Central Security Service, Ft. George G. Meade, MD 20755–6000.

## RECORD SOURCE CATEGORIES:

From individuals, U.S. agencies and organizations, media including periodicals, newspapers, and broadcast transcripts, public and classified reporting and correspondence.

# EXEMPTIONS CLAIMED FOR THE SYSTEM:

Records maintained solely for statistical research or program evaluation purposes and which are not used to make decisions on the rights, benefits, or entitlement of an individual except for census records which may be disclosed under 13 U.S.C. 8, may be exempt pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 552a(k)(4).

An exemption rule for this exemption has been promulgated in accordance with requirements of 5 U.S.C. 553(b)(1), (2) and (3), (c) and (e) and published in 32 CFR part 322. For additional information contact the system manager.

[FR Doc. E8–11157 Filed 5–16–08; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 5001–06–P

# DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

## Office of the Secretary

# Renewal of Department of Defense Federal Advisory Committees

**AGENCY:** Department of Defense. **ACTION:** Renewal of Federal Advisory Committee.

**SUMMARY:** Under the provisions of the Federal Advisory Committee Act of 1972, (5 U.S.C. Appendix, as amended), the Government in the Sunshine Act of 1976 (5 U.S.C. 552b, as amended), and 41 CFR 102–3.65, the Department of Defense gives notice that it is renewing the charter for the Threat Reduction Advisory Committee (hereafter referred to as the Committee).

The Committee is a discretionary federal advisory committee established by the Secretary of Defense to provide the Department of Defense and the Director of the Defense Threat Reduction Agency independent advice and recommendations on reducing the threat to the United States, its military forces, and its allies and partners posed by nuclear, biological, chemical, conventional and special weapons. The Committee, in accomplishing its mission: (a) Maintains a focus on broad S&T issues affecting DTRA; (b) recommends DoD strategic posture, to include issues and considerations regarding possible resumption of nuclear testing; (c) evaluating DoD's responsive infrastructure for strategic strike and a thorough analysis of

mainstreaming of new triad nuclear capabilities into the DoD acquisition process; and (d) made recommendation on the impact of nuclear weapons effects.

The Committee shall be composed of not more than 25 members, who are distinguished authorities in the fields of national defense, geopolitical and national security affairs, or weapons of mass destruction. Committee members appointed by the Secretary of Defense, who are not federal officers or employees, shall be appointed as experts and consultants under the authority of 5 U.S.C. 3109 and with the exception of travel and per diem for official travel, shall serve without compensation, unless otherwise authorized by the Secretary of Defense. The Secretary of Defense shall renew the appointments of these Special Government Employees on an annual basis. The Under Secretary of Defense (Acquisition, Technology & Logistics) or designed representative shall select the Committee's Chairperson from the total Committee membership.

The Committee shall be authorized to establish subcommittees, as necessary and consistent with its mission, and these subcommittees or working groups shall operate under the provisions of the Federal Advisory Committee Act of 1972, the Government in the Sunshine Act of 1976, and other appropriate federal regulations.

Such subcommittees or workgroups shall not work independently of the chartered Committee, and shall report all their recommendations and advice to the Committee for full deliberation and discussion. Subcommittees or workgroups have no authority to make decisions on behalf of the chartered Committee nor can they report directly to the Department of Defense or any federal officers or employees who are not Committee members.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Jim Freeman, Deputy Committee Management Officer for the Department of Defense, 703–601–6128.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The Committee shall meet at the call of the Committee's Designated Federal Officer, in consultation with the Committee's chairperson. The Designated Federal Officer, pursuant to DoD policy, shall be a full-time or permanent part-time DoD employee, and shall be appointed in accordance with established DoD policies and procedures. The Designated Federal Officer or duly appointed Alternate Designated Federal Officer shall attend all committee meetings and subcommittee meetings. Pursuant to 41 CFR 102–3.105(j) and 102–3.140, the public or interested organizations may submit written statements to the Threat Reduction Advisory Committee membership about the Committee's mission and functions. Written statements may be submitted at any time or in response to the stated agenda of planned meeting of the Threat Reduction Advisory Committee.

