternate academic achievement standards, or modified academic achievement standards. Like the general assessment, any alternate assessment must satisfy the requirements for high technical quality, including validity, reliability, accessibility, objectivity, and consistency with nationally recognized professional and technical standards.

B. The General Education Provisions Act

The General Education Provisions Act (GEPA) provides a number of options when the Assistant Secretary determines a recipient of Department funds is "failing to comply substantially with any requirement of law applicable to such funds." 20 U.S.C. 1234c. In such a case, the Assistant Secretary is authorized to:

- (1) Withhold funds;
- (2) Compel compliance through a cease and desist order;
- (3) Enter into a compliance agreement with the recipient; or
- (4) Take any other action authorized by law.

20 U.S.C. 1234c(a).

Under section 457 of GEPA, the Assistant Secretary may enter into a compliance agreement with a recipient that is failing to comply substantially with specific program requirements. 20 U.S.C. 1234f. The purpose of a compliance agreement is "to bring the recipient into full compliance with the applicable requirements of law as soon as feasible and not to excuse or remedy past violations of such requirements." 20 U.S.C. 1234f(a). Before entering into a compliance agreement with a recipient, the Assistant Secretary must hold a hearing at which the recipient, affected students and parents or their representatives, and other interested parties are invited to participate. At that hearing, the recipient has the burden of persuading the Assistant Secretary that full compliance with applicable requirements of law is not feasible until a future date. 20 U.S.C. 1234f(b)(1). If, on the basis of all the evidence presented, the Assistant Secretary determines that full compliance is genuinely not feasible until a future date, the Assistant Secretary must make written findings to that effect and must publish those findings, together with the substance of any compliance agreement, in the Federal Register. 20 U.S.C. 1234f(b)(2).

A compliance agreement must set forth an expiration date, not later than three years

from the date of the written findings, by which time the recipient must be in full compliance with all program requirements. 20 U.S.C. 1234f(c)(1). In addition, a compliance agreement must contain the terms and conditions with which the recipient must comply during the period that agreement is in effect. 20 U.S.C. 1234f(c)(2). If the recipient fails to comply with any of the terms and conditions of the compliance agreement, the Assistant Secretary may consider the agreement to be no longer in effect, and may take any of the compliance actions set forth above. 20 U.S.C. 1234f(d).

III. Analysis

In deciding whether a compliance agreement between the Assistant Secretary and NVDOE is appropriate, the Assistant Secretary must determine whether compliance by NVDOE with the Title I standards and assessment requirements is genuinely not feasible until a future date. 20 U.S.C. 1234f(b)(2).

A. NVDOE Has Failed To Comply Substantially With Title I Standards and Assessment Requirements

In June 2007, NVDOE submitted evidence of its standards and assessment system. The Assistant Secretary submitted that evidence to a panel of experts for peer review. Following that review, the Assistant Secretary concluded that NVDOE's standards and assessment system did not meet a number of the Title I requirements. Specifically, the Assistant Secretary determined that, to demonstrate its compliance, NVDOE had to submit the following evidence regarding its alternate assessment based on alternate academic achievement standards:

Academic Achievement Standards

- 1. A clear and complete description of the process and decisions made in the development of the Nevada Alternate Scales of Academic Achievement (NASAA) standards for reading and mathematics, including the qualifications of participants in the standards-setting activity.
- 2. Documentation confirming Board approval of the revised cut scores that were applied to the 2007 results of the NASAA. Technical Quality
- 1. Data that supports the current policy that accommodations yield valid scores and modifications do not.

Alignment

- 1. A detailed explanation of the actions that will be taken to ensure improved alignment between assessments and revised content standards as the basis for test validity.
- 2. Evidence of alignment of the High School Proficiency Examination (HSPE) with Nevada's academic content standards.
- 3. A plan for using alignment study results to guide future development activities to improve alignment of the tests to standards.
- 4. Documentation of alignment between the NASAA tasks administered by teachers and grade-level content standards.

B. NVDOE Cannot Correct Immediately Its Noncompliance With the Title I Standards and Assessment Requirements

Under Title I, NVDOE was required to implement its final assessment system no later than the 2005–2006 school year. 20 U.S.C. 6311(b)(3). The evidence that NVDOE submitted in June 2007 indicated that, well after the statutory deadline had passed, its standards and assessment system still did not fully meet Title I requirements. In addition, substantial work is required to bring NVDOE into compliance with the Title I requirements.

