principal place of business of the standards development organization and (2) the nature and scope of its standards development activities. The notifications were filed for the purpose of invoking the Act's provisions limiting the recovery of antitrust plaintiffs to actual damages under specified circumstances.

Pursuant to Section 6(b) of the Act, the name and principal place of business of the standards development organization is: Intersocietal Accreditation Commission, Columbia, MD. The nature and scope of IAC's standards development activities are: the development of consensus standards for quality assurance in diagnostic imaging facilities, thus improving the quality of patient care provided in private offices clinics and hospitals where such medical tests are provided. The IAC develops consensus standards in the following categories: (a) Accreditation of vascular laboratories (extracranial cerebrovascular, intracranial cerebrovascular, peripheral arterial, peripheral venous, visceral vascular, screening), (b) accreditation of echocardiography laboratories (adult transthoracic, adult transesophageal, adult stress, pediatric transthoracic, pediatric transesophageal, fetal), (c) accreditation of nuclear medicine laboratories (nuclear cardiology, general nuclear medicine, PET), (d) accreditation of magnetic resonance laboratories (body [pelvis, abdomen, chest, and/or breast], cardiovascular, musculoskeletal, neurological), and (e) accreditation of computed tomography laboratories (cardiovascular, whole body, neurological, sinus and temporal bone).

Patricia A. Brink,

Deputy Director of Operations, Antitrust Division.

[FR Doc. E8–17509 Filed 7–30–08; 8:45 am]

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Antitrust Division

Notice Pursuant to the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993 Advanced Media Workflow Association, Inc.

Notice is hereby given that, on June 27, 2008, pursuant to Section 6(a) of the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993, 15 U.S.C. 4301 et seq. ("the Act"), Advanced Media Workflow Association, Inc., has filed written notifications simultaneously with the Attorney General and the Federal Trade Commission disclosing

changes in its membership. The notifications were filed for the purpose of extending the Act's provisions limiting the recovery of antitrust plaintiffs to actual damages under specified circumstances. Specifically, Integrated Media Technologies, North Hollywood, CA; and Nielsen, Westport, CT, have been added as parties to this venture. Also, Convergent Media Labs, Marina del Rey, CA has withdrawn as a party to this venture.

No other changes have been made in either the membership or planned activity of the group research project. Membership in this group research project remains open, and Advanced Media Workflow Association, Inc., intends to file additional written notifications disclosing all changes in membership.

On March 28, 2000, Advanced Media Workflow Association, Inc., filed its original notification pursuant to Section 6(a) of the Act. The Department of Justice published a notice in the **Federal Register** pursuant to Section 6(b) of the Act on June 29, 2000 (65 FR 40127).

The last notification was filed with the Department on March 21, 2008. A notice was published in the **Federal Register** pursuant to Section 6(b) of the Act on April 23, 2008 (73 FR 21984).

Patricia A. Brink,

Deputy Director of Operations, Antitrust Division.

[FR Doc. E8–17507 Filed 7–30–08; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4410–11–M

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Antitrust Division

Notice Pursuant to the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993—Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers

Notice is hereby given that, on July 7, 2008, pursuant to Section 6(a) of the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993, 15 U.S.C. 4301 et seq. ("the Act"), Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers ("IEEE") has filed written notifications simultaneously with the Attorney General and the Federal Trade Commission disclosing additions or changes to its standards development activities. The notifications were filed for the purpose of extending the Act's provisions limiting the recovery of antitrust plaintiffs to actual damages under specified circumstances. Specifically, 33 new standards have been initiated and 13 existing standards are being revised. More detail regarding these changes can be found at http://

standards.ieee.org/standardswire/sba/
16-05-08.html and http://
standards.ieee.org/standardswire/sba/
06-12-08.html. In addition, an update to the registration activities associated with 21 existing standards is being submitted. More detail regarding this update can be found at http://
standards.ieee.org/regauth/
registrystandards.html.

On September 17, 2004, IEEE filed its original notification pursuant to Section 6(a) of the Act. The Department of Justice published a notice in the **Federal Register** pursuant to Section 6(b) of the Act on November 3, 2004 (69 FR 64105).

The last notification was filed with the Department on May 9, 2008. A notice was published in the **Federal Register** pursuant to Section 6(b) of the Act on June 17, 2008 (73 FR 34327).

Patricia A. Brink,

Deputy Director of Operations, Antitrust Division.

[FR Doc. E8–17511 Filed 7–30–08; 8:45 am] **BILLING CODE 4410–11–M**

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Antitrust Division

Notice Pursuant to the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993 Petroleum Environmental Research Forum

Notice is hereby given that, on July 9, 2008, pursuant to Section 6(a) of the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993, 15 U.S.C. 4301 et seq. ("the Act"), Petroleum Environmental Research Forum ("PERF") has filed written notifications simultaneously with the Attorney General and the Federal Trade Commission disclosing changes in its membership. The notifications were filed for the purpose of extending the Act's provisions limiting the recovery of antitrust plaintiffs to actual damages under specified circumstances. Specifically, The Questor Centre, Belfast, Northern Ireland, UNITED KINGDOM has been added as a party to this venture.

No other changes have been made in either the membership or planned activity of the group research project. Membership in this group research project remains open, and PERF intends to file additional written notifications disclosing all changes in membership.