All written statements shall be submitted to the Designated Federal Officer for the Threat Reduction Advisory Committee, and this individual will ensure that the written statements are provided to the membership for their consideration. Contact information for the Threat Reduction Advisory Committee's Designated Federal Officer can be obtained from the GSA's FACA Database—https://www.fido.gov/ facadatabase/public.asp.

The Designated Federal Officer, pursuant to 41 CFR 102–3.150, will announce planned meetings of the Threat Reduction Advisory Committee. The Designated Federal Officer, at that time, may provide additional guidance on the submission of written statements that are in response to the stated agenda for the planned meeting in question.

Dated: May 7, 2008.

# Patricia L. Toppings,

OSD Federal Register Liaison Officer, Department of Defense. [FR Doc. E8–11117 Filed 5–16–08; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 5001–06–P

# **DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE**

## Department of the Air Force

# Notice of Intent To Prepare an Environmental Assessment for Disposal and Reuse of Buckley Annex, Colorado

**AGENCY:** Department of the Air Force, Department of Defense. **ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** The United States Air Force is issuing this notice to advise the public that the Air Force intends to prepare an Environmental Assessment (EA) in accordance with the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (NEPA) to assess the potential environmental impacts of the disposal and reuse of Buckley Annex, Colorado. This notice signifies the beginning of the Air Force's NEPA "scoping" and invites interested members of the public to participate in the NEPA process.

The Council on Environmental Quality (CEQ) regulations at 40 CFR 1501.7 require an early and open process for determining the scope of issues to be addressed in the environmental analysis and for identifying the significant issues related to the proposed action. The scope identifies the range of actions, alternatives, and impacts to be considered in detail in the environmental analysis. This process of soliciting public input is called "scoping." Scoping ensures that any significant issues are identified early and studied properly, and that minor issues do not consume the agency's time and effort.

Site Description. The Buckley Annex property consists of about 72 acres located just west of the former Lowry Air Force Base in Denver, CO. The land is improved with 6 buildings containing nearly 640,000 square feet. Environmental information about this site can be found in the environmental condition of property documents at the following Web sites:

http://www.airforcebrac2005.org/ Buckley%20Docs2.htm.

http://www.afcee.brooks.af.mil/ products/ecp/default.asp.

Need for the Proposed Action. In accordance with the Base Closure and Realignment Act amendments contained in Title XXX of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2002 (Pub. L. 107–107), the Secretary of Defense submitted a consolidated Department of Defense (DoD) list of recommended actions to an independent commission appointed by the President and confirmed by the Senate. The 2005 Defense Base Closure and Realignment Commission (Commission) evaluated the recommendations and sent its findings to the President, who forwarded the recommendations to Congress on September 23, 2005. The Base Closure Act provides that, unless disapproved by Congress within a specified period, the recommendations are to be implemented. In the absence of Congressional disapproval, the Commission's recommendations became binding on November 9, 2005. Action with respect to Buckley Annex is being implemented as required by the Base Closure Act.

In its 2005 report to the President, the Commission recommended closure of the Buckley Annex. Pursuant to that recommendation, all Air Force missions at Buckley Annex must cease or be relocated. Following closure, the property will be excess to the Air Force needs. Accordingly, the Air Force proposes to dispose of its real property interests at the Buckley Annex.

The Base Closure Act requires the Air Force to treat the reuse plan of the local

redevelopment authority as the Proposed Action for purposes of the environmental analysis if the plan is approved by the Secretary of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) as meeting the requirements of the Base Closure Act. The redevelopment authority for the Buckley Annex property is the Lowry Redevelopment Authority. After conducting considerable public outreach, the redevelopment authority developed a proposed reuse plan and has submitted the plan to HUD for review and approval. HUD has not issued a final decision on whether the plan fulfills the requirements in the Base Closure Act.

Proposed Action. As explained above, if the redevelopment authority's reuse plan is approved by HUD, the Air Force Proposed Action for purposes of NEPA environmental assessment will be the reuse plan. The redevelopment authority's reuse plan, dated February 2008, can be found at the following Web site: http://www.lowry.org. The reuse plan involves the demolition of all installation facilities to allow construction of mixed use commercial/ residential (800 low- and mediumdensity residential units) with components involving a boulevard, open space, park, and storm water detention.

