parties within the 30-day period specified in 19 CFR 351.218(d)(3)(i). The Department received no substantive responses from any respondent interested parties. As a result, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.218(e)(1)(ii)(C)(2), the Department is conducting expedited (120-day) sunset reviews of the antidumping duty finding/orders on PC strand from Brazil, India, Japan, Korea, Mexico, and Thailand.

Scope of the Finding/Orders

The product covered in the sunset reviews of the antidumping duty orders on PC strand from Brazil, India, Korea, Mexico, and Thailand is steel strand produced from wire of non–stainless, non–galvanized steel, which is suitable for use in prestressed concrete (both pre–tensioned and post–tensioned) applications. The product definition encompasses covered and uncovered strand and all types, grades, and diameters of PC strand.

The product covered in the sunset review of the antidumping duty finding on PC strand from Japan is steel wire strand, other than alloy steel, not galvanized, which is stress—relieved and suitable for use in prestressed concrete.

The merchandise subject to the finding/orders is currently classifiable under subheadings 7312.10.3010 and 7312.10.3012 of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS). Although the HTSUS subheadings are provided for convenience and customs purposes, the written description of the merchandise under the finding/orders is dispositive.

Analysis of Comments Received

All issues raised in these reviews are addressed in the "Issues and Decision Memorandum for the Expedited Sunset Reviews of the Antidumping Duty Finding/Orders on Prestressed Concrete Steel Wire Strand from Brazil, India, Japan, the Republic of Korea, Mexico, and Thailand" from Acting Deputy Assistant Secretary John M. Andersen to Acting Assistant Secretary Ronald K. Lorentzen dated March 19, 2009 (Decision Memo), which is hereby adopted by this notice. The issues discussed in the Decision Memo include the likelihood of continuation or recurrence of dumping and the magnitude of the margins likely to prevail if the finding/orders were revoked. Parties can find a complete discussion of all issues raised in these reviews and the corresponding recommendations in this public memorandum which is on file in the Central Records Unit, room 1117 of the main Department of Commerce building.

In addition, a complete version of the Decision Memo can be accessed directly on the Web at *http://ia.ita.doc.gov/frn*. The paper copy and electronic version of the Decision Memo are identical in content.

Final Results of Reviews

We determine that revocation of the antidumping duty finding/orders on PC strand from Brazil, India, Japan, Mexico, Korea, and Thailand would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of dumping at the following weighted– average percentage margins:

Country	Company	Weighted–Average Margin (Percent)
Brazil	Belgo Bekaert Arames S.A.	118.75
	All Others	118.75
India	Tata Iron and Steel Co., Ltd.	102.07
	All Others	83.65
Japan	Shinko Wire Co., Ltd.	13.30
·	Suzuki Metal Industry Co., Ltd.	6.90
	Tokyo Rope Manufacturing Co., Ltd.	4.50
	All Others	9.76
Korea	Dong-II Steel Manufacturing Co., Ltd.	54.19
	Kiswire Ltd.	54.19
	All Others	35.64
Mexico	Aceros Camesa S.A. de C.V.	62.78
	Cablesa S.A. de C.V.	77.20
	All Others	62.78
Thailand	Siam Industrial Wire Co., Ltd.	12.91
	All Others	12.91

Notification Regarding APO

This notice serves as a reminder to parties subject to administrative protective order (APO) of their responsibility concerning the disposition of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305(a)(3). Timely written notification of the return or destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and terms of an APO is a sanctionable violation.

We are issuing and publishing the final results and notice in accordance with sections 751(c), 752(c), and 777(i)(1) of the Act.

Dated: March 19, 2009.

John M. Andersen,

Acting Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations. [FR Doc. E9–6797 Filed 3–25–09; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3510–DS–S

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

A-427-801, A-428-801, A-475-801, A-588-804, A-412-801

Ball Bearings and Parts Thereof from France, Germany, Italy, Japan, and the United Kingdom: Partial Rescission of Antidumping Duty Administrative Reviews

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce. SUMMARY: On July 1, 2008, in response to requests from interested parties, the Department of Commerce published a notice of initiation of the administrative reviews of the antidumping duty orders on ball bearings and parts thereof from France, Germany, Italy, Japan, and the United Kingdom. The period of review is May 1, 2007, through April 30, 2008. The Department of Commerce is rescinding these reviews in part. **EFFECTIVE DATE:** March 26, 2009.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION: Yang Jin Chun or Richard Rimlinger, AD/CVD Operations, Office 5, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–5760 and (202) 482–4477, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On July 1, 2008, in response to requests from interested parties, the Department of Commerce (the Department) published a notice of initiation of the administrative reviews of the antidumping duty orders on ball bearings and parts thereof from France, Germany, Italy, Japan, and the United Kingdom. See Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews and Requests for Revocation in Part, 73 FR 37409 (July 1, 2008).