At the public hearing, which was held on June 23, 2008, NVDOE presented evidence that compliance is not feasible until a future date, particularly in light of the work necessary to come into full compliance with the Title I standards and assessment requirements. In particular, Dr. Keith W. Rheault, Nevada's Superintendent of Public Instruction, testified that NVDOE is committed to resolving all outstanding issues related to the State's high school proficiency assessment (HSPE) and its alternate assessment based on alternate academic achievement standards (NASAA) within three years, but that it would not be possible for Nevada to come into compliance with all applicable requirements sooner than the 2010-11 school year. Dr. Rheault stated that, during the period the compliance agreement is in effect, NVDOE plans to align the HSPE to the State's new reading and mathematics content standards and to field test both the reading and mathematics assessments. Dr. Rheault also testified that NVDOE remains committed to assessing student performance on the NASAA through a portfolio of student work, but that NVDOE needs time to ensure that districts and teachers receive all training necessary to implement the changes being made to the NASAA. Dr. Rheault's testimony is consistent with the comprehensive action plan that NVDOE developed and that is incorporated into the compliance agreement. That action plan sets out a very specific schedule that NVDOE has agreed to meet during the next three years for completing all of the work necessary to attain compliance with the Title I standards and assessment requirements.

Due to the enormity and complexity of the work that is needed to bring NVDOE's standards and assessment system into full compliance, NVDOE cannot immediately comply with all of the Title I requirements. As a result, the Assistant Secretary finds that it is not genuinely feasible for NVDOE to come into compliance until a future date.

IV. Conclusion

For the foregoing reasons, the Assistant Secretary finds that full compliance by NVDOE with the standards and assessment requirements of Title I is genuinely not feasible until a future date. Therefore, the Assistant Secretary has determined that it is appropriate to enter into a compliance agreement with NVDOE.

Dated: Jan. 12, 2009.

/s/

Kerri L. Briggs, Ph.D.,

Assistant Secretary for Elementary and Secondary Education.

Appendix B

Compliance Agreement Under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act Between the United States Department of Education and the Nevada Department of Education

Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act of 1965 (Title I), as amended by the No Child Left Behind Act of 2001, requires each State receiving Title I funds to satisfy certain requirements.

Each State was required to adopt academic content and achievement standards in at least mathematics, reading/language arts, and, beginning in the 2005-2006 school year, science. These standards must include the same knowledge and levels of achievement expected of all public school students in the State. Content standards must specify what all students are expected to know and be able to do; contain coherent and rigorous content; and encourage the teaching of advanced skills. Achievement standards must be aligned with the State's content standards and must describe at least three levels of proficiency to determine how well students in each grade are mastering the content standards. A State must provide descriptions of the competencies associated with each achievement level and must determine the assessment scores ("cut scores") that differentiate among the achievement levels.

Each State was also required to implement a student assessment system used to evaluate whether students are mastering the subject material reflected in the State's academic standards. By the 2005–2006 school year, States were required to administer mathematics and reading/language arts assessments yearly during grades 3–8 and once during grades 10–12. Further, beginning with the 2007–2008 school year, each State was required to administer a science assessment in at least one grade in each of the following grade spans: 3–5, 6–9, and 10–12. A State's assessment system must:

- Be the same assessment system used to measure the achievement of all public school students in the State;
- Be designed to provide coherent information about student attainment of State standards across grades and subjects;
- Provide for the inclusion of all students in the grades assessed, including students with disabilities and limited-Englishproficient students;
- Be aligned with the State's content and achievement standards;
- Express student results in terms of the State's student achievement standards;
- Be valid, reliable, and of adequate technical quality for the purpose for which they are used and be consistent with nationally recognized professional and technical standards;
- Involve multiple measures of student academic achievement, including measures that assess higher order thinking skills and understanding of challenging content;
- Objectively measure academic achievement, knowledge, and skills without evaluating or assessing personal family beliefs and attitudes;
- Enable results to be disaggregated by gender, each major racial and ethnic group,

migrant status, students with disabilities, LEP students, and economically disadvantaged students;

- Provide individual student reports; and
- Enable itemized score analyses.

In addition to a general assessment, States were required to develop at least one alternate assessment for students with disabilities who cannot participate in the general assessment, with or without accommodations. An alternate assessment may be based on grade-level achievement standards, alternate achievement standards, or modified achievement standards. Like the general assessment, any alternate assessment must satisfy the requirements for high technical quality, including validity, reliability, accessibility, objectivity, and consistency with nationally recognized professional and technical standards.

