data, analyses, or discussion should be included in the final EIS.

Category 3—Inadequate

EPA does not believe that the draft EIS adequately assesses potentially significant environmental impacts of the action, or the EPA reviewer has identified new, reasonably available alternatives that is outside of the spectrum of alternatives analyzed in the draft EIS, which should be analyzed in order to reduce the potentially significant environmental impacts. EPA believes that the identified additional information, data, analyses, or discussions are of such a magnitude that they should have full public review at a draft stage. EPA does not believe that the draft EIS is adequate for the purposes of the NEPA and/or Section 309 review, and thus should be formally revised and made available for public comment in a supplemental or revised draft EIS. On the basis of the potential significant impacts involved, this proposal could be a candidate for referral to the CEQ.

#### **Draft EISs**

EIS No. 20090133, ERP No. D–NPS– D61064–MD, Monocacy National Battlefield, General Management Plan, Implementation, Frederick County, MD.

Summary: EPA does not object to the proposed project. Rating LO.

EIS No. 20090145, ERP No. D-NPS-H61024-IA, Effigy Mounds National Monument General Management Plan, Implementation, Clayton and Allamakee Counties, IA.

Summary: EPA does not object to the proposed project. Rating LO.

EIS No. 20090151, ERP No. D-NPS-K65365-CA, Prisoners Harbor Coastal Wetland Restoration Project, Proposes to Restore a Functional, Self-Sustaining Ecosystem at a Coastal Wetland Site, Channel Islands National Park, Santa Cruz Island, Santa Barbara County, CA.

Summary: EPA does not object to the proposed project, but offered suggestions to reduce the impact of invasive species and dust during construction. Rating LO.

### Final EISs

EIS No. 20090129, ERP No. F-AFS-K65346-CA, Round Valley Fuels Reduction and Vegetation Management Project, Proposes to Reduce Fuel and Manage Vegetation, Funding, Goosenest Ranger District, Klamath National Forest, Siskiyou County, CA. Summary: No formal comment letter was sent to the preparing agency. EIS No. 20090182, ERP No. F-USA-

K11038–HI, Makua Military
Reservation (MMR) Project, Proposed
Military Training Activities, To
Conduct the Necessary Type, Level,
Duration, and Intensity of Live-Fire
and other Military Training Activities,
in Particular Company-Level
Combined-Arms, Live-Fire Exercises
(CALFEX), 25th Infantry Division
(Light) and U.S. Army, HI.

Summary: EPA continues to have environmental concerns about the contamination of soil and water resources at Makua Military Reservation.

EIS No. 20090187, ERP No. F–CGD– A11083–00, PROGRAMMATIC— Future of the US Coast Guard Long Range Aids to Navigation (LORAN–C) Program, Implementation.

Summary: EPA does not object to the proposed action.

Dated: July 14, 2009.

#### Clifford Rader,

Environmental Protection Specialist, Office of Federal Activities.

[FR Doc. E9–17090 Filed 7–16–09; 8:45 am]

# ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[FRL-8931-2]

Casmalia Disposal Site; Notice of Proposed CERCLA Administrative De Minimis Settlement

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Notice; request for public comment.

**SUMMARY:** In accordance with section 122(i) of the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act, as amended (CERCLA) and section 7003 of the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA), the EPA is hereby providing notice of a proposed administrative de minimis settlement concerning the Casmalia Disposal Site in Santa Barbara County, California (the Casmalia Disposal Site). Section 122(g) of CERCLA provides EPA with the authority to enter into administrative de minimis settlements. This settlement is intended to resolve the liabilities of 35 settling parties for the Casmalia Disposal Site under sections 106 and 107 of CERCLA and section 7003 of RCRA, and also to resolve their liability for response costs and potential natural resource damage claims by the United

States Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS), the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA) and the United States Air Force (USAF). These parties, identified below, originally received settlement offers in 1999 or 2000 but raised an issue that has now been resolved. Most of those resolving their liability to the EPA have also elected to resolve their liability for response costs and potential natural resource damage claims by the USFWS, NOAA and the USAF. The settling parties sent 12,776,838 lbs. of waste to the Site, which represents 0.228% of total Site waste.  $\bar{\text{This}}$  settlement requires these parties to pay over \$1.25 million to EPA. EPA is simultaneously publishing another Federal Register Notice relating to another settlement with de minimis parties that had received offers between 2005 and 2008.