On February 10, 1986, PERF filed its original notification pursuant to Section 6(a) of the Act. The Department of Justice published a notice in the **Federal Register** pursuant to Section 6(b) of the Act on March 14, 1986 (51 FR 8903).

The last notification was filed with the Department on November 15, 2007. A notice was published in the **Federal Register** pursuant to Section 6(b) of the Act on February 11, 2008 (73 FR 7762).

Patricia A. Brink,

Deputy Director of Operations, Antitrust Division.

[FR Doc. E8–17512 Filed 7–30–08; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4410–11–M

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Occupational Safety and Health Administration

[Docket No. OSHA-2008-0015]

Cotton Dust Standard; Extension of the Office of Management and Budget's (OMB) Approval of Information Collection (Paperwork) Requirements

AGENCY: Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA), Labor.

ACTION: Request for public comment.

SUMMARY: OSHA solicits public comment concerning its proposal to extend OMB approval of the information collection requirements specified by the Cotton Dust Standard (29 CFR 1910.1043).

DATES: Comments must be submitted (postmarked, sent, or received) by September 29, 2008.

ADDRESSES: Electronically: You may submit comments and attachments electronically at http://www.regulations.gov, which is the Federal eRulemaking Portal. Follow the instructions online for submitting comments.

Facsimile: If your comments, including attachments, are not longer than 10 pages, you may fax them to the OSHA Docket Office at (202) 693–1648.

Mail, hand delivery, express mail, messenger, or courier service: When using this method, you must submit three copies of your comments and attachments to the OSHA Docket Office, Docket No. OSHA-2008-0015, U.S. Department of Labor, Occupational Safety and Health Administration, Room N-2625, 200 Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20210. Deliveries (hand, express mail, messenger, and courier service) are accepted during the Department of Labor's and Docket Office's normal business hours, 8:15 a.m. to 4:45 p.m., e.t.

Instructions: All submissions must include the Agency name and OSHA docket number for the Information Collection Request (ICR) (OSHA–2008– 0015). All comments, including any personal information you provide, are placed in the public docket without change, and may be made available online at http://www.regulations.gov. For further information on submitting comments see the "Public Participation" heading in the section of this notice titled SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION.

Docket: To read or download comments or other material in the docket, go to http://www.regulations.gov or the OSHA Docket Office at the address above. All documents in the docket (including this Federal Register notice) are listed in the http:// www.regulations.gov index; however, some information (e.g., copyrighted material) is not publicly available to read or download through the Web site. All submissions, including copyrighted material, are available for inspection and copying at the OSHA Docket Office. You may also contact Todd Owen at the address below to obtain a copy of the

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Todd Owen, Directorate of Standards and Guidance, OSHA, U.S. Department of Labor, Room N–3609, 200 Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20210; telephone (202) 693–2222.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. Background

The Department of Labor, as part of its continuing effort to reduce paperwork and respondent (i.e., employer) burden, conducts a preclearance consultation program to provide the public with an opportunity to comment on proposed and continuing information collection requirements in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (PRA-95) (44 U.S.C. 3506(c)(2)(A)).

This program ensures that information is in the desired format, reporting burden (time and costs) is minimal, collection instruments are clearly understood, and OSHA's estimate of the information collection burden is accurate. The Occupational Safety and Health Act of 1970 (the Act) (29 U.S.C. 651 et seq.) authorizes information collection by employers as necessary or appropriate for enforcement of the Act or for developing information regarding the causes and prevention of occupational injuries, illnesses, and accidents (29 U.S.C. 657). The Act also requires that OSHA obtain such information with minimum burden upon employers, especially those operating small businesses, and to reduce to the maximum extent feasible unnecessary duplication of efforts in obtaining information (29 U.S.C. 657).

The information collection requirements specified in the Cotton Dust Standard protect employees from the adverse health effects that may result from their exposure to cotton dust. The major information collection requirements of the Cotton Dust Standard include: performing exposure monitoring, including initial, periodic, and additional monitoring; notifying each employee of their exposure monitoring results either in writing or by posting; implementing a written compliance program; and establishing a respiratory protection program in accordance with OSHA's Respiratory Protection Standard (29 CFR 1910.134).

II. Special Issues for Comment

OSHA has a particular interest in comments on the following issues:

- Whether the proposed information collection requirements are necessary for the proper performance of the Agency's functions, including whether the information is useful;
- The accuracy of OSHA's estimate of the burden (time and costs) of the information collection requirements, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;
- The quality, utility, and clarity of the information collected; and
- Ways to minimize the burden on employers who must comply; for example, by using automated or other technological information collection and transmission techniques.

III. Proposed Actions

OSHA proposes to extend the Office of Management and Budget's (OMB) approval of these collection of information (paperwork) requirements specified in the Cotton Dust Standard (29 CFR 1910.1043). The Agency is requesting to decrease its current burden hour total from 70,340 hours to 35,742 for a total decrease of 34,598 hours. The adjustment is primarily the result of the Agency decreasing the number of establishments from 535 to 384. As a result of the number of establishments decreasing, there was also a significant reduction in the number of exposed employees from 49,628 to 25,457. The Agency will summarize the comments submitted in response to this notice, and will include this summary in the request to OMB to extend the approval of the information collection requirements contained in the Standard.

Type of Review: Extension of a currently approved collection.

Title: Cotton Dust Standard (29 CFR 1910.1043).

OMB Number: 1218-0061.