following proposal for collection of information under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. Chapter 35).

Agency: National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA).

*Title:* High Seas Fishing Vessel Reporting Requirements.

Form Number(s): None. OMB Approval Number: 0648–0349. Type of Request: Regular submission. Burden Hours: 850.

Number of Respondents: 550.

Average Hours per Response: Report for days fished, 5 minutes; for negative reporting (no fishing that day), 1 minute.

Needs and Uses: Vessels licensed under the High Seas Fishing Compliance Act are required to report their catch and effort (logbooks) when fishing on the high seas. Monthly negative reports are required if not fishing. These logbooks are not required if the vessel is already reporting catches and effort under other National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA) regulations. The information is needed for fishery management and to provide data to international organizations.

*Affected Public:* Business or other forprofit organizations.

*Frequency:* On occasion. *Respondent's Obligation:* Mandatory. *OMB Desk Officer:* David Rostker, (202) 395–3897.

Copies of the above information collection proposal can be obtained by calling or writing Diana Hynek, Departmental Paperwork Clearance Officer, (202) 482–0266, Department of Commerce, Room 6625, 14th and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230 (or via the Internet at *dHynek@doc.gov*).

Written comments and recommendations for the proposed information collection should be sent within 30 days of publication of this notice to David Rostker, OMB Desk Officer, FAX number (202) 395–7285, or David\_Rostker@omb.eop.gov.

Dated: June 11, 2008.

# Gwellnar Banks,

Management Analyst, Office of the Chief Information Officer. [FR Doc. E8–13440 Filed 6–13–08; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3510–22–P

#### DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### Submission for OMB Review; Comment Request

The Department of Commerce will submit to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for clearance the following proposal for collection of information under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. Chapter 35).

*Agency:* National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA).

*Title:* Coral Reef Conservation Program Administration.

*Form Number(s):* None.

OMB Approval Number: 0648–0448.

*Type of Request:* Regular submission.

Burden Hours: 112.

Number of Respondents: 28.

Average Hours Per Response: Waiver requests, 30 minutes; reviewer comments, 1 hour, 30 minutes.

Needs and Uses: The Coral Reef **Conservation Grant Program provides** funds to a broad-based group of applicants with experience in coral reef conservation to conduct activities to protect and conserve coral reef ecosystems. The information submitted is used to determine: (1) whether the applicant qualifies for a waiver of matching funds, and (2) if a proposed project is consistent with the coral reef conservation priorities of authorities with jurisdiction over the area where the project will be carried out. Respondents will be applicants to the grant program and/or reviewers of relevant project proposals.

*Affected Public:* Not-for-profit institutions; business or other for-profit organizations; State, Local or Tribal Government.

Frequency: Annually.

*Respondent's Obligation:* Required to obtain or retain benefits.

OMB Desk Officer: David Rostker, (202) 395–3897.

Copies of the above information collection proposal can be obtained by calling or writing Diana Hynek, Departmental Paperwork Clearance Officer, (202) 482–0266, Department of Commerce, Room 6625, 14th and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230 (or via the Internet at *dHynek@doc.gov).* 

Written comments and recommendations for the proposed information collection should be sent within 30 days of publication of this notice to David Rostker, OMB Desk Officer, FAX number (202) 395–7285, or David\_Rostker@omb.eop.gov.

Dated: June 11, 2008.

#### Gwellnar Banks,

Management Analyst, Office of the Chief Information Officer.

[FR Doc. E8–13441 Filed 6–13–08; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3510–JS–P

# DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

**Bureau of Industry and Security** 

Proposed Information Collection; Comment Request; Import Certificates, End-User Certificates, and Delivery Verification Procedures

**AGENCY:** Bureau of Industry and Security.

## ACTION: Notice.

**SUMMARY:** The Department of Commerce, as part of its continuing effort to reduce paperwork and respondent burden, invites the general public and other Federal agencies to take this opportunity to comment on proposed and/or continuing information collections, as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995. **DATES:** Written comments must be submitted on or before August 15, 2008. **ADDRESSES:** Direct all written comments to Diana Hynek, Departmental Paperwork Clearance Officer, Department of Commerce, Room 6625, 14th and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230 (or via the Internet at *dHvnek@doc.gov*).

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Requests for additional information or copies of the information collection instrument and instructions should be directed to Larry Hall, BIS ICB Liaison, (202) 482–4896, *lhall@bis.doc.gov*.

# SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

## I. Abstract

This collection of information provides the certification of the overseas importer to the U.S. Government that specific commodities will be imported from the U.S. and will not be reexported, except in accordance with U.S. export regulations.

#### **II. Method of Collection**

Submitted electronically or in paper form.

#### III. Data

OMB Control Number: 0694–0093. Form Number(s): None. Type of Review: Business or other for-

profit organizations. Estimated Number of Respondents:

2,222.

*Estimated Time per Response:* 15 minutes.

*Estimated Total Annual Burden Hours:* 645.

*Estimated Total Annual Cost to Public:* \$0.

#### **IV. Request for Comments**

Comments are invited on: (a) Whether the proposed collection of information

is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information shall have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden (including hours and cost) of the proposed collection of information; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on respondents, including through the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

Comments submitted in response to this notice will be summarized and/or included in the request for OMB approval of this information collection; they also will become a matter of public record.