Settling Parties: Parties that have elected to settle their liability at this time are as follows: Advanced Coatings & Chemical; AK Steel Corporation, Successor by Merger to Armco, Inc.; Barron Anodizing & Paint; BioResearch, Inc.; C&W Pallet Enterprises, Inc.; City of San Jose; CTS Corporation (CTS Keene, Inc.); CTS Printex Inc.; E.C. Loomis & Son; General Atomics; Goleta Water District; Guadalupe Union School District; Kevex Corporation; Lear Siegler Diversified Holdings Corp.; Paccar, Inc.; Plessey Semiconductors, Inc.; Redevelopment Agency of the City of San Jose; Rosemary Farm; Santa Palm Car Wash; Saticoy Lemon Association; Siemens Communications, Inc.; Siemens Energy & Automation Inc.; Siemens Medical Systems, Inc., Oncology Care Systems Group; SMI Holding, LLC; Sweetwater Union High School District; Technitron Incorporated: Texas Instruments Tucson Corporation (f/k/a Burr-Brown Research Corporation); Tenneco Packaging, Inc. (n/k/a Pactiv Corporation); Thermo Finnigan LLC, Formerly Finnigan Corporation; Thermo Securities Corporation (as Successor to Cal-Doran Metallurgical Service); Thermo Separation Products, Inc.; U.S. Coast Guard; U.S. Department of Interior; U.S. Department of Veteran Affairs; U.S. Environmental Protection Agency.

DATES: EPA will receive written comments relating to the settlement until August 17, 2009. EPA will consider all comments it receives during this period, and may modify or withdraw consent to the settlement if any comments disclose facts or considerations indicating that the settlement is inappropriate, improper, or inadequate.

Public Meeting: In accordance with section 7003(d) of RCRA, 42 U.S.C. 6973(d), commenters may request an opportunity for a public meeting in the affected area. The deadline for requesting a public meeting is July 31, 2009. Requests for a public meeting may be made by contacting Karen Goldberg by e-mail at goldberg.karen@epa.gov, or by facsimile at (415) 947-3570. If a public meeting is requested, information about the date and time of the meeting will be published in the local newspaper, The Santa Maria Times, and will be sent to persons on the EPA's Casmalia Site mailing list. To be added to the mailing list, please contact: Jackie Lane at (415) 972–3236 or by e-mail at lane.jackie@epa.gov.

A copy of the settlement document may be obtained by calling (415) 369– 0559 extension 10, and leaving a message with your name, phone number, and mailing address or e-mail address.

ADDRESSES: Written comments should be addressed to Karen Goldberg, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Region IX, 75 Hawthorne Street (mail code RC–3), San Francisco, California 94105–3901, or may be faxed to her at (415) 947–3570 or sent by e-mail to goldberg.karen@epa.gov. A copy of the comments should be sent to: Caroline Rudolph, Project Coordinator, DTSC, P.O. Box 806, Sacramento, California 95812–0806.

### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Additional information about the Casmalia Disposal Site and about the proposed settlement may be obtained on the Casmalia Web site at: http://www.epa.gov/region09/casmalia or by calling Karen Goldberg at (415) 972–3951.

Dated: July 2, 2009.

## Keith Takata,

Director, Superfund Division, Region IX. [FR Doc. E9–16863 Filed 7–16–09; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

# FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

Notice of Public Information Collection(s) Being Reviewed by the Federal Communications Commission for Extension Under Delegated Authority, Comments Requested

July 13, 2009.

