

Written comments and recommendations concerning the proposed information collection should be sent by December 12, 2008 to: SAMHSA Desk Officer, Human Resources and Housing Branch, Office of Management and Budget, New Executive Office Building, Room 10235, Washington, DC 20503; due to potential delays in OMB's receipt and processing of mail sent through the U.S. Postal Service, respondents are encouraged to submit comments by fax to: 202-395-6974.

Dated: November 4, 2008.

Elaine Parry,

Acting Director, Office of Program Services.
[FR Doc. E8-26796 Filed 11-10-08; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 4162-20-P

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

Office of the Secretary

Exxon Valdez Oil Spill Trustee Council; Renewal of the Public Advisory Committee

AGENCY: Office of the Secretary, Department of the Interior.

ACTION: Notice of Reestablishment.

In accordance with the provisions of the Federal Advisory Committee Act, as amended (5 U.S.C., App. 2), following the recommendation and approval of the *Exxon Valdez* Oil Spill Trustee Council, and in consultation with the General Services Administration the Secretary of the Interior hereby renews the charter for the *Exxon Valdez* Oil Spill Public Advisory Committee.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Douglas Mutter, Department of the Interior, Office of Environmental Policy and Compliance, 1689 "C" Street, Room 119, Anchorage, Alaska, (907) 271-5011.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Court Order establishing the *Exxon Valdez* Oil Spill Trustee Council also requires a public advisory committee. The Public Advisory Committee was established to advise the Trustee Council, and began functioning in October 1992. The Public Advisory Committee consists of 15 members representing the following principal interests: Sport hunting and fishing, conservation and environmental, public-at-large, recreation users, commercial tourism, local government, science/technical, subsistence, commercial fishing, aquaculture and mariculture, regional monitoring programs, tribal government, marine transportation, and Native landowners.

In order to ensure that a broad range of public viewpoints continues to be available to the Trustee Council, and in keeping with the settlement agreement, the continuation of the Public Advisory Committee is recommended.

Certification

I hereby certify that the renewal of the Charter of the Public Advisory Committee is necessary and in the public interest in connection with the performance of duties mandated by the settlement of *United States v. State of Alaska*, No. A91-081 CV, and is in accordance with the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act of 1980, as amended and supplemented.

Dated: November 5, 2008.

Dirk Kempthorne,

Secretary of the Interior.
[FR Doc. E8-26827 Filed 11-10-08; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 4310-RG-P

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

Bureau of Land Management

[CA-670-08-1610-DR]

Notice of Availability of Record of Decision for the Eastern San Diego County Resource Management Plan/ Environmental Impact Statement

AGENCY: Bureau of Land Management, Interior.

ACTION: Notice of availability.

SUMMARY: The BLM announces the availability of the Record of Decision (ROD)/Approved Resource Management Plan (RMP) for Eastern San Diego County. The California State Director signed the ROD on October 10, 2008, which constitutes the final decision of the BLM and makes the Approved RMP effective immediately.

ADDRESSES: Copies of the ROD/ Approved RMP are available upon request from the Field Manager, El Centro Field Office, Bureau of Land Management, 1661 S. 4th Street, El Centro, CA 92243 or via the Internet at <http://www.ca.blm.gov/elcentro>. Copies of the ROD/Approved RMP can also be obtained from the BLM California State Office at 2800 Cottage Way, Suite W 1834, Sacramento, CA 95825.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Erin Dreyfuss, Eastern San Diego County RMP Team Leader, at (760) 337-4400, Bureau of Land Management, 1661 S. 4th Street, El Centro, CA 92243; caesdrmp@ca.blm.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The planning area for the Eastern San Diego

County RMP is the El Centro Field Office's area of management responsibility. Approximately 103,000 acres of public lands are administered by the BLM in the planning area. The decisions laid out in the ROD will apply only to BLM-administered lands and mineral estate in the planning area.

Planning for the RMP officially began with a **Federal Register** Notice on July 14, 2004 initiating the scoping process. The BLM sought participation from the public, tribes, and local, state, and federal agencies.

The RMP describes how the BLM intends to manage the planning area to meet desired resource conditions for vegetative communities, wildlife habitats, and cultural and visual resources. It also outlines management direction for recreation, protection of sensitive natural and cultural resources, energy and mineral development, land tenure adjustments and other planning issues raised during the planning process.

The BLM's Draft RMP/Draft Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the Eastern San Diego County Planning Area (February 2, 2007) presented alternatives to help the BLM and interested parties understand the various ways of addressing issues in the region. Upon evaluation of the alternatives and associated impacts described in the Draft RMP/EIS, and based on public and agency comments on that document, the BLM prepared the Proposed RMP and Final EIS (PRMP/FEIS) for Eastern San Diego County, which was released on December 7, 2007.

Nine protest letters on the PRMP/FEIS were received by the BLM. In response to these protests and based on additional policy discussions, the BLM decided to clarify and make changes to the Proposed Plan as set forth in the PRMP/FEIS, including: (1) Modifying renewable energy (wind) related proposals and (2) clarifying and modifying Visual Resource Management (VRM) proposals and classifications. On July 28, 2008, the BLM published a notice in the **Federal Register** to *Provide Opportunity to Comment on Changes to the Eastern San Diego County Proposed Resource Management Plan* for 30 calendar days.

After considering all of the protests on the PRMP/FEIS and the comments received on the proposed changes to the PRMP/FEIS, the BLM determined that the Preferred Alternative (Alternative E), as modified, best meets the purpose and need for the proposal.