Dated: June 11, 2008.

#### Gwellnar Banks,

Management Analyst, Office of the Chief Information Officer.

[FR Doc. E8–13504 Filed 6–13–08; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3510–33–P

# DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

#### International Trade Administration

#### A-357-812

# Honey from Argentina: Notice of Partial Rescission of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce. **SUMMARY:** The Department of Commerce (the Department) is partially rescinding its administrative review of the antidumping duty order on honey from Argentina for the period December 1, 2006, to November 30, 2007 with respect to eleven companies. This rescission, in part, is based on the timely withdrawal of the request for review by the interested parties that requested the review. A complete list of the companies for which the administrative review is being rescinded is provided in the background section below.

## EFFECTIVE DATE: June 16, 2008

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Deborah Scott or Robert James, AD/CVD Operations, Office 7, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW, Room 7866, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–2657 or (202) 482–0649, respectively. SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

#### **BACKGROUND:**

On December 3, 2007, the Department published in the Federal Register its notice of opportunity to request an administrative review of the antidumping duty order on honey from Argentina. See Antidumping or Countervailing Duty Order, Finding, or Suspended Investigation; Opportunity to Request Administrative Review, 72 FR 67889 (December 3, 2007). In response, on December 31, 2007, the American Honey Producers Association and the Sioux Honey Association (collectively, petitioners) requested an administrative review of the antidumping duty order on honey from Argentina for the period December 1, 2006, through November 30, 2007. The petitioners requested that the Department conduct an administrative review of entries of subject merchandise made by thirteen Argentine producers/ exporters.<sup>1</sup> In addition, the Department received requests for review from four Argentine exporters included in the petitioners' request. Furthermore, the Department received one request from an exporter that was not included in petitioners' request for review.

On January 28, 2008, the Department initiated a review of the 14 companies<sup>2</sup> for which an administrative review was requested. *See Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews and Request for Revocation in Part*, 73 FR 4829 (January 28, 2008).

On February 19, 2007, petitioners timely withdrew their requests for review of the following companies: AGLH S.A., Algodonera Avellaneda S.A., Bomare S.A. (Bodegas Miguel Armengol), Mercoline S.A., Productos Afer S.A., and Seabird Argentina S.A. On March 18, 2008, petitioners timely withdrew their requests for review of El Mana S.A., HoneyMax S.A., and Nexco S.A. On May 22, 2008, both petitioners and CAA/Mielar submitted letters withdrawing their requests for an administrative review of CAA/Mielar.

#### Scope of the Order

The merchandise covered by the order is honey from Argentina. The products covered are natural honey, artificial honey containing more than 50 percent natural honey by weight, preparations of natural honey containing more than 50 percent natural honey by weight, and flavored honey. The subject merchandise includes all grades and colors of honey whether in liquid, creamed, comb, cut comb, or chunk form, and whether packaged for retail or in bulk form.

The merchandise under the scope of the order is currently classifiable under subheadings 0409.00.00, 1702.90.90, and 2106.90.99 of the *Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States* (HTSUS). Although the HTSUS subheadings are provided for convenience and U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) purposes, the Department's written description of the merchandise under this order is dispositive.

## **Rescission, in Part, of Administrative Review**

Section 351.213(d)(1) of the Department's regulations provides that the Department will rescind an administrative review if the party that requested the review withdraws its request for review within 90 days of the date of publication of the notice of initiation of the requested review, or withdraws at a later date if the Department determines it is reasonable to extend the time limit for withdrawing the request.

The petitioners timely withdrew their requests for an administrative review within the 90-day deadline for the following companies: AGLH S.A., Algodonera Avellaneda S.A., Bomare S.A. (Bodegas Miguel Armengol), El Mana S.A., HoneyMax S.A., Mercoline S.A., Nexco S.A., Productos Afer S.A., and Seabird Argentina S.A. Because the petitioners were the only party to request administrative review of each of these companies, we are rescinding the review with regard to AGLH S.A., Algodonera Avellaneda S.A., Bomare S.A. (Bodegas Miguel Armengol), El Mana S.A., HoneyMax S.A., Mercoline S.A., Nexco S.A., Productos Afer S.A., and Seabird Argentina S.A.

With respect to CAA/Mielar, both petitioners and CAA/Mielar withdrew their review requests after the 90-day deadline, despite petitioners' and CAA/ Mielar's claims to the contrary in their May 22, 2008 requests for withdrawal. However, the Department finds it reasonable to extend the withdrawal deadline for CAA/Mielar because the Department has not yet devoted significant time or resources to this review. Further, we find that neither petitioners' nor CAA/Mielar's withdrawal of their requests for a review of CAA/Mielar constitutes an abuse of

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Petitioners requested Compania Apicola Argentina S.A. (CAA) and Mielar S.A. (Mielar) as separate entities. However, in a previous segment of this proceeding, the Department treated these two companies as a single entity.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> The **Federal Register** notice lists 15 companies but, as explained in footnote 1, CAA and Mielar are currently being treated as a single entity based on decisions made in a previous segment of this proceeding. Accordingly, there are a total of 14 companies for which reviews were requested.