SUMMARY: The Federal Communications Commission, as part of its continuing effort to reduce paperwork burden invites the general public and other Federal agencies to take this opportunity to comment on the

following information collection(s), as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, 44 U.S.C. 3501-3520. An agency may not conduct or sponsor a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid control number. No person shall be subject to any penalty for failing to comply with a collection of information subject to the Paperwork Reduction Act (PRA) that does not display a valid control number. Comments are requested concerning (a) whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the Commission, including whether the information shall have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the Commission's burden estimate; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information collected; and (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on the respondents, including the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

**DATES:** Persons wishing to comment on this information collection should submit comments September 15, 2009. If you anticipate that you will be submitting comments, but find it difficult to do so within the period of time allowed by this notice, you should advise the contact listed below as soon as possible.

ADDRESSES: Direct all PRA comments to Nicholas A. Fraser, Office of Management and Budget (OMB), via fax at 202–395–5167, or via the Internet at Nicholas A. Fraser@omb.eop.gov and to Judith-B.Herman@fcc.gov, Federal Communications Commission (FCC). To submit your comments by e-mail send them to: PRA@fcc.gov.

To view a copy of this information collection request (ICR) submitted to OMB: (1) Go to the Web page http:// www.reginfo.gov/public/do/PRAMain, (2) look for the section of the Web page called "Currently Under Review", (3) click the downward-pointing arrow in the "Select Agency" box below the "Currently Under Review" heading, (4) select "Federal Communications Commission" from the list of agencies presented in the "Select Agency" box, (5) click the "Submit" button to the right of the "Select Agency" box and (6) when the list of FCC ICRs currently under review appears, look for the title of this ICR (or its OMB Control Number, if there is one) and then click on the ICR Reference Number to view detailed information about this ICR.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** For additional information, send an e-mail to Judith B. Herman at 202–418–0214.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** *OMB Control Number:* 3060–0681.

*Title:* Sections 52.101 through 52.110, Toll-Free Service Access Codes, CC Docket No. 95–155, 47 CFR Part 52, Subpart D).

Form No.: N/A.

Type of Review: Extension of a currently approved collection.

Respondents: Business or other forprofit and not-for-profit institutions.

Number of Respondents: 300 respondents; 300 responses.

*Estimated Time Per Response*: 15 hours.

Frequency of Response: On occasion reporting requirement and third party disclosure requirement.

Obligation to Respond: Required to obtain or retain benefits. Statutory authority for this information collection are contained in 47 U.S.C. 151, 154, 201–205, 218, 220 and 405 and 5 U.S.C. Sections 552 and 553.

Total Annual Burden: 4,500 hours. Total Annual Cost: N/A.

Privacy Act Impact Assessment: N/A. Nature and Extent of Confidentiality: Information of a proprietary nature submitted to Database Services Management, Inc. (DSMI) will not be disclosed, pursuant to DSMI's policy. The Commission, however, is granted access to information pertinent to determining that RespOrgs are abiding by Commission rules. The Commission is not requesting that the respondents submit confidential information to the FCC. Respondents may, however, request confidential treatment for information they believe to be confidential under 47 CFR 0.459 of the Commission's rules.

Needs and Uses: The Commission will submit this information collection to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) after this 60 day comment period in order to obtain the full three year clearance from them. The Commission is requesting an extension (no change in the reporting and/or third party disclosure requirements) of this information collection. There is no change to the estimated number of respondents/responses and annual burden hours. This information collection requires RespOrgs requesting that specific toll free numbers be placed in unavailable status to submit written requests, with appropriate documentation, to the toll free database administrator, Database Services Management, Inc. (DSMI). This requirement will hold those RespOrgs more accountable and will decrease abuses of lag time process. It will also prevent numbers from being held in an unavailable status without demonstrated reasons, and will make