The decisions designating routes of travel for motorized vehicles are implementation-level decisions and are

appealable under 43 CFR Part 4. These decisions are contained in Tables 2–19 and 2–20, and Map 2–20 of the Approved RMP. Any party adversely affected by the proposed route designations may appeal within 30 days of publication of this Notice of Availability. The appeal should state the specific route(s), as identified in the ROD/Approved RMP, on which the decision is being appealed. The appeal must be filed with the El Centro Field Manager at the above listed address.

Vicki L. Wood,

El Centro Field Manager.

[FR Doc. E8–26835 Filed 11–10–08; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310–40–P

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

National Park Service

Final Environmental Impact Statement; General Management Plan; Olympic National Park, Clallam, Grays Harbor, Jefferson and Mason Counties, WA; Notice of Approval of Record of Decision

Summary: Pursuant to § 102(2)(C) of the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (Pub. L. 91–190, as amended) and the regulations promulgated by the Council on Environmental Quality (40 CFR 1505.2), the Department of the Interior, National Park Service has prepared and approved a Record of Decision (and Statement of Findings for Floodplains) for the Final Environmental Impact Statement (Final EIS) for General Management Plan (GMP) at Olympic National Park. The GMP will serve as a blueprint in guiding park management and research programs over the next 15–20 years. The requisite no-action “wait period” was initiated March 14, 2008, with the Environmental Protection Agency’s **Federal Register** notification of the filing of the Final EIS.

Decision: As soon as practical Olympic National Park will begin to implement the restoration strategies, park operations, and visitor service projects identified and analyzed as the Preferred Alternative (Alternative D) contained in the Final EIS (and which included minor modifications from the course of action as presented in the Draft EIS (released for public review on June 15, 2006). The full range of foreseeable environmental consequences were assessed, and appropriate mitigation measures identified. Both a No Action alternative and two additional “action” alternatives were identified and analyzed. Alternative D was determined to be the

“environmentally preferred” course of action.

Copies: Interested parties desiring to review the Record of Decision may obtain a copy by contacting the Superintendent, Olympic National Park, 600 East Park Ave., Port Angeles, WA 98362; or via telephone request at (360) 565–3000.

Dated: August 8, 2008.

Jonathan B. Jarvis,

Regional Director, Pacific West Region.

[FR Doc. E8–26728 Filed 11–10–08; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310–70–P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Inv. No. 337–TA–659]

In the Matter of: Certain Prepregs, Laminates, and Finished Circuit Boards; Notice of Investigation

AGENCY: U.S. International Trade Commission.

ACTION: Institution of investigation pursuant to 19 U.S.C. 1337.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that a complaint was filed with the U.S. International Trade Commission on October 6, 2008, under section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, 19 U.S.C. 1337, on behalf of Isola USA Corp. of Chandler, Arizona. A supplement to the complaint was filed on October 28, 2008. The complaint alleges violations of section 337 based upon the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, and the sale within the United States after importation of certain prepregs, laminates, and finished circuit boards that infringe certain claims of U.S. Patent Nos. 6,187,852; 6,322,885 and 6,509,414. The complaint further alleges that an industry in the United States exists as required by subsection (a)(2) of section 337.

The complainant requests that the Commission institute an investigation and, after the investigation, issue an exclusion order and cease and desist orders.

ADDRESSES: The complaint, except for any confidential information contained therein, is available for inspection during official business hours (8:45 a.m. to 5:15 p.m.) in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, SW., Room 112, Washington, DC 20436, telephone 202–205–2000. Hearing impaired individuals are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission’s TDD

terminal on 202–205–1810. Persons with mobility impairments who will need special assistance in gaining access to the Commission should contact the Office of the Secretary at 202–205–2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its Internet server at <http://www.usitc.gov>. The public record for this investigation may be viewed on the Commission’s electronic docket (EDIS) at <http://edis.usitc.gov>.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Juan Cockburn, Esq., Office of Unfair Import Investigations, U.S. International Trade Commission, telephone (202) 205–2571.

Authority: The authority for institution of this investigation is contained in section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, and in section 210.10 of the Commission’s Rules of Practice and Procedure, 19 CFR 210.10 (2008).

Scope of Investigation: Having considered the complaint, the U.S. International Trade Commission, on November 5, 2008, ordered that—

(1) Pursuant to subsection (b) of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, an investigation be instituted to determine whether there is a violation of subsection (a)(1)(B) of section 337 in the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, or the sale within the United States after importation of certain prepregs, laminates, or finished circuit boards that infringe one or more of claims 1–3, 5 and 8 of U.S. Patent No. 6,187,852; claims 1, 2, 4 and 7–9 of U.S. Patent No. 6,322,885; and claims 1 and 5–7 of U.S. Patent No. 6,509,414, and whether an industry in the United States exists as required by subsection (a)(2) of section 337;

(2) For the purpose of the investigation so instituted, the following are hereby named as parties upon which this notice of investigation shall be served:

(a) The complainant is—Isola USA Corp., 3100 W. Ray Road, Chandler, Arizona 85224.

(b) The respondents are the following entities alleged to be in violation of section 337, and are the parties upon which the complaint is to be served:

VENTEC Electronics (Suzhou) Co., Ltd., 168 Xiang Yang Road, Suzhou New District, Jiangsu 215009, China.
VENTEC Electronics (HK) Co., Ltd., Unit 311, 3/F, Block 2, Nan Fung Industrial City, 18 Tin Hua Road, Tuen Mun, New Territories, Hong Kong.
VGL USA LLC, 311 South Highland, Unit B, Fullerton, California 92832.
Taiwan Union Technology Corp., 803 Po Ai Street, Chupei City, Hsinchu 302, Taiwan.