Alternatives to the Proposed Action. The Higher Density Residential Alternative involves the demolition of all installation facilities to allow construction of a higher density residential use with commercial development and associated outdoor recreation areas and roadways.

The Lower Density Residential Alternative involves the demolition of all installation facilities to allow construction of a lower density residential use with commercial development and associated outdoor recreation areas and roadways.

The Facility Reuse Alternative involves the retention of Building 444 and associated Building 445 for reuse as an office complex. Other facilities on the property would be demolished to allow for recreation and open space uses.

The No-Action Alternative involves the Air Force retaining the Buckley Annex property and maintaining it in caretaker status.

Scope of the EA. The EA will address the potential environmental impacts of disposal of the property to public or private entities. In analyzing the environmental impacts of property disposal, the Air Force will consider the reasonably foreseeable environmental impacts associated with the likely reuse of the property, as well as the potential

environmental impacts of reasonable reuse alternatives. Although NEPA does not require publication of a notice-ofintent (NOI) to prepare an EA or formal scoping process, it encourages public input opportunities. The Air Force invites full public participation in the NEPA process to promote open communication and better decisionmaking. All persons and organizations that have a potential interest in the Proposed Action, including minority, low-income, disadvantaged, and Native American groups are urged to participate in the NEPA environmental analysis process. To ensure sufficient time to adequately consider public comments concerning environmental issues and disposal alternatives to be included in the EA, the Air Force recommends that comments and reuse proposals be forwarded to the address listed below at the earliest possible date.

NEPA and Scoping Process. Public participation opportunities with respect to the Proposed Action and this EA are guided by the provisions of 32 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) Part 989, *Environmental Impact Analysis Process* (*EIAP*) for Air Force actions. If during the environmental review process the Air Force finds that no significant adverse environmental impacts are associated with the Proposed Action, then a Finding of No Significant Impact (FONSI) would be issued.

The draft EA and a draft Finding of No Significant Impact, if appropriate, will be made available for a 30-day comment period. During this time, the Air Force will consider any comments submitted by agencies, organizations, or members of the public on the Proposed Action, the draft EA, or the draft FONSI. The Air Force is issuing this NOI to facilitate the environmental review process by soliciting scoping comments in advance of the 30-day period for submission of written comments on the EA. At the conclusion of the comment period, the Air Force may, if appropriate, execute the FONSI and proceed with the Proposed Action.

However, if the Air Force finds that significant adverse environmental impacts would be associated with the proposed redevelopment, an Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) would be prepared. In that event, the Air Force would provide a forum for public officials and the community to provide information and comments; a scoping meeting would be held in Denver, Colorado, probably during the months of October or November 2008. Notice of the time and location of this meeting would be provided at a later date, and publicized in the community. The purpose of this meeting would be

to help identify issues that need to be assessed and discussed in the EIS. During this meeting, the Air Force would discuss the proposal to close and dispose of Buckley Annex, describe the process involved in preparing an EIS, and ask your help in identifying alternative uses for Buckley Annex and any significant environmental impacts that may result from its closure and disposal. In soliciting disposal and reuse alternatives, the Air Force would consider reasonable alternatives offered by any federal, state, or local government agency, and any federally sponsored or private entity or individual. The resulting EIS would be considered in making disposal decisions documented in the Air Force's Final Disposal Plan and Record of Decision.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Direct written comments or requests for further information concerning the Buckley Annex disposal and reuse EA to: Robert L. Lopez, HQ AFCEE/BC, 3300 Sidney Brooks, Brooks City-Base, Texas 78235–5112, 210–536–4508. e-mail: *Robert.lopez@brooks.af.mil.* 

### Bao-Anh Trinh,

Air Force Federal Register Liaison Officer. [FR Doc. E8–11114 Filed 5–16–08; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 5001–05–P

## DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

## Department of the Air Force

# U.S. Air Force Scientific Advisory Board Notice of Meeting

**AGENCY:** Department of the Air Force, U.S. Air Force Scientific Advisory Board.

## **ACTION:** Meeting notice.

SUMMARY: Under the provisions of the Federal Advisory Committee Act of 1972 (5 U.S.C., Appendix, as amended), the Government in the Sunshine Act of 1976 (5 U.S.C. 552b, as amended), and 41 CFR 102-3.150, the Department of Defense announces that the United States Air Force Scientific Advisory Board meeting will take place on Wednesday, Thursday and Friday, June 25th, 26th and 27th, 2008 at the Arnold and Mabel Beckman Conference Center, 100 Academy, Irvine, CA 92617. The meeting on Wednesday, June 25th, will be from 8 a.m.–12 p.m. The meeting on Thursday, June 26th, will be from 3:30-4:45 p.m., and the meeting on Friday, June 27th, will be from 8 a.m.–12 p.m.