The Nevada Department of Education (NVDOE) failed to timely meet certain of the statutory and regulatory requirements for its standards and assessment system. In order to be eligible to continue to receive Title I funds while working to comply with the requirements, Keith Rheault, Superintendent of Education, indicated NVDOE's interest in entering into a compliance agreement with the United States Department of Education (Department). On June 23, 2008, the Department conducted a public hearing regarding: (1) whether NVDOE's full compliance with Title I is not feasible until a future date; and (2) whether NVDOE is able to come into compliance with the Title I standards and assessment requirements within three years.

Pursuant to this Compliance Agreement under 20 U.S.C. Section 1234f, NVDOE must be in full compliance with the outstanding requirements of Title I no later than three years from the date of the Assistant Secretary's written findings, a copy of which is attached to, and incorporated by reference into, this Agreement. To achieve compliance with the standards and assessment

requirements, NVDOE must submit the following evidence:

2.0—Academic Achievement Standards

- 1. A clear and complete description of the process and decisions made in the development of the Nevada Alternate Scales of Academic Achievement (NASAA) standards for reading and mathematics, including the qualifications of participants in the standards-setting activity.
- 2. Documentation confirming Board approval of the revised cut scores that were applied to the 2007 results of the NASAA.

4.0—Technical Quality

1. Data that supports the current policy that accommodations yield valid scores and modifications do not.

5.0—Alignment

- 2. A detailed explanation of the actions that will be taken to ensure improved alignment between assessments and revised content standards as the basis for test validity.
- 3. Evidence of alignment of the High School Proficiency Examination (HSPE) with Nevada's academic content standards.
- 4. A plan for using alignment study results to guide future development activities to improve alignment of the tests to standards.
- 5. Documentation of alignment between the NASAA tasks administered by teachers and grade-level content standards. During the period that this Compliance Agreement is in effect, NVDOE is eligible to receive Title I, Part A funds if it complies with the terms and conditions of this Agreement, as well as the provisions of Title I, Part A and other applicable Federal statutory and regulatory requirements that are not specifically addressed by this Agreement. The attached action steps constitute a detailed plan and specific timeline for how NVDOE will come into compliance with the Title I standards and

assessment requirements. The action steps are incorporated by reference into this Compliance Agreement as though fully set forth herein and may be amended by joint agreement of the parties, provided full compliance is still feasible by the expiration of the Agreement.

In addition to all of the terms and conditions set forth above, NVDOE agrees that its continued eligibility to receive Title I, Part A funds is predicated upon its compliance with all statutory and regulatory requirements of that program, including those that are not specifically addressed by this Agreement, including any amendments to the No Child Left Behind Act of 2001.

If NVDOE fails to comply with any of the terms and conditions of this Compliance Agreement, including the action steps attached hereto, the Department may consider the Agreement no longer in effect and may take any action authorized by law, including the withholding of funds or the issuance of a cease and desist order. 20 U.S.C. 1234f(d).

It is so agreed.

For the Nevada Department of Education:

Keith Rheault,

Superintendent of Education.

Date: Dec. 1, 2008.

For the United States Department of Education:

/s/

Kerri L. Briggs, Ph.D.,

Assistant Secretary, Office of Elementary and Secondary Education.

Date: Dec. 4, 2008.

Date this Compliance Agreement becomes effective: Dec. 4, 2008.

Expiration Date of this Agreement: Dec. 4, 2011.

BILLING CODE 4000-01-P

Action Plan for Federal Compliance Agreement Nevada Department of Education 2008-2011

	Document(s) to USED	List of Parcipants & Agendas	Copy of Board Minutes & Minutes from Academic Standards Council	List of Participants & Agendas	Draft Actademic Activesment Standards (not including cut scores)	Copy of Board Minutes & Minutes & Minutes Crom From Academic Standards Council
	ED cs to the ED	No '-' 08	0 - 80 80	% > % 0 %	S - 80	S - 80
7) Assessment Reports	\$ \(\)					
6) Inclusion	₩9 €9 ₹9					
5) Alignment	9 S 5 S 7 S 8 S 7 S					
mic Statewide 4) Technical Quality Assessment	8 + 5 + 7 + 7 +					
3) Statewide Assessment	7.5 E					
2) Academic Achievement Standards	9 Z 5 Z 7 Z				× × ×	
1) Content Standards	#*:T	× ×	×	× ×		×
TAC /Sta keho	Ider Inpu t and/ or Parti cipat				TAC 8 Stake holde rs	
	Resources	Gather list of participants, agendas, support documents, & other materials	Get copy of Board Minutes from Front Office	Gather list of participants, agendas, support documents, & other materials	Mostly completed, but needs to be edted for changes related to DOK	Get copy of Board Mnutes from Front Office
	Staff	Dave	Cindy	Tracy	Cindy Dave , & Tracy	Cindy
	E G d T	ງa ກ- 06	Ma 7. 06	A U 07	8 3 8	No 07 - 00
	St art Da te	Ma r- 05	Ma 7- 06	M 7 700	Jan 07	No v- 07
*	Activity	Math Standar ds Revised R Approve d By Academi c Standar Gos	Math Standar ds Approve d by Nevada State Board Of Educatio	English Languag e Artis e Artis e Artis e Standar d Standar Revised Revised Revised Approve d By Academir c Standar d S Council	Create Draft Of Academi C Achieve ment Standar ds For Math & ELA	English Languag Languag Languag Languag Languag Languag Approve Approve ABY Nevada State Board Of Educatio
	Gen	×	×	×	×	×