In accordance with 19 CFR 351.213(d), the Department will rescind an administrative review in part "if a party that requested a review withdraws the request within 90 days of the date of the publication of notice of initiation of the requested review." In accordance with 19 CFR 351.213(d)(1), the Department may extend the 90–day time limit if the Department "decides that it is reasonable to do so." On October 3, 2008, for all interested parties which requested the administrative reviews of

the antidumping duty orders on ball bearings and parts thereof from Japan and the United Kingdom, we extended the due date for withdrawing the requests for reviews to October 10, 2008, because all respondents that we selected for individual examination in these two reviews had withdrawn their requests for reviews in a timely manner and because we had to identify additional respondents for individual examination. On October 14, 2008, for Canon, Inc., we extended the due date for withdrawing the request for review to October 15, 2008, based on the circumstances stated in Canon, Inc.'s October 14, 2008, letter requesting an extension of the due date.

Subsequent to the initiation of these reviews, we received timely withdrawals of the requests we had received for the reviews as follows:

Country	Company	
France	ADR S.A. ¹	
Germany	Dolmar GmbH ¹	
,	SNR Walzlager GmbH ¹	
Italy	Edwards, Ltd., and Edwards High Vacuum Int'l Ltd. ¹	
Japan	Aisin Seiki Company Ltd. ¹	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Asahi Seiko Co., Ltd.1	
	Canon, Inc. ²	
	JTEKT Corporation ¹	
	Keihin Corporation ³	
	Makino Milling Machine Company Ltd. ³	
	Makita Corporation ³	
	Mazda Motor Corporation ³	
	Mitsubishi Heavy Industries, Ltd. ¹	
	Nachi–Fujikoshi Corporation ¹	
	Nippon Pillow Block Company Limited ¹	
	Nissan Motor Company, Ltd. ³	
	NSK, Ltd. ¹	
	NTN Corporation ¹	
	Univance Inc. ³	
	Yamazaki Mazak Trading Corporation ¹	
United Kingdom	Edwards, Ltd., and Edwards High Vacuum Int'l Ltd. ¹	
	NSK Bearings Europe Ltd. ¹	
	Rolls-Royce PLC ¹	

¹We received timely withdrawals of the requests for reviews of these companies on or before September 29, 2008, which was the last day of the regulatory 90-day period in which interested parties could withdraw a request for review.

²We received a timely withdrawal of the request for review of Canon, Inc., on October 15, 2008.

³We received timely withdrawals of the requests for reviews of these companies between October 8, 2008, and October 10, 2008.

Because there are no other requests for review of the above-named firms, we are rescinding the reviews with respect to these companies in accordance with 19 CFR 351.213(d). We also received a timely withdrawal of the request we received for Sapporo Precision, Inc. (Sapporo), with respect to the administrative review of the antidumping duty order on ball bearings and parts thereof from Japan. A review of Sapporo was also requested by another interested party which has not withdrawn its request. Consequently, we continue to conduct the administrative review of Sapporo.

Rescission of Reviews

The Department received the timely submitted letters withdrawing the requests for the reviews of the companies listed above within the 90– day period or within the specific extended due dates. The Department received no other requests for the reviews of these companies. Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.213(d)(1), the Department is rescinding the reviews in part with respect to ball bearings and parts thereof produced and/or exported by the companies as stated above. The Department intends to issue appropriate assessment instructions to U.S. Customs and Border Protection 15 days after publication of this notice.

Notification to Importers

This notice serves as a reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Department's assumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties.

Notification Regarding APO

This notice serves as a reminder to parties subject to administrative protective order (APO) of their responsibility concerning the disposition of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305(a)(3). Timely written notification of the return or destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and terms of an APO is a sanctionable violation.

We are issuing and publishing these rescissions in part in accordance with section 777(i)(1) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, and 19 CFR 351.213(d)(4).

Dated: March 19, 2009.

John M. Andersen,

Acting Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations.

[FR Doc. E9–6800 Filed 3–25–09; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3510–DS–S

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

University of Colorado, et al.; Notice of Consolidated Decision on Applications for Duty–Free Entry of Electron Microscopes

This is a decision consolidated pursuant to Section 6(c) of the Educational, Scientific, and Cultural Materials Importation Act of 1966 (Pub. L. 89–651, as amended by Pub. L. 106– 36; 80 Stat. 897; 15 CFR part 301). Related records can be viewed between 8:30 A.M. and 5:00 P.M. in Room 3705, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th and Constitution Avenue., NW, Washington, D.C.