The purpose of this meeting will be for the United States Air Force Scientific Advisory Board to reach a consensus and vote on the findings for the FY08 studies directed by the SECAF. The results will also be briefed to USAF senior leadership during the last two days of the meeting. This year's studies were: Airborne Tactical Laser Feasibility for Gunship Operations, Kinetic Precision Effects, Implications of Spectrum Management for the Air Force, and Defending and Operating in a Contested Cyber Domain.

Pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 552b, as amended, and 41 CFR 102–3.155, the Administrative Assistant of the Air Force, in consultation with the Office of the Air Force General Counsel, has determined in writing that the public interest requires that all sessions of the United States Air Force Scientific Advisory Board meeting be closed to the public because they will be concerned with classified information and matters covered by sections 5 U.S.C. 552b(c)(1), (4), and (9)(B).

Any member of the public wishing to provide input to the United States Air Force Scientific Advisory Board should submit a written statement in accordance with 41 CFR 102-3.140(c) and section 10(a)(3) of the Federal Advisory Committee Act and the procedures described in this paragraph. Written statements can be submitted to the Designated Federal Officer at the address detailed below at any time. Statements being submitted in response to the agenda mentioned in this notice must be received by the Designated Federal Officer at the address listed below at least five calendar days prior to the meeting which is the subject of this notice. Written statements received after this date may not be provided to or considered by the United States Air Force Scientific Advisory Board until its next meeting. The Designated Federal Officer will review all timely submissions with the United States Air Force Scientific Advisory Board Chairperson and ensure they are provided to members of the United States Air Force Scientific Advisory Board before the meeting that is the subject of this notice.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: The United States Air Force Scientific Advisory Board Executive Director and Designated Federal Officer, Lt. Col. David J. Lucia, 703–697–8288, United States Air Force Scientific Advisory Board, 1080 Air Force Pentagon, Room 4C759, Washington, DC 20330–1080, david.lucia@pentagon.af.mil.

## Bao-Anh Trinh,

Air Force Federal Register Liaison Officer. [FR Doc. E8–11108 Filed 5–16–08; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 5001–05–P

# DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

### Department of the Navy

## [Docket ID: USN-2008-0044]

# Privacy Act of 1974; System of Records

AGENCY: Department of the Navy, DoD.

**ACTION:** Notice to Delete a System of Records.

**SUMMARY:** The Department of Navy is deleting a system of records notice from its existing inventory of records systems subject to the Privacy Act of 1974, (5 U.S.C. 552a), as amended.

**DATES:** This proposed action will be effective without further notice on June 18, 2008 unless comments are received which result in a contrary determination.

ADDRESSES: Send comments to the Department of Navy, PA/FOIA Policy Branch, Chief of Naval Operations (DNS–36), 2000 Navy Pentagon, Washington, DC 20350–2000.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Mrs. Doris Lama at (202) 685–6545.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The Department of Navy systems of records notices subject to the Privacy Act of 1974, (5 U.S.C. 552a), as amended, have been published in the **Federal Register** and are available from the address above.

The specific changes to the records system being amended are set forth below followed by the notice, as amended, published in its entirety. The proposed amendments are not within the purview of subsection (r) of the Privacy Act of 1974, (5 U.S.C. 552a), as amended, which requires the submission of a new or altered system report.

Dated: May 5, 2008.

## Patricia L. Toppings,

OSD Federal Register Liaison Officer, Department of Defense.

## N04066-2

### SYSTEM NAME:

Commercial Fidelity Bond Insurance Claims (March 30, 2006, 71 FR 16130).

#### REASON:

Program discontinued. All responsive records now fall under N04066–7, NEXCOM Employee Benefit Records.

[FR Doc. E8–11158 Filed 5–16–08; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 5001–06–P