		HSPE Test Bluepnits/S pecs	Description of Process for Standards Revision	Copy of Document Describing Process for Development tof AAS	Document Describing Parameters R Gudding Assumptions Concerning the Alternate Assessment
		N 80	% - 80	∑ ; ®	N > 0 2 > 0 2 > 0 3 > 0
			1		
×	×				
		×			
		×			
×	×				
		×			
					×
			4	×	
		<u> </u>		^	
				×	
			×	×	
			×		
8 - 8 - E	8 _ 9	U		()	n 29 tage 2 se.
Neva da Scho ol distri cts	Neva da da Scho ol ostri cts & TAC	TAC		TAC	Original and a stake holde removed from found abton of exists ng asses smen
Study is being conducted by CRESST staff in cooperation with Nevada School Districts	of states of states of states of states of states participating on a EAG and is working with Measured Progress. Wester, & EAG staff to produce an aligned 7th form to be a sample of a sample of schools in the States of the States of the States of States of the States o	In collaboration with WestEd, with WestEd, NDE staff will review revised standards & test design to ensure sufficient coverage of coverage of standards (TA Review)	Complete outline & get feedback from content specialiss (TA Review)	Gather list of participants, agendas, support documents, & other materials Also complete outline & get feedback from contents (specialist (TA specialist (TA	Onsite TA
Carol Carol	Cindy R Carol	Tracy & Dave	Cindy	Cndy Dave , & Tracy	Lisa, Frank e, Carol Carol C, &
08 t Q	of 60 €0	00 ÷ 80	00 	\$ + \$	A - 90 88
Jan 08	7. 08 08	QA 7.080	Ар 7- 08	4 - 50	Au 9- 08
CRESST Testing Accomm odation Study	EAG Testing Accomm odation Study	Develop Test Biuepnn 1/Specrif catons For HSPE In Reading	Create Docume Not Describi Ng Content Standar Standar Revision Process	Create Docume Int Describi Describi Og How Achteve Ment Standar Achteve Ment Standar Ment Standar Develop Go, Indudin Go, Indu	At Meting with TA Provider S. Re- S.
	- AMELINA CONTRACTOR			- 1 H - W - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1	×
×	×	×	×	×	

	List of Partopants (This process will process will be summarzed in the Newada Report 2009-2010, which will be completed by the prelimmary submission in December 2000-1011.		Lists of Partopants & Copes of Training Materials/Re sources	(This process will be be unmanized in the weada Technical Report 2009-2010, which will be completed by the perfermany submission in December 2010.
	S ' 80		N > 08 - ' 08	
			×	
				×
				×
			×	×
				×
A				
	×	×		
			×	
Marie and the second se				

TAC	Stake holde as & Const then the		Neva da	
	Select Participants & Gather Cather Passages W Tranning Wested Materials Meterials	Work with WestEd	WestEd & content content specialists specialists specialists and development materials for uuse instalewide meetings	Select Participants & Gather Traning Materials & Materials & Rems to be Reviewed (WestEd)
	Cindy	Cindy R Tracy	Tracy & Dave	Cindy Dave , & Tracy
	∑ × 0 8	30 n- 08	D 0 0 8 8 0 0 8 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	, 80 80
	排 特 转 转	Ju	Ju. 80 80) Jul. 80
s; and Determi ne Need for Outside Contract or	Blas Review Of Reading Passage S	Results Results Of Blas Review to Identify Gaps & Determin	Draft Math & Math & Math & Items Thens That Represe Revised Content Standar ds	Content Of Seview Of Items Aligned To Revised Standar ds (Math & Reading
	×	×	×	×