Docket Number: 08–041. Applicant: University of Colorado, Denver, CO 80217. Instrument: Vitrification Robot. Manufacturer: FEI Company, The Netherlands. Intended Use: See notice at 74 FR 7394, February 17, 2009.

Docket Number: 08–052. Applicant: University of Washington, Seattle, WA 98105–6698. Instrument: CTD Chain III. Manufacturer: ADM Electronik, Germany. Intended Use: See notice at 74 FR 7395, February 17, 2009.

Docket Number: 08–056. Applicant: Argonne National Laboratory, Lemont, IL 60439. Instrument: Isobar Separator System. Manufacturer: Bruker Biospin S.A., France. Intended Use: See notice at 74 FR 7395, February 17, 2009.

Comments: None received. Decision: Approved. No instrument of equivalent scientific value to the foreign instrument, for such purposes as these instruments are intended to be used, was being manufactured in the United States at the time the instruments were ordered. Reasons: Each foreign instrument is an electron microscope and is intended for research or scientific educational uses requiring an electron microscope. We know of no electron microscope, or any other instrument suited to these purposes, which was being manufactured in the United States at the time of order of each instrument.

Dated: March 19, 2009.

Chris Cassel,

Acting Director, Subsidies Enforcement Office, Import Administration. [FR Doc. E9–6799 Filed 3–25–09; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3510–DS–S

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

University of Colorado, et al.; Notice of Consolidated Decision on Applications for Duty-Free Entry of Electron Microscopes

This is a decision consolidated pursuant to Section 6(c) of the Educational, Scientific, and Cultural Materials Importation Act of 1966 (Pub. L. 89–651, as amended by Pub. L. 106– 36; 80 Stat. 897; 15 CFR part 301). Related records can be viewed between 8:30 a.m. and 5 p.m. in Room 3705, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC.

Docket Number: 08–050. Applicant: University of Colorado, Boulder, CO 80309–0427. Instrument: Dual Beam FIB Electron Microscope. Manufacturer: FEI Company, Czech Republic. Intended Use: See notice at 74 FR 7588, February 18, 2009.

Docket Number: 08–051. Applicant: Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory. Instrument: Electron Microscope. Manufacturer: FEI Company, The Netherlands. Intended Use: See notice at 74 FR 7588, February 18, 2009.

Docket Number: 08–062. Applicant: Carnegie Mellon University, Pittsburgh, PA 15213. Instrument: Scanning Electron Microscope. Manufacturer: FEI Company, The Netherlands. Intended Use: See notice at 74 FR 7588, February 18, 2009.

Comments: None received. *Decision:* Approved. No instrument of equivalent scientific value to the foreign instrument, for such purposes as these instruments are intended to be used, was being manufactured in the United States at the time the instruments were ordered. *Reasons:* Each foreign instrument is an electron microscope and is intended for research or scientific educational uses requiring an electron microscope. We know of no electron microscope, or any other instrument suited to these purposes, which was being manufactured in the United States at the time of order of each instrument.

Dated: March 19, 2009.

Chris Cassel,

Acting Director, Subsidies Enforcement Office, Import Administration. [FR Doc. E9–6798 Filed 3–25–09; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration

RIN 0648-XO24

Endangered and Threatened Species; Take of Anadromous Fish

AGENCY: National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS), National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA), Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of receipt of a permit application; request for comments.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that NMFS has received an application for a permit (permit 14579) to conduct steelhead rescue activities for population enhancement purposes from the Protected Resource Division of NMFS office in Long Beach (PRDLB), California. The requested permit would affect the Southern California Coast Distinct Population Segment of endangered steelhead trout (Oncorhynchus mykiss). The public is hereby notified of the availability of the permit application for review and comment before NMFS either approves or disapproves the application.

DATES: Written comments on the permit application must be received at the appropriate address or fax number (see **ADDRESSES**) on or before April 27, 2009.

ADDRESSES: Written comments on the permit application should be sent to Matt McGoogan, Protected Resources Division, NMFS, 501 W. Ocean Blvd., Suite 4200, Long Beach, CA 90802. Comments may also be sent using email (*FRNpermits.lb@noaa.gov*) or fax (562) 980–4027. The permit application is available for review, by appointment, at the foregoing address and is also available for review online at the Authorizations and Permits for