Notes from August TAC Nething Containing Plans for Items Listed Under Activity Column						
p , 80						
×						
×						
×						
×						
×						
×						
TAC.						
A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A						
Will utilize TAC, WestEd, and Measured Progress expetitise during and after meeting to ensure that all steps have been considered for considered for the steps and after the steps where the steps are the steps and after the steps are the steps and after the steps are considered for the steps are counted for the steps are counted for the steps are steps and steps are steps are steps and steps are steps and steps are steps and steps are steps and steps are steps are steps and steps are steps are steps and steps are steps are steps are steps and steps are steps ar						
All Sine Else						
₹ 68						
۲ ن۵ ۲ ن۵						
At the Addition of Addition of Addition of Proceeds of Proceedings of Proce						
×						
×						

(This process will be summarized in the Nevada Technical Report 2009-2010, which will be completed by the prefirmmary submission in December 2010).		Description of Process for Development of Test Blueprint & Specification is for HSPE	Description of Process of Process of Process of Personen to frest Bleepint & Specification Specifica	Draft of Extended Standards (and/or Essence Statements) Alternate Achievement Standards, and Performance Level
		N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N	N > 08	00s
		×	×	
×				
×		×	×	
×				
		×	×	
		×	×	
				×
		TAC	TAC	TAC & Stake holde rs
	8	ring the the cot	w y x rik	
	TA Assistance	Outline existing plan and work with TAC & Wested to be process is not missing any elements (TA Input & Review)	Outline existing plan and work with TAC & WestEd to wearer that the process is not missing any critical all plants (TA Input & Review)	TA Assistance
	TA A	Outlin plan wit wit wit ensu proc mis elen elen	Outlis plan wit we ensur proc mis elen Input	TA A
Cndy Dave , & Tracy	Lisa, Frank, Ie, Carol , & Cindy	Cindy Dave , & Tracy	Lisa, Cindy Dave , & Tracy	Lisa, Tracy Dave Richa rd, Cindy Frank ie, &
Au 99.	S 700	S 7 7 80	9. 9. 9. 9. 9. 9. 9. 9. 9. 9. 9. 9. 9. 9	08 4.
Au 08	Au 99-	Au 99-	Au 9- 08	Au 99- 08
Reconciliation Of Field Test Test Test Test Test Titems Titems Timbedd ed in March 2009 Operation nal	Determine No. Work and Work and Develop RF for Outside Contract or (if Determined to be No.	Describe Plan for Develop ment of Test Test Blueprin t/Specifi Cations for HSPE, Includin to to to to Ensure Ensure Alignme at any ment of the plan of the plan of the plan to	Describe Plan for Develop ment of Test Blueprin (VSpecifications for Alt Assessment, Includin to Ensure Ensure Algmme nt	Create Draft Of Extende d Standar ds (And/Or Essence Essence Stateme nts) Alternat
	×		×	×
×		×		

Descriptors Coence, 8 ELA ELA	Draft of Alignment Study Plan of assessment activities to Academic Content Standards	Document of Audung & Monitoring Activities	Copes of Algoriment Study Space, RFPs, and/or Other Deliverables See Negotiated with USED
	08 · 04	N > 00 - 80	\$5 O
		×	
		× ×	
		× ×	
			×
			×
AMPLIANCE AND AM			×
			×
			×
			×
		×	
,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,			
	TAC	AYP Subc ommi ttee & R Test Direc tors	TAC
	ndent or (TA nce)	h AYP, nnty, & ability to to ibe	e TAC, , and rred ses ses ses ses ses ses ses ses ses s
	Independent Evaluator (TA Assistance)	Work with AYP, Test Securty, & Staff to Describe Process	Will utilize TAC, WestEd, and Measured Progress expetitise during and after meeting to resure that all steps have been considered a accounted for
	LISa	Carol	All Asses smen Start R & R &
	278	00 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 1	Q ; 8
	A 000 80	Au 09.	A 0.00
Achieve mart the standard of s			With Advice Nof TAC,
∢ ΕΝ Φά πα ΣΩ Ο ΣῶΝ ∢ Ο ἔδἇ Σ	×	ದರ್ಭರ್ಥ ಕೃತ್ಯ ಪ್ರಕೃತ್ಯ	× × × × × × × × × × × × × × × × × × ×
		×	×
			^

	Alt Technical Manual	Alt Assessment Test Blueprints/S pecs	Copies of Materials Waterials Waterials Modules	
		NO V- 08	A A D 95 - 109 - 1	
			×	
			×	
			×	
		×		

× ×		×		
		^		
			×	
×	× ×		×	
	×			
	×			
	× ×	×		
	×			
	4			
	TAC	YAC	Regno nai Profe Ssion Ssion Sal Drevel Optime n f for distri butto n & transi mg to n & transi mg to n & da educ ators	
o than S A	**	tton Seed TA		
After selection by WestEd, tems are evaluated & approved by NDE	Measured Progress	In collaboration with WestEd, Will Des staff will review revised standards & teat design to ensure sufficient coverage of standards ITA Review)	Videos, Powerboint, & Other Traning Materials	
Afte by th evv api	Σ.σ.	In cc with NDN revi sta startest	Pow.	
Tracy & Dave	Lisa	Lisa, Tracy Dave , & Richa rd	Ait Asses smen t Staff	
رد د 08	Au 99- 10	٥ د د 08	A 40 11	
Au 9 ° 08	9 P P P P P P P P P P P P P P P P P P P	S.e 9.7	A V. 08	
New Testd Test Items (Aligned To Standar ds") Are ds") Are ds") Are ds") Are ds") Are ds") Are ds") Are do in Existing of peratio	Develop Technic al Manual For Atternat a Assessm ent	Develop Test Blueprin ViSpecifi cations For Alt Assessm ent In Reading , Math, & Science	Provide Raterial Stone Stone Professi Professi Professi Program Standar Program Program Standar Program Program Standar Program Progra	
	×	×		
×			×	

process will be summarized in the in the New Jee Prechnical Report 2009-2010, which will be completed by the by the by the by the submission in December 2010, in December 201		Ust of Participants & Franciscond Bernard of Alternate Stondards, etc.	Accommodat con Technical Report
		a 300	00¢
		×	
		×	
			×
×	×		
West Ed, Stake holde 17 & Const ituen Is		Small group pod Gen Special sp	Stake holde rs & TAC
Select Partopants & Gather Passages, Items & Trammin Paternals	Work with WestEd	Determine a meeting place and logicals for travel (TA Assistance)	Gather data from national and Nevada studies and decrision-making process for selection & selection & selection of accommodation accommodation
Cindy	Cindy Dave Tracy	Lusa, Tracy Dave , & Richa rd	Asses Asses smen t Staff with SpEd Repr esent ation
N 78	N, 4 8	9 ° 9 8	3 ° 60
8, ¢ 8,	8, 78 8	De 0.8	00 P
Bras Review Of Items For For Reading & Math	Review Results Results of Blass Review to Identify Gaps & Determi	Gonvene Gonvene Goroup Focus Group Valdatoo Valdatoo Valdatoo Standar Gons Standar Gons Standar Gons Gons Gons Gons Gons Gons Gons Gons	Conveine Meeting S Off Stakehol ders To ders To Of Accomm Studies Review Review Review Review Review Revison Studies Revison S To Accomm ddation S To S To S To S To
		×	
×	×		×

List of Participants			Alignment Study Report		Pilot Test Design
Ap 1- 00			4 7 00		4 7 00
			×		
×					
	×	W. 1		×	×
×	×	,		×	×
		×			
	×			×	×
×					
		×			
		×			
					· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Gen Ed & SpEd speci alists	TAC	TAC	TAC	West Ed & Meas ured Progr ess	
	Æ	4.4.	Ą		
Determine meeting to cation and arrange for logistics & travel of all participants	Measured Progress & TA Review	Independent Evaluator (TA Assistance)	Neasured Progress & TA Review	Completed by Vendors	Measured Progress
Det m locar logi trav part	Progr R	Inde Evalu Assi	Me Progr R	Com	P. P.
Lisa, Tracy Dave , & Richa rd	Lisa	esıŋ	Lisa	All Asses smen t t Staff	Lisa
S - S	5.4 09	Ja n- 099	9 . 00 90	E 0	Ma 7.7 09
S > 80	8 - 80 2 - 80	Jan - 09	9 t 00	m r co	M 09
Convene Develop ment Commit ee To Create (R. Peer Revew) Activitie S s S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S	Design Small- Scale Focused Pilot Testing Activitie S	Conduct Indepen Indepen Alignme Int Study Of Of Assessm ent Activitie S To C C C C S To S To S To S To S To C	Analyze Data Data From Indepen Algame nt Study & Make Adjustm ents To Content Content Assessm ent As Indicate d	New Field Test Items Are Imbedd ed In Existing Operatio	Develop Training Material S (I.E. Administ ration & Scoring Manuals J For Small
×	×	×	×		×
				×	

	Training Materials		Scoring				TAM, TCM, NPEP Procedural Manual
	Ap 7. 20	1	4 - 60	The state of the s			9 . gt
							× ×
							×
							×
	×	×		×	×	×	
	×	×		×	×	×	
-			×				×
	×	×		×	×	×	×
				,			
				to the same of the			
	Scho of Distri ct Perso	Scho of Distri ct Perso		West Ed & Meas ured Progr	Scho ol Distri ct Perso nnel		Stake holde r & Vend or Input
	pa. ss	ed ss	ss ss	bd by		ss	g all tron ii & orform res
	Measured Progress	Measured Progress	Measured Progress	Completed by Vendors		Measured Progress	Gathering all information (national & state) to inform procedures
		_					
	Lisa	TISB	Lisa	Aul Asses smen t t Staff	Lisa	Lisa	All Asses Smen t, Sped , & LEP Staff
	d, 1.60	£ , 60	5 5 60	82 90	Jr -60	Ju 60	36.6
	4 7 80	Ap 1 2 0	4k 4k 19 14	* * * *	J. 60	J 60	5 ÷ 60
Priot Testing	Provide Training to Test Administ rators For Small Forused Pilot Testing	Conduct Small- Scale Focused Pilot Of Testing Activities	Develop Training Manuals For Scoring Of Small- Scale Focused Piot Testing	Items Are Evaluate d For Statistic al Bias To Determi ne Eligibilit V For Status S Gore Item	Conduct Scoring Of Small- Scale Focused Pilot Testing	Analyze Data From Smail- Scale Focused Pilot Testing & Make Adjustm ents Needed	Develop Procedu ral & Administ ration Manuals (This
	×	×	×		×	×	
				×			×

	Procedural, Scoring, and Administration on Manuals	(This process will be surmanzed in the Nevada Technical Report 2009-2010, which will be completed by the preliminary submission in December 2010)	Training Materials
	90 - 80		Oct Oct
	*		×
	×		×
	×		×
44.4.4.4.4.4.4.4.4.4.4.4.4.4.4.4.4.4.4.4			
		×	
		×	
		×	
A		×	
	×		×
	×		×
A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A			
			1) * 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1

	×		
			Scho ol Test Coor dinat ors & Distri ct Test Direct
	pe s.	ction Ed, re d & by	of of ors
	Measured Progress	After selection by WestEd, terms are evaluated & approved by NDE	Determine iocatons of regional trainings & notify district test directors
	C 12	Aft Dig.	T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T
	All Asses Smen Smet Staff Esa	Tracy & Dave	Asses smen t, Sped , & LEP Staff
	8 7 80	90 90 90	N 98
	in () o o	A C 009
All Test Administration atom Activities g findludin g Resung Recomm Actomm Acto	Develop Procedu Robert	Selection of Operation of Opera	Annual Test Administ ration 8 Test Security Training (This Includes All Test Administ ration Action Action
	×		
		×	×

	Alternate TransnaySco monogram monogram monogram Manual(s), NPEP Procedural Manual, Transnag Maternas, Test Test on Manuals			Copies of All Reports	Section from Accountability y Workbook Describing Plan for Inclusion in AYP
	009			Ma 10	Ма . У. У. О1
		†		×	
				×	
				×	
			<u> </u>	×	
	×	×		× ×	
				×	
	×	×		×	
	×	×		×	
				×	
			_		
			×		
			×		
	×	×	ļ		
	×	×	×		
			<u> </u>		
					×
	×	×			
	Scho ol Distri ct ct Perso nnel	Scho of Distri ct Perso nnet	Scho ol Distri ct Perso		AYP Subc ommi ttee & & Test Direc tors
	Measured Progress	Meeting Facilities & Training Materials		Sured SSS & T view	bmit Iment I ntabilit oook (I ssary)
	Mea Pro	Me Facil Tra Mat		Measured Progress & TA Review	Submit Amendment to Accountability Workbook (If Necessary)
	Lisa	Lisa	Lisa	Asses Asses Smen Smen Skaff & Lisa	Carol & & Ayp Staff
	% 2.4.8	9.78	3a 10 10	13 A	¥ 5 0
	00 °C	00 60	0c J	09 t-	8 '
e					
1 Includin 1 Esting 8 Accomm Accomm Od Special Populati Ons And Oriteria For Alt Vs Secretal Assessm	Develop Training Material S For Test Administ rators For Fully Operatio	Conduct Training Of Test Administ rators For Fully Operatio	Administ er Fully Operatio nat Pilot	Design Of Student & chool School District Summar Y N Reports (Includi ng Perform ance Level Descript Ors)	Document of the process for Including 9 Assessing the process in the Determination of Adequate e Yearly
	×	×	×	×	×
				×	×

	Training Materials			Standard Setting Report		Copies of Minutes	Standard Setting Report	HSPE Alignment Study	Inter-rater Reliability Report
	10 Au			9. 10		5 - 9 t	0 2 0 0 10	N 0	9-6-1 10

								×	
	×		×						×
-									
								×	
								×	
				×	×	×	×		
	Scho ol Distri ct rt Perso	Neva da High Scho ols	Scho ol Distri ct Perso		0.000	State Boar d	TAC	TAC	
	Meeting Facilities & Training Materials	Measured Progress	Meeting Facilities & Scoring Materials	Measured Progress	Measured		Written by Measured Progress & Reviewed by NDE & TAC	Independent Evaluator (TA Assistance)	Measured Progress
	Lisa	All Asses smen t Staff	Lisa	Lisa	Cindy Dave ', & Tracy	Carol & Frank ie	Ail Asses smen t t Staff	Cindy Dave , 8 Tracy	Lisa
	8.53	M - 701	\$ 5	Ma Y- 10	Ма 7- 10	3 63	Se 10	5 F 61	의 - 64
	5 ' OI	Ma 7.01	Ma 7. 10	Ma y- 10	ма у- 10	Ma 7, 01	Ma y- 10	년 - 61	3 - 3
Process	Train Scorers Of Fully Operatio nal Pilot	Administ ration of Fully Aligned Operatio nal Test	Scoring Session For Fully Operatio	Conduct Standar d Setting Of Fully Operatio	Standar Setting Conpriss Compriss ed Of Hems Aligned To Revised Standar ds (Math & Reading	State Board Approva I Of Results Of Standar d Settings	Write HSPE Standar d Setting Report	Indepen dent Alignme nt Of Intact Test Form	Conduct Analysis Of Pilot Data Of Fully Operatio nal Pilot, Includin
	×		×	×		×			×
		×			*	×	×	×	

	Results of Alignment Study Servew, Including Recommend atons for Improvement	HSPE Technical Manual	Copies & Links for all Reporting Documents	Revised Procedural, Sconing, & Test Administrati		Submission of All Evidence
	8 ? 91	808	8 × 0	M - 00		802
			×			
			× ×			
-			×		 	
			×			
-			×			
-			× ×			
			×			
-			×			
-						
		-				
				×	×	
		×				
		×				
		×				
 		×				
	***************************************	×			İ	
		×				
	Mile Marie M					
		TAC	District According to the According to t			
	Independent Contractor and/or Nevada Educators who have not been involved in the Item Development Process	Measured Progress	Service-Level Agreements are in place with the Nevada Department of Information Technology for necessary technical activities	Outside	Outside	
	Ail Asses smen t Staff & Lusa	Carol Henr y, Tom	All Asses smen t & C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C	esrj	Lisa	All Asses smen t Staff & & Lisa
	9- 10- 10-	8 v 9	9 9 01 0 0	s, 40.	Ma Y X II	50 CE
	J - F - D - D - D - D - D - D - D - D - D	3 6 8	A 4.0	Au 10	9-6-01 10	No V. 10
g Inter- Rater Reliabilit Y	On the Advice of TAC, NDE Will Use the Results of Alignme in Study to Study Evaluate Results In Order to Fill in Gabs	Develop Technic al Manual For HSPE	Web- Based School & District Summar Y Y Reports Assessm ent Data & AYP Results	Training And Distribut ION Of Revised Operatio Inal Material S For All Teacher S	Ongoing Support And Technic al Assistan ce To Teacher 5 & Administ rators	Prelimin ary Submiss ion of Final Evidenc
	×		×	×	×	×
	×	×	×			×

160	Standard Setting Report	Copies of Minutes	Submission of Ail Evidence (If Necessary)	
178	A-1-11	29 A	11 - 51	

			-	
	×	× ×		
			,	
-		State Boar d		
	h			
	Outside			
	o g			
All Asses smen t t Staff & Lisa	Lısa	Frank ie	All Asses smen t Staff & Lusa	
5 - 11	Ma 7- 111	Ma y. 111	19 A	
Jan 11	Ma 7.	Ма у-	9.5 T	
Addition al Submiss ion of Evidenc e (If Necessa ry) Prior to Final Submiss ion as Require Require Repuire Rep	Standar d Setting Of Alternat Assessm Assessm ent (If Necessa	State Board Approva I of Of Akt Of Akt Standar G Setting (If (If	Final Submiss Ion (If Necessa ry) of Final Evidenc e	
×	×	×	×	
×			×	

[FR Doc. E9–1552 Filed 1–23–09; 8:45 am] $\tt BILLING$ CODE 4